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# HYDROLOGIC RECONNAISSANCE OF SKULL VALLEY, TOOELE COUNTY, UTAH 

by<br>J. W. Hood, Hydrologist, and K. M. Waddell, Chemist<br>U. S. Geological Survey


#### Abstract

Skull Valley is a north-trending narrow depression that extends about 50 miles from the vicinity of Lookout Pass in T. 8 S., R. 7 W., northward to the southwestern shore of Great Salt Lake. The Skull Valley drainage basin includes about 880 square miles. In the valley, the main ground-water reservoir is in unconsolidated rocks of late Tertiary and Quaternary age and underlies about 230,000 acres.


The source of all water in Skull Valley is precipitation which falls mainly on the Stansbury and Onaqui Mountains. The estimated potential long-term average annual runoff from the uplands is about 32,000 acre-feet of water, but only a small part of this amount flows out of the valley. The remainder becomes recharge or is lost by evapotranspiration within the valley.

The estimated average annual ground-water recharge and discharge is in the range of $30,000-50,000$ acre-feet per year. Ground water is discharged from Skull Valley by evapotranspiration, wells, surface outflow, and underflow from the mouth of the valley. Of these, evapotranspiration accounts for $80-90$ percent of the total ground-water discharge. In 1965, wells discharged only about 5,000 acre-feet of water.

The estimated perennial yield of ground water in Skull Valley is 10,000 acre-feet or less. Water in excess of this amount would have to be drawn from storage. Recoverable water in storage in the upper 100 feet of the saturated unconsolidated rocks is estimated to be about 2.3 million acre-feet, but only about 1 million acre-feet of the ground water is believed to be of a chemical quality suitable for irrigation and domestic use.

The chemical quality of water limits potential development of Skull Valley. The range of concentration of dissolved solids in the drainage basin is 98 to $17,200 \mathrm{ppm}$ (parts per million). Most of the water from the area north of Iosepa and from parts of the valley south of Iosepa is saline. The freshest water is from streams and springs in the Stansbury Mountains. Water of good chemical quality underlies the alluvial apron that borders the area of greatest recharge-the Stansbury and Onaqui Mountains. Water of chemical quality suitable for irrigation, with low to moderate sodium and salinity hazards, may underlie as much as 100,000 acres.

Past and present development of water in Skull Valley has been limited mainly to irrigation and domestic use of streams, springs, and wells along the east side of the valley and to wells for public supply at Dugway. Use of ground water by stock and industry is small. In 1965, less than 600 acres of land were irrigated entirely with ground water from wells, about 450 acres were irrigated entirely from spring flow, and about 1,500 acres were irrigated with stream and spring flow that was supplemented with well water. Ground water is the main source of water for future development. Potential agricultural development of the valley may be limited to a total of perhaps 25,000 acres, considering both the quality of ground water and probable soil conditions in the valley.

## INTRODUCTION

This report is the second in a series by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Rights, which describes the water resources of the western basins of Utah. Its purpose is to present available hydrologic data on Skull Valley, to provide an evaluation of the potential water-resource development of the valley, and to identify needed studies that would help provide an understanding of the valley's water supply.

The investigation on which this report is based consisted largely of a study of all available data for geology, streams, wells, and water use in Skull Valley. These data were supplemented by data collected during a 1 -week reconnaissance in August 1965 of land forms, vegetation, geology, and water use. Where well records were poor or not available, additional wells were inventoried. Under a cooperative program with the Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey, most water resources in the lowlands of Skull Valley and at the edges of the mountains were sampled and the water samples were analyzed for chemical content. These analyses (Waddell, 1967) are supplemented with the few available analyses from Connor, Mitchell, and others (1958). Estimates of stream discharge, records of selected wells and springs, drillers' logs, and chemical analyses are presented in tables 3, 8, 9, 10, and 11.

Skull Valley is southwest of Salt Lake City; and Timpie, a railroad siding and a road junction on U.S. Highway 40, at the north end of the valley, is 49 road miles west of Salt Lake City. The valley extends from the vicinity of Lookout Pass in T. 8 S. to the southwestern shore of Great Salt Lake in T. 2 N. Most of the valley extends from R. 7 W. to R. 9 W . and includes an area of about 400 square miles. (See plate 1.)

Few published sources of hydrologic data for Skull Valley are available. Carpenter (1913) included Skull Valley in a reconnaissance report on northwestern Utah. Snyder (1963) included data on the valley in a reconnaissance report that dealt with the availability of water for stock grazing in the public domain of western Utah. Mahoney (1953) discussed Skull Valley as a part of his appraisal of the general disposition of precipitation in western Utah, and Bagley, Jeppson, and Milligan (1964) included data on the valley in their analysis of water yields in Utah.

Sources of geologic data are more abundant and include the work of Cohenour (1959), Teichert (1959), Young (1955) Crittenden (1963), and Rigby (1958). Stokes (1964) used all
available sources in compiling the State geologic map, which is the basis for the geohydrologic map shown on plate 1. Unpublished studies include a thesis on the geology and ground water of eastern Skull Valley by K. R. Everett (written commun., 1957) and a report by L. C. Demars and others (written commun., 1954) on the valley southeast of Dugway Proving Grounds. R. E. Maurer (oral commun., 1965) supplied data on geology, local roads, and topographic conditions in the Cedar Mountains.

Wells, springs, and surface-water data sites are numbered in this report using the system of numbering wells in Utah, which is based on the cadastral land-survey system of the Federal Government. The number, in addition to designating the well, spring, or other data site, locates its position to the nearest 10 -acre tract in the land net. By this system the State is divided into four quadrants by the Salt Lake base line and meridian. These quadrants are designated by the uppercase letters $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D , thus: A , for the northeast quadrant; $B$, for the northwest; $C$, for the southwest; and $D$, for the southeast quadrant. Numbers designating the township and range respectively, follow the quadrant letter, and the three are enclosed in parentheses. The number after the parentheses designates the section, and the lowercase letters give the location of the well within the section. The first letter indicates the quarter section, which is generally a tract of 160 acres, the second letter indicates the 40 -acre tract, and the third letter indicates the 10 -acre tract. The number that follows the letters indicates the serial number of the well within the 10 -acre tract. Thus, well (C-5-8) 35cad-1, in Tooele County, is in the SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE}^{2} / 4 \mathrm{SW}^{1 / 4}$ sec. 35 , T. 5 S., R. 8 W., and is the first well constructed or visited in that tract. (See figure 1.)

When the serial (final) number is preceded by an " $S$ " the number designates a spring; if the spring is located to the nearest 40 acres or larger tract, a suffixed " S " is used without a serial number. When no serial number is suffixed to a location number for a 10 -acre tract, the number designates the location of a surface-water sampling site.

## PHYSIOGRAPHIC SUMMARY

Skull Valley is a part of the Great Basin, and is in that part of the basin that was once occupied by the ancient inland sea called Lake Bonneville (Gilbert, 1890). The valley is nearly 50 miles long and reaches its maximum width of about 22 miles in T. 5 S . (plate 1). The drainage divides that frame most of the basin are the crests of the Stansbury and Onaqui Mountains on the east and the Cedar Mountains on the west. The south end of the Lakeside Mountains extends along the west shore of Great Salt Lake and a short distance into the valley. The southern end of the valley is a small topographic subbasin partly separated from the main valley by the Davis Knolls.

The mountains that surround Skull Valley are typical block-faulted mountains. The Stansbury Mountains dominate the landscape, rising from an altitude of 6,000 feet in the eastern part of T. $4 \mathrm{~S} .$, R. 8 W. , to 11,031 feet at Deseret Peak in the central part of T. $4 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .7 \mathrm{~W}$. The Stansbury Mountains present a steep westward slope into Skull Valley; and at the foot of the main mountain mass, an alluvial apron of coalescing alluvial fans slopes to the valley floor. The alluvial apron is pierced by subsidiary masses of consolidated rocks, as at Salt Mountain in eastern T. 3 S., R. 8 W.


Figure 1. - Well-numbering system used in Utah.

The Onaqui Mountains rise above 9,000 feet but the range covers only about 60 square miles. The Cedar Mountains are a long and narrow range that is angular in plan because of changes in direction of geologic structural trends in the vicinity of T. $5 \mathrm{~S} ., \mathrm{R} .10 \mathrm{~W}$. The Cedar Mountains rise to an altitude of about 7,600 feet in the southern part of T. 3 S., R. 10 W., and their eastern slope has an alluvial apron which is much narrower than that along the base of the Stansbury Mountains.

The floor of Skull Valley slopes northward from an altitude of about 5,200 feet near the pass to Government Creek valley to an altitude of about 4,200 feet near the shore of Great Salt Lake. The valley floor is pierced by a few outcrops of consolidated rocks, but as a whole it is relatively smooth. The southern two-thirds of the valley is trough shaped. Stream channels from the mountains slope almost straight down to an axial trough. Most of the northern one-third of the valley is a gently sloping flat surface that receives drainage both from the sides and the southern part of the valley and delivers surface water to Great Salt Lake through a group of braided channels. The flat is broken at places by low erosional remnants and linear sand dunes.

The lowlands and adjacent slopes of Skull Valley were modified by the action of the water in Lake Bonneville (Gilbert, 1890, p. 90). At its highest level (plate 1), the lake notched the alluvial apron, and it seems probable that wave action formed the apparent pediment along part of the eastern side of the Cedar Mountains. The lake left many other features throughout the valley, such as terraces and the multiple bay bars east of the Davis Knolls and at the pass to Government Creek valley.

## CLIMATIC SUMMARY

Because of the wide range of altitude, the climate in Skull Valley is semiarid, whereas on the uppermost slopes of the Stansbury Mountains it is humid to subalpine. Climatologic data are provided in the published records of the U.S. Weather Bureau (1937, 1957, 1965, 1951-66), and the availability of these data is summarized in table 1 . Useful regional interpretations of climatic data are also available, such as those described by Peck and Brown (1962) who produced the isohyetal maps of Utah (U.S. Weather Bur., 1963a and b and figure 2), evaporation maps of the United States by Kohler, Nordenson, and Baker (1959), and the tables of freezing temperature probabilities by Ashcroft and Derksen (1963).

Long-term precipitation in Skull Valley generally ranges from 7 to 12 inches per year (figure 2). However, only about one-third of the annual precipitation falls on the lowlands during the growing season. The uplands receive from 12 to more than 40 inches of precipitation annually. A small area' near the center of the Cedar Mountains receives a maximum of $16-20$ inches; whereas in the lofty Stansbury Mountains, large areas receive $16-30$ inches of precipitation, and at Deseret Peak, the annual total exceeds 40 inches. About one-fourth of the annual precipitation falls on the uplands during the growing season.


Figure 2. - Isohyetal map of Skull Valley and vicinity, showing locations of climatologic stations listed in table 1.

# Table 1. - Stations at which climatologic data have been collected in and near Skull Valley 

[ P , precipitation, T , temperature]

| Station |  | ation Long. | Altitude | Period of record | Type of record | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delle | $40^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$ |  | 4,219 | 6-27-19 to 6-30-27 | P,T | At railroad station. |
|  |  | $112^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | 4,219 | 9-24-40 to 4-15-41 | P,T | At service station. |
|  |  | $112^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | 4,250 | 6-20-51 to 5-16-52 | P,T | At cafe. |
|  |  | $112^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ | 4,270 | 5-16-52 to 12-31-52 | P,T | At railroad station. |
| Dugway | $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | $113^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 4,359 | 9- -50 to 12-31-64 | P,T | In Dugway Valley. |
| Government Creek | $40^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 5,320 | 12-1-00 to 11--49 | P, T | In Government Creek valley. At James Ranch; also called Indian Springs Post Office. Late part of record for summers only. |
| Indian Farm | $40^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ | 4,800 | 3- -15 to 8-31-18 | P |  |
| Iosepa South | $40^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 4,356 | $8-30-10$ to 4-30-17 | $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}$ | At South Ranch of |
| Ranch | $40^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 4,415 | $8-31-51$ to 12--58 | P,T | Deseret Livestock Co. |
| Low | $40^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | $112{ }^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$ | 4,602 | 7-8-11 to $4-30-19$ | P,T | In Sink Valley. At railroad station. |
| Orr's Ranch | $40^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | - | 1-24-19 to 6-30-20 | P,T | Known as Sells through |
|  | $40^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | 4,700 | 11-1-20 to 4- -49 | P,T | 1923. Poor record through 1920. |
| Severe Ranch | $40^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ | 4,610 | 8-1-49 to 2-28-51 | P,T | Record intermittent. |
| Williams Ranch | $40^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | $112^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ | 4,626 | 6- -60 to 6- -63 | P,T | Do. |

Table 2 includes data on average monthly and annual precipitation at five climatologic stations in and near Skull Valley. Figure 3 shows the cumulative departure from the normal annual precipitation at three stations and indicates that precipitation in the Skull Valley area varies cyclically from periods of normal to excess to periods of normal to deficient (drought) precipitation.

Air temperature in Skull Valley has a wide annual range. The lowest temperatures of record ranged from $-11^{\circ}$ to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and occurred in January or February; the highest temperatures of record ranged from $105^{\circ}$ to $107^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and occurred during the period June-August. The average annual temperature ranges from $48^{\circ}$ to $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The available temperature records and the available records of frost-free days during midyear at four stations are summarized in figure 4.

Evaporation in Skull Valley was estimated from the records of stations to the east and west of the valley and the estimate compared with the maps by Kohler, Nordenson, and Baker


Figure 3. - Cumulative departure from 1921-50 normal annual precipitation at Government Creek, Dugway, and Orr's Ranch.

| A | Highest temperature of record during month |
| :--- | :--- |
| B Mean monthly maximum |  |
| C | Average monthly |
| D Mean monthly minimum |  |
| E Lowest temperature of record during month |  |
| F Highest of record |  |
| G Mean annual maximum |  |
| H Mean annual |  |
| J Mean annual minimum |  |
| K Lowest of record |  |


|  | Number of days between last spring and first fall minimum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Year | $28^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Year | $24^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Year |
| Annual average for 7 years | 120 | - | 140 | - | 165 | - |
| Longest period and year | 139 | 1957 | 175 | 1952 | 192 | 1957 |
| Shortest period and year | 100 | 1953 | 100 | 1953 | 125 | 1954 |




Freeze data for 30 -year period, 1901-30 inclusive: Average number of days between last spring and first fall minimum of $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or less - 118 days

Freeze data for 10 -year period, $1920-30$ inclusive: Average number of days between last spring and first fall minimum of $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or less -92 days



Figure 4. - Temperature and freeze data for four stations in and near Skull Valley.
(1959, pls. 1 and 2), which show the averages for the period 1946-55. In Skull Valley, the estimated average evaporation from an evaporation pan is 61 inches per year, and the evaporation from lakes, or other large bodies of open water, is in the range of 42-44 inches per year.

> Table 2. - Average monthly and annual precipitation, in inches, at climatologic stations in and near Skull Valley

| [For basic data, see U.S. Weather Bureau (1951-66). Numbers in parentheses show period of record, in years. Annual total is sum of monthly averages. See table 1 for station records.] |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delle | Dugway | Government Creek | Iosepa South Ranch | Orr's Ranch |
| January | 0.53(8) | 0.53 (15) | 1.00(49) | 1.18 (14) | 0.62 (31) |
| February | .54(9) | .53(15) | 1.19 (49) | .85(14) | .88(30) |
| March | .83(9) | .55(15) | 1.60 (49) | 1.24 (14) | .87(31) |
| April | .77(9) | .75(15) | 1.32(49) | 1.39 (12) | .91(30) |
| May | .90(9) | .74(15) | 1.45 (50) | 1.08 (12) | 1.03 (28) |
| June | .45(9) | .57(15) | .72(48) | .69(11) | .51(28) |
| July | .63(11) | .38(15) | .79(49) | .51(11) | .50(29) |
| August | .82(9) | .57(15) | 1.01(49) | .47(11) | .74(29) |
| September | .23(9) | . 37 (14) | .70(49) | .35(14) | . 50 (29) |
| October | .74(9) | .42(15) | 1.15(49) | 1.00 (15) | .99(29) |
| November | .64(10) | .51(15) | .97(48) | .87(15) | .69(29) |
| December | .56(9) | .56(15) | 1.01(49) | .93(15) | .81(29) |
| Annual total | 7.64 | 6.48 | 12.91 | 10.56 | 9.05 |

## GEOLOGIC SETTING

The geology shown on plate 1 is based largely on the geologic map of Utah (Stokes, 1964) and the discussion of the Stansbury Mountains by Rigby (1958). The data from these sources, together with field data, are the basis for the following discussion of the relation of geology to hydrology.

The consolidated rocks in Skull Valley are the framework of the drainage basin. They crop out both in the uplands and at a few places in the valley, and they underlie all the valley at depths controlled chiefly by the geologic structure.

The oldest sedimentary rocks are exposed in the central uplands of the Stansbury Mountains and comprise the Tintic Quartzite of Early and Middle Cambrian age. The formation is shown separately on plate 1 because the quartzite has a low bulk permeability and underlies much of the area where precipitation is greatest. Thus, precipitation that falls on the outcrop area of the Tintic Quartzite mainly runs off to lower altitudes with a minimum of loss to infiltration into the mountain block.

Most of the consolidated rocks are grouped into a single unit owing to their common age and similar water-bearing characteristics. The rocks in this unit are mainly of Paleozoic
age (plate 1), and they overlie the Tintic Quartzite. (See Rigby, 1958, p. 10-51.) The unit mainly contains carbonate rocks--limestone and dolomite-but includes many beds of shale, sandstone, and quartzite that individually may be as much as 350 feet thick. Most rocks in the unit have a low bulk permeability and most have low primary permeabilities. The carbonate rocks, however, have some secondary permeability, which is due in part to mechanical disruption by structural movements and in part to development of cavernous zones by solution.

Unconsolidated or semiconsolidated rocks of Tertiary and Quaternary age underlie Skull Valley. Little is known about the Tertiary rocks, but they may be similar to those described by Heylmun (1965, p. 9-26) for areas in Rush Valley and the Great Salt Lake Desert, both adjacent to Skull Valley. These rocks include basal conglomerates, lake deposits, volcanic rocks, and outwash deposits that range from Paleocene(?) to Pliocene(?) in age. The older Tertiary rocks contain few aquifers. Well (C-7-8)22adb-1 penetrated clay and tuffaceous sand between 497 and 730 feet. These deep impermeable beds, which contain volcanic glass shards, appear to be similar to those described for the zone 307-601 feet in well (C-6-8)2dcd-1. (See table 9.) Elsewhere in the valley, wells penetrated "lime" (presumably limestone), hardpan, and hard conglomerates that may be parts of the older section.

Younger Tertiary rocks and Quaternary rocks, which are mostly clastic erosional debris, comprise the main ground-water reservoir. Most of the erosional debris was laid down as outwash deposits in the alluvial fans and on the valley floor. The heads of the fans contain chaotic mixtures of grain sizes, ranging from boulders to clay, and these mixtures grade into well-sorted beds of gravel, sand, and clay beneath the middle and lower slopes of the fans. In the lowlands of the valley, the deposits mainly are fine grained. Thick beds of clay interfinger with permeable beds of sand and gravel along the edges of the fans. The northcentral part of the valley contains a small amount of sand interbedded with silt and clay. (See table 9.)

The Quaternary rocks, which were deposited in Lake Bonneville, are only a small part of the unconsolidated rocks in Skull Valley. They are in part coarse-grained shore facies, but the bulk of the lake deposits are silt and clay. Within the area marked by the ancient shoreline (plate 1), most of the valley floor apparently is underlain with a layer of lacustrine clay which is $20-100$ feet thick. Deposits that postdate Lake Bonneville consist of additions to the alluvial fans and of soils, sand dunes, and playa deposits of Recent age.

The total thickness of rocks of Tertiary and Quaternary age that underlie Skull Valley is not known. Johnson and Cook (1957, p. 53) infer from geophysical data that 6,000-7,000 feet of sedimentary deposits lie upon the denser and older consolidated rocks east of the Cedar Mountains. Surface resistivity surveys by Coyd Yost, Jr., and others of the Geological Survey (written commun., 1954) indicate areas between the Davis Knolls and Davis Mountain where the top of the older consolidated rocks is 1,200 feet or more beneath the land surface. A few drillers' logs (table 9) give depths to consolidated rocks along the edges of the valley.

The present position of the unconsolidated rocks partly determines their function in the hydrologic system in Skull Valley. The coarse-grained rocks near the heads of the alluvial fans are a main area of recharge, and the sand dunes at lower altitudes locally are potential areas of intermittent recharge. Lower in the fans, the sands and gravels constitute the main aquifer and yield from 200 to more than $1,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ (gallons per minute) of water to largediameter wells. (See table 8.) In contrast, the extensive lake-bottom deposits in the lower parts of the valley act as an effective deterrent to infiltration of recharge and do not yield
large quantities of water to wells. In areas where the water table is close to the land surface, the fine-grained lake-bottom deposits permit a large capillary rise that delivers water to the surface where it is discharged by evaporation.

Skull Valley is a graben, or down-faulted area between elevated, subparallel mountain blocks. The elevation of the mountain blocks has had three effects on the hydrologic system. First, the structural distortion elevated the sources of the coarse-grained valley fill. Second, the elevation of the blocks created the topographic conditions that enhance the amount of precipitation within the drainage basin. Third, structural distortion disrupted the older consolidated rocks and provided access into those rocks for water. Faults and scattered zones, tension joints in folds and uplifted beds all provide entrance for water and within the formations provide routes of travel from local recharge areas to discharge points. Springs are associated with the Stansbury fault, and the lowest fault trace at the west edge of the Stansbury Mountains is inferred from the linearity of the locations of the warm saline springs between Iosepa and Timpie (plate 1).

## WATER RESOURCES

The following discussion of the water resources of Skull Valley describes the source, movement, and disposition of water in the valley, and provides an approximation of the quantity of water that is available for use by man.

## Surface water

Part of the precipitation within the Skull Valley drainage basin is carried from the mountains to the valley by streamflow. Much of the water in streams leaving the mountains is lost by infiltration and evapotranspiration on the alluvial slopes. Some of the streamflow reaches the valley floor where much of it is evaporated in the stream channels and saltflats and a small part flows out of the valley and into Great Salt Lake. The quantities of water lost from streams depend on the quantity of flow and the temperature of the air, and therefore on the time of the year. The larger flows in the streams are derived during the spring from snowmelt on the highest parts of the mountains, and during the summer from intense rainstorms on the mountains and the lowlands. In late summer and fall, low flow in the few perennial streams is sustained by water discharging from springs in the mountains.

Carpenter (1913, p. 82) noted that Skull Valley contained only four perennial streamsBarlow, Hickman (now called Indian Hickman), Antelope, and Lost Creeks. These streams head in the Stansbury Mountains and supply water to ranches in Tps. 3-6 S., R. 8 W., and to the Skull Valley Indian Reservation. Diversions are made where the canyons open onto the heads of the alluvial fans, and the water is carried by ditch and by pipeline to areas of use in the lower parts of the valley.

Other streams in the valley are intermittent and flow only in response to snowmelt in the spring and to runoff from rainstorms in the summer. The latter for the most part is flashy and of short duration.

The only records of streamflow in Skull Valley are from crest-stage gages on the North Fork of Muskrat Canyon at (C-2-7)21b and on a stream channel in the Cedar Mountains, 8 miles southwest of Delle, at (C-1-9) 31b. Periodic observations at these gages are made of
flow or lack of it. When floods occur, the peak discharge is estimated by means of indirect measurement (see stations $10-1728.3$ and $10-1728.35$ in U.S. Geol. Survey, 1965, p. 304). The Deseret Livestock Co. made miscellaneous measurements of the discharge of streams in the vicinity of their property in 1965. Estimates were made of the discharge of streams that were sampled during this study. The several records are compiled in table 3.

Because adequate records are not available, the total discharge of streams in Skull Valley cannot be computed. An estimate of the potential long-term average runoff was made, however, using the transparent overlays of runoff maps described by Bagley, Jeppson, and Milligan (1964, p. 56). These maps were derived by using records of precipitation and the available data on altitude, topography, geology, and plant cover to statistically analyze the basins for which runoff is gaged. The derived parameters were then applied to other ungaged parts of Utah, such as Skull Valley, based on the relation of precipitation to altitude.

| Stream and site description | Location | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Discharge } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delle Springs Creek at Highway 40 | (C-1-8) 2cd | 9--63 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 4-21-64 | 0 | Water in channel, but not moving. |
|  |  | 5-29-65 | . 2 | Road shoulder damage indicates recent larger rate of runoff. |
|  |  | 8-27-65 | 0 | Water in channel, but not moving. |
|  |  | 11-24-65 | 1.0-2.0 | Weather: cloudy; rain in Onaqui Mountains to south. |
|  |  | 2-4-66 | . 5 |  |
|  |  | 3-3-66 | 30 | Weather: storm and warm temperatures late in February. Most water probably was surface runoff. |
|  |  | 3-6-66 | 20 |  |
|  |  | 4-1-66 | 1.5 |  |
|  |  | 4-29-66 | 1.5 |  |
| Channel, at U.S. Highway 40, approximately 1 mile east of Delle | (C-1-8) 4 dc | 8-27-65 | 0 | Water in channel, but not moving. |
|  |  | 2-4-66 | . 2 |  |
|  |  | 3-3-66 | 2.5 |  |
|  |  | 4-4-66 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 4-29-66 | 0 |  |
| Skull Valley tributary near Delle | (C-1-9) 31 b | 8-1-60 | 30.1 | Indirect measurement, creststage gage on right bank, 300 feet upstream from road crossing and about 8 miles southwest of Delle; drainage area, 1.5 square miles. |
|  |  | 8-6-61 | - | Small flow on this date, but rate not determined. |
|  |  | 1962 | 0 | No flow during entire year. |


| Discharge of strea | ms and pip | 3.-1 | Continued | eous sites in Skull Valley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream and site description | Location | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discharge } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | Remarks |
| Skull Valley tributary near Delle (Continued) | (C-1-9) 31b | 9-13-63 | 20 | No flow during entire year. Indirect measurement. |
|  |  | 1964 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 9-6-65 | 1 |  |
| North Fork of Muskrat Canyon near Timpie | (C-2-7) 21 b | 1961-65 | 0 | Crest-stage gage; drainage area, 1.78 square miles; no flow during entire period of record. |
| Pass Canyon at mouth | (C-3-7) 30dac | 7-31-63 | 2.0 | Streamflow reportedly sustained by springs. |
| Delle Springs Creek at road to Eightmile Spring | (C-3-8)19cca | 9-23-65 | 1.0 |  |
|  |  | 11-24-65 |  |  |
| Outlet of Deseret Livestock Co. pipeline near Iosepa | (C-3-8)28dac | 3-11-65 | 1.3 | Measurements reported by company. Flow from pipeline is gathered from several canyons and springs and is remnant after substantial losses and several bypasses. |
|  |  |  | 6.3 |  |
|  |  | 7-5-65 | 3.310 |  |
|  |  | 10-6-65 |  |  |
|  |  | 11-1-65 | . 15 |  |
| Antelope Canyon near mouth | (C-4-7) 30 c | 8-1-63 | - | Flowed, but rate not determined; reportedly runoff from precipitation. |
| Outlet of Deseret Livestock Co. pipeline at south ranch | ¢C-4-8) 4dda | 5-15-65 | 2.5 | Measurements reported by company; not all available water diverted into line. |
|  |  | 6-10-65 | 5.4 |  |
|  |  | 7-5-65 | 4.9 |  |
|  |  | 7-14-65 | 4.9 |  |
|  |  | 7-15-65 | 4.9 |  |
|  |  | 9--65 | . 90 |  |
|  |  | 9--65 | . 76 |  |
|  |  | 10-6-65 | . 42 |  |
|  |  | 11-1-65 | . 53 |  |
| Big Creek Canyon ditch | (C-4-8)12ad | 7-31-63 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Creek at Island Ranch | (C-4-8) 22 abd | 8-1-63 | . 7 |  |
| Indian Hickman Creek: |  |  |  |  |
| Near mouth of canyon | (C-5-7)6aa | 8- 1-63 | 2.0 |  |
| 3 miles below mouth | (C-5-8)11acc | 5-29-65 | 1.0 |  |
| of canyon |  | 12-22-65 | 1.0 |  |
| Outlet of pipeline from Barlow Creek | (C-6-7) 4 aba | 5-29-65 | . 005 |  |
| Hatch Brothers Co. pipeline | (C-6-7) 10 | 8-14-63 | . 01 |  |
| Ditch at reservoirs east of Orr's Ranch | (C-6-7) 18 bbb | 11-24-65 | 1.5 | Ephemeral flow; weather: cool; rain in Onaqui Mountains to southeast. |

The long-term average potential annual runoff from the uplands of the Skull Valley drainage basin was estimated by multiplying the area between adjacent lines of equal runoff, as shown on the transparent overlays at a scale of $1: 250,000$, by the average value for runoff in the area between the two lines. The total estimated potential average runoff is about 32,000 acre-feet of water per year (table 4). Most runoff comes from the uplands on the east side of the valley; only about 800 acre-feet comes from the uplands on the west side.

## Table 4. - Estimated potential average annual runoff from the uplands of Skull Valley

[Calculated from isorunoff maps described by Bagley, Jeppson, and Milligan, 1964, p. 56]

| Interval between <br> lines of equal <br> runoff (inches) | Average <br> runoff <br> (inches) | Area <br> (acres) | Estimated runoff <br> (acre-feet, rounded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-2$ | Stansbury, Onaqui, and Sheeprock | Mountains |  |
| $2-4$ | 1.5 | 11,136 | 1,400 |
| $4-8$ | 3 | 12,352 | 3,100 |
| $8-12$ | 6 | 13,376 | 6,700 |
| $12-16$ | 10 | 10,624 | 8,800 |
| $16-20$ | 14 | 4,672 | 5,500 |
| More than 20 | 18 | 3,008 | 4,500 |
| Subtotal (rounded) | 21 | 896 | 1,600 |
|  |  | 56,100 | 31,600 |
| $1-2$ | Cedar Mountains |  |  |
| $2-4$ | 1.5 | 5,440 | 680 |
| Subtotal (rounded) | 3 | 576 | 144 |
| Total (rounded) |  | 6,000 | 800 |

## Ground water

## Source

Ground water in Skull Valley is derived entirely from precipitation that falls on the drainage basin, mostly from snowmelt and rainfall on lands above 6,000 feet. Some of this water is lost by evapotranspiration, some infiltrates the consolidated rocks, and some collects in streams that discharge onto the adjoining permeable alluvial fans. Much of the water is lost by evapotranspiration after infiltration; some adds to the soil moisture; and a part eventually reaches the ground-water reservoir in the valley.

Only a small part of the precipitation that falls on lands at altitudes between 5,300 and 6,000 feet reaches the ground-water reservoir, because the amount of precipitation is generally small and much of it is held by the soil and subsequently discharged by evapotranspiration. Recharge to the ground-water reservoir in this altitude zone may occur where water from intense local storms falls on coarse-grained alluvium.

Little or none of the precipitation that falls on lands below 5,300 feet reaches the groundwater reservoir, because the average annual amount of precipitation is small-generally less
than 8 inches-and because the surficial or near-surface deposits are silt and clay that have low permeability and inhibit downward percolation of water.

## Occurrence

Ground water in Skull Valley is in both consolidated and unconsolidated rocks. The occurrence of ground water in the consolidated rocks is indicated by the large number of springs that issue from bedrock in the Stansbury Mountains (plate 1) and Redlum Spring, (C-2-9)7cb-S, and Eight-Mile Spring, (C-3-9)8cc-S, that drain limestone in the Cedar Mountains (R. E. Maurer, oral commun., 1965). Most springs in the mountains appear to be gravity springs, and some are intermittent. The alignment of the warm saline springs between Iosepa and Timpie and their proximity to exposures of consolidated rocks of Paleozoic age indicate a relation between the springs and those rocks.

Existing wells in Skull Valley obtain water from beds of gravel and sand in the unconsolidated rocks of Tertiary and Quaternary age. In and near the recharge areas the sand and gravel are in lenticular beds that are relatively discrete units, but these beds function as a hydrologic unit. The beds are sufficiently connected both vertically and laterally so that water moves from one bed to another and is unconfined under water-table conditions. Thus, during drilling, water in wells at the Dugway Proving Grounds cantonment and near Johnson Pass did not rise appreciably above the level at which it was encountered.

Downgradient, however, the water-bearing sand and gravel and the intervening clays are more differentiated and the degree of bed isolation is greater. In such areas as in the vicinity of Orr's Ranch and the lowlands north of Iosepa, part of the ground water is confined under artesian pressure and rises above the depth at which it is encountered during drilling.

## Estimated average annual recharge

The average annual rate of recharge to the ground-water reservoir in Skull Valley was estimated by three methods.

## Method of Eakin and others (1951, p. 79-81)

This method assumes that a fixed percentage of the average annual precipitation recharges the ground-water reservoir, and relates the quantity of recharge to the sum of quantities of water originating from precipitation in several altitude zones. In Skull Valley, the method of Eakin and others was modified by the use of an isohyetal map of Utah (U.S. Weather Bur., 1963a), and the use of altitude zones was not necessary except for judging the reasonableness of the estimate. In comparing precipitation and altitude shown on the isohyetal map with the precipitation quantities cited by Eakin and others (1951, p. 80) for areas in Nevada and by Hood and Rush (1965, p. 22) for an area along the Nevada-Utah border, it can be seen that the average annual rate of precipitation for equivalent altitude zones is considerably greater on the western slopes of the Stansbury and Onaqui Mountains than in areas farther west in Utah and Nevada. It follows, therefore, that the recharge percentage values must be adjusted for variations of topography and geology in Skull Valley. In preparing table 5, therefore, the estimates of recharge were influenced by the following considerations:

1. The west face of the Stansbury Mountains has a steep gradient that becomes very steep in some areas and thus rapidly delivers streamflow to the valley.
2. Much of the highest mountain upland in the Stansbury Mountains where precipitation is greatest is underlain by the Tintic Quartzite, which provides for a greater runoff that for most other kinds of consolidated rocks-the so-called "tin roof" effect.
3. Coarse-grained debris in the bottom of some of the mountain canyons and at the head of the alluvial apron is extremely permeable, as indicated by a few measurements of stream loss and by the lack of any flood flow of record at the crest-stage gage in Muskrat Canyon at (C-2-7) 21 b (plate 1 and table 3).
4. The small areas of unconsolidated rocks that receive more than 16 inches of precipitation are thought to be only a veneer on bedrock and thus function in the same manner as mountain soils on bedrock.
5. The unconsolidated rocks in zones that receive 16 inches or less of precipitation are recharged less by direct precipitation than by runoff from consolidated rocks at the same altitude.
6. The relation among precipitation, altitude, and geology in the Cedar and Lakeside Mountains is similar to that used for Snake Valley (Hood and Rush, 1965, table 5).

The estimate of recharge based on the method of Eakin and others (1951) indicates that in Skull Valley about 32,000 acre-feet of water, or 6 to 7 percent of the average annual precipitation on the drainage basin, recharges the ground-water reservoir annually.

## Method of Gates (1963, 1965)

The second method of estimating the recharge to Skull Valley is taken from Gates (1963, p. K-34; 1965, p. 55-61) who estimated the quantities of water available to adjacent Tooele Valley and to one of its tributary canyons. Gates made estimates of the available water by deducting the estimated evapotranspiration losses from the precipitation in and above the recharge areas. In his study of the tributary canyon, Gates (1963, p. K-34) estimated the loss to evapotranspiration in the mountain area to be 67 percent. His estimate, which was based on the work of Croft and Monninger (1953, p. 571-573) who studied Parrish Canyon in the Wasatch Range, was deliberately made conservative. In a later study for all of Tooele Valley, Gates (1965, p. 55-61) estimated that the losses in the mountains around the entire valley amounted to 40 percent.

In Skull Valley, evapotranspiration consumes a relatively large part of the precipitation because the area in and above the recharge area includes altitude zones as low as 5,300 feet where the amount of precipitation is small, and the annual temperature is appreciably greater than in the altitude zone of $7,000-10,000$ feet described by Croft and Monninger (1953).

## Table 5. - Estimated average annual precipitation and ground-water recharge in Skull Valley

[Areas of precipitation zones measured from geologic and isohyetal maps]

|  |  | Estimated anNUAL <br> Precipitation |  | Estimated <br> Precipitation <br> zone (inches) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Stansbury and Onaqui Mountain area
Areas of consolidated rocks

| More than 40 | 1,000 | 42 | 3,500 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $30-40$ | 4,200 | 35 | 12,300 |  |  |
| $25-30$ | 7,100 | 27.5 | 16,200 |  |  |
| $20-25$ | 11,300 | 22.5 | $\frac{21,200}{53,200}$ | 33 | 17,600 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 37,200 | 20 | 7,400 |
| $16-20$ | 24,800 | 18 | 19,700 | 10 | 2,000 |
| $12-16$ | 16,800 | 14 | 5,900 | 5 | 300 |
| $8-12$ | 7,100 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Areas of alluvial apron |  |  | 25,900 | 3 | 1,100 |
| $12-16$ | 30,700 | 14 | $\underline{21,100}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 9 0 0}$ | 200 |
| $8-12$ | 25,400 | 10 |  | 28,600 |  |

## Cedar Mountain area

Areas of consolidated rocks

| $16-20$ | 2,200 | 18 | 3,300 | 17 | 600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $12-16$ | 17,200 | 14 | 20,100 | 8 | 1,600 |
| $8-12$ | 44,500 | 10 | 36,900 | 3 | 1,100 |
| Areas of alluvial apron |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8-12$ | $\frac{40,700}{104,600}$ | 10 | $\frac{33,800}{94,100}$ | 1 | $\underline{300}$ |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 3,600 |  |

## Lakeside Mountain area

## Areas of consolidated rocks

| 12-16 | 1,000 | 14 | 1,200 | 8 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8-12 | 4,500 | 10 | 3,700 | 3 | 100 |
| Areas of alluvial apron |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8-12 | 1,300 | 10 | 1,100 | 1 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 6,800 |  | 6,000 |  | $\overline{200}$ |
| All lowlands |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-12 | 324,000 | 8 | 217,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (rounded) | 560,000 |  | 490,000 |  | 32,000 |

The following tabulation shows the range of volumes of water available for recharge in Skull Valley, depending on variations in the assumed loss by evapotranspiration, and combines these estimates with a rough estimate by Eakin (1961, p. 20) that about one-third of the available water recharges the ground-water reservoir.

| Estimated volume <br> of precipitation <br> (acre-feet) | Assumed loss by <br> evapotranspination <br> (percent) | Volume adailable <br> for recharee <br> (acre-feet) | Gross estimated <br> recharge <br> (acre-feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 270,000 | 40 | 160,000 | 53,000 |
|  | 50 | 140,000 | 47,000 |
|  | 60 | 110,000 | 37,000 |

1 From table 5: Total estimated annaal average precipitation, less that on the lowhands of Skull Valley.

## Method based on loss of streamflow

The third method is based on water losses into the stream channels that cross the recharge area. Although some of the streams in the Stansbury Mountains are perennial, most contain appreciable quantities of water only during and immediately after the period of snowmelt (table 3). Thus, recharge to the ground-water reservoir occurs only during a period of 2-3 months. Runoff derived from snowmelt after this period and the low flow of the perennial streams are conveyed across the recharge area by pipeline or ditch.

The Deseret Livestock Co., provided the authors with a set of miscellaneous streamflow measurements that indicate an aggregate loss of about 4.2 cfs (cubic feet per second) in 1.7 miles of channel near Pass Canyon, or nearly 2.5 cfs per mile during a period of moderate runoff. The total channel distance across the recharge area for all streams in Skull Valley that reach any appreciable distance into the mountains is 134 miles. If the average rate of stream loss for all the streams measured is only 2 cfs per mile, the aggregate loss is 268 cfs. The duration of flow is estimated from the apparent duration of runoff from snowmelt at four gaging stations: Stations $10-1430$ and 10-1450, Parrish and Mill Creeks, north of Salt Lake City; the short-term station 10-1728 on South Willow Creek, east of Deseret Peak; and station 10-1728.7, Trout Creek, in Snake Valley near the Nevada-Utah State line. (See U. S. Geol. Survey, 1965, p. 216, 219, 247, and 248 for description of station and period of record.) The estimated average snowmelt period for the four stations for $1959-65$ was 9 weeks. Because of residual effects following snowmelt, however, the effective runoff period might be as long as 14 weeks. The following table shows the estimated recharge for the two periods:

| Grossrate of <br> (cfs)Lengsth of runoff <br> period (weeks) | Recharge <br> (acre-feet per <br> rounded) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 268 | 9 | 34,000 |

## Summary of recharge estimates

The three methods of estimating recharge result in the following quantities:

| $\quad$ Method | Estimated recharge <br> (acre-feet per year) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Eakin and others | 32,000 |
| Gates | $37,000-53,000$ |
| Loss of streamflow | $34,000-52,000$ |

It is concluded that the average annual rate of ground-water recharge to Skull Valley is in the range of $30,000-50,000$ acre-feet per year, or from 6 to 10 percent of the total average annual precipitation in the Skull Valley drainage basin.


#### Abstract

Movement Ground water in Skull Valley moves generally from the recharge areas along the edges of the mountains toward the axis of the valley and thence to a discharge area in the playas both south and north of U.S. Highway 40. (See plate 1.) Some ground water ultimately reaches the shore of Great Salt Lake. The relatively steep slopes of the water table, indicated by close contour spacing along the east side and south end of the valley reflect both the large rate of recharge in those areas and the relatively thin section of permeable material through which the water must pass. Along much of the length of the Davis-Cedar-Lakeside mountain chain, the west edge of the valley receives only small amounts of recharge, and therefore the slope of the water table is more gradual.


## Estimated average annual discharge

Water leaves Skull Valley by several means that all include the discharge of ground water. The largest quantity of ground water is discharged by evapotranspiration; smaller amounts are discharged by pumpage from wells, surface outflow of effluent ground water in the lowlands, and underflow from the mouth of the valley. For the purposes of control, the discharge computations are made for the area south of U.S. Highway 40.

## Evapotranspiration

Much of the ground water discharged by evapotranspiration is consumed by phreatophytic plants in the lowlands of Skull Valley where the depth to water does not exceed $40-50$ feet. The principal phreatophytes are greasewood (Sarcobatus vermiculdurs), rabbitbrush (Cbrysothamnus sp.), and saltgrass (Disticblis stricta). The valley also contains significant growths of sedges (Carex sp.) and cattails (Typha sp.).

Ground water is discharged by evapotranspiration in two parts of Skull Valley. (See plate 1.) One tract is centered in T. 6 S., R. 8 W., in the vicinity of Orr's Ranch. The principal phreatophytes in the tract are greasewood and rabbitbrush which are mainly sparse, low plants, but locally are dense growths as much as 4 feet high (type 1 in table 6). The seasonal fluctuations of water levels in observation wells ( $\mathrm{C}-6-7$ ) $19 \mathrm{ccd}-1$, ( $\mathrm{C}-6-7$ ) 19ddd-1, and ( $\mathrm{C}-6-8$ ) 10 caa- 1 (figure 5) are interpreted as being due to evapotranspiration because these wells are not near any heavily pumped well.

The other main area of evapotranspiration in Skull Valley extends from T. 4 S. northward to U.S. Highway 40, where parts of the valley floor are covered with vegetation or the surface consists of broad, bare flats or playas. A band of vegetation characteristically occupies the zone between the flats and the steep slope of the adjacent alluvial aprons. This zone, mainly of greasewood, appears to extend from the altitude where the water table is just shallow enough to provide water to phreatophytes down to that where the soil is too saline to sustain plant growth. The zone of vegetation can be delineated rather accurately along the sides of the valley, but at its south end the limit of the zone was set arbitrarily, based on the estimated depth to water. The zone as a whole contains some small quantities of saltgrass at its lower margins (type 2 in table 6 ).

Considerable parts of the bare ground in the western part of the playas and flats are covered by sand dunes or erosional remnants of old lake deposits. The erosional remnants are bare, whereas the dunes characteristically have a moderate to sparse cover of plants and parts of the lowland have a sparse cover of vegetation where the level is slightly above the flats (type 3 in table 6). The eastern part of the flats (type 4 in table 6) contains more vegetation and is more moist. The densest growth of plants is in the northeastern side of the valley where springs provide water to phreatophytes and some hydrophytes that grow on saturated soils or in bodies of water (type 5 in table 6).

In the lowest part of Skull Valley, the estimates for evapotranspiration include both water discharged from the flats and water discharged from the saline springs. The rates in the western part of the flats are based almost entirely on evaporation from bare soil whereas those for the eastern part include evapotranspiration from a large marshy area.

Table 6 summarizes the data for the estimated annual evapotranspiration in Skull Valley.
Table 6. - Estimated annual evapotranspiration in Skull Valley
[Figures apply to area of valley south of U.S. Highway 40]

| Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { (acres) } \end{aligned}$ | Depth to water (feet) | Evapotranspiration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Acre-feet per acre | Acre-feet (rounded) |
| 1. Mixture of greasewood and rabbitbrush .-...... | 14,900 | 0-40 | 0.2 | 3,000 |
| 2. Mixture and separate stands of greasewood and saltgrass | 42,600 | 5-40 | .2- . 4 | 8,500-17,000 |
| 3. Bare ground with very sparse, scattered patches of miscellaneous phreatophytes | 22,400 | 0-30 | .05-. 1 | 1,100-2,200 |
|  | 23,700 | 0-5 | . 1 | 2,400 |
| 5. Marshy ground, dense phreatophytes hydrophytes, and small areas of open water $\qquad$ | 2,600 | 0 | 3.0-4.5 | 7,800-12,000 |
|  | 106,000 |  |  | 23,000-37,000 |

## Wells

Because of the small population and the primary dependence on surface water for stock and irrigation supplies, the valley contains few large-diameter wells. The wells are concentrated along the east side of the valley between Timpie and the Skull Valley Indian Reservation, in the vicinity of Orr's Ranch, and at the Dugway Proving Grounds cantonment. The following estimates of well discharge are based mainly on measurements made during a reconnaissance during 1963-65, electric power consumption, acreage, and the pumpage reported by the U.S. Army.

| Use | Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19571 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
|  | Acre-feet |  |  |  |
| Public supply and domestic ............................ | 760 | 1,450 | 1,520 | 1,460 |
| Stock ....................................................................- | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Irrigation and some stock ................................ | $\underline{2,700}$ | - | 2,600 | 3,500 |
| Total (rounded) ...................................... | 3,500 | - | 4,100 | 5,000 |

1 Estimated by K. R. Everett (written commun., 1957).

## Surface outflow of effluent ground water

Ground water discharged into surface channels consists of the flow of Big Spring and the associated small springs at Timpie, and of the wintertime low flow of Delle Springs Creek and a small unnamed channel that crosses U.S. Highway 40, near Delle. All other flow from springs in the drainage basin either is above the recharge area or is consumed by evapotranspiration.

The water from Big Spring and the associated small springs is discharged through a single ditch to the north side of U.S. Highway 40. The rate of flow fluctuates and during 1961-65 ranged from 2 to 8 cfs, based on a few miscellaneous measurements. The long-term average discharge rate is estimated to range from 4 to 8 cfs , or 2,900 to 5,800 acre-feet of water per year.

The flow of Delle Springs Creek and the unnamed channel near Delle is intermittent. Based on observations and reports from local residents, both streams appear to be dry more than half of each year. The few estimates of flow (table 3) indicate a wide variation in flow when water is present, and the estimated ground-water component of flow in the two streams is in the range of 0.5 to 2.5 cfs , or 360 to 1,800 acre-feet per year.

## Underflow

The north end of Skull Valley is open to the main structural depression of Great Salt Lake, the drainage terminus of the entire region. Water-level contours (plate 1) indicate that ground water moves northward, but the fill in the mouth of the valley is silt and clay for the most part, and thus the quantity of underflow probably is small. The underflow ( $Q$ ) is estimated using the width (W) of the valley mouth along U.S. Highway 40, the slope of the water table (I), and the coefficient of transmissibility (T) in the formula

$$
\mathrm{Q}=0.00112 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{~W}
$$

The width of the section through which underflow occurs is 7 miles, and the slope of the water table is assumed to be 5 feet per mile. Assuming a coefficient of transmissibility of 20,000 gpd per ft (gallons per day per foot), the annual volume of underflow is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} & =0.00112 \times 20,000 \times 5 \times 7 \\
& =784 \text { acre-feet per year, or } 800 \text { acre-feet per year (rounded) }
\end{aligned}
$$

If the coefficient of transmissibility is assumed to be twice as great, the underflow would be about 1,600 acre-feet per year.

## Ground-water budget

The average quantity of ground water recharged to and discharged from Skull Valley is estimated to be between 30,000 and 50,000 acre-feet per year. The quantities of water that are involved in the several processes are shown in table 7. Most of the figures for the natural processes are given a range of values that account for estimated maxima and minima. Ranges, rather than specific amounts, are given because the estimates are based on rather flexible considerations.

## Table 7. - Ground-water budget for Skull Valley

| Item | Acre-feet per year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Recharge (p. 26) | 30,000-50,000 |
| Discharge |  |
| Evapotranspiration (table 6) | 23,000-37,000 |
| Surface outflow across U. S. Highway 40: |  |
| Big Spring area at Timple (p. 28) | 2,900-5,800 |
| Delle Springs Creek and unnamed creek near Delle (estimated ground-water component only, p. 28) | 360-1,800 |
| Underflow in valley fill (p. 29) | 800-1,600 |
| Total natural ground-water discharge (rounded) | 27,000-46,000 |
| Discharge from wells (1965, p. 28) | 5,000 |
| Total discharge (rounded) ... | 30,000-50,000 |

## Perennial yield

The perennial yield of a ground-water reservoir is the maximum amount of water of suitable chemical quality that can be withdrawn economically each year for an indefinite period of years. The perennial yield cannot exceed the natural discharge; moreover, the yield will be limited to the amount of natural discharge that can economically be salvaged for beneficial use.

In Skull Valley, the maximum amount of natural discharge that is available for salvage is the estimated evapotranspiration loss of $23,000-37,000$ acre-feet per year (table 6) plus surface outflow of ground-water effluent. If additional wells are drilled near the areas of evapotranspiration, a part of the water now lost could be salvaged.

The salvage of natural discharge appears most feasible in the southern part of Skull Valley in the vicinity of Orr's Ranch, where about 3,000 acre-feet of ground water is wasted annually by greasewood and rabbitbrush (table 6). In the north-central part of Skull Valley, an additional amount of ground water might be salvaged by lowering water levels somewhat, but when the cones of depression of water levels around the areas of development reach the flats and the areas of saline springs, further lowering would be accompanied by the threat of saline-water encroachment.

Based on these considerations, it is estimated that $5,000-10,000$ acre-feet of ground water might be diverted to beneficial use, but the recovery of even this amount would require the careful positioning of wells in order to decrease the rate of natural losses and yet avoid the threat of saline-water encroachment.

In 1965, the withdrawal of ground water through wells in Skull Valley amounted to about 5,000 acre-feet. Only a part of the water pumped is thought to have been diverted from loss by evapotranspiration, and the rest of the pumped water came from storage. If development of the valley requires quantities of water appreciably greater than the potential salvage of ground water by loss from evapotranspiration, the additional withdrawal of water would lower water levels.

## Storage

Under natural conditions, a ground-water system is in dynamic equilibrium; long-term average annual natural recharge and discharge are equal, and the amount of ground water in transient storage remains nearly constant.

The change of water levels in wells indicates changes in storage. Measurements of the water levels in observation wells in Skull Valley show that as a whole the withdrawal of water from wells has not appreciably altered the natural balance. (See figure 5.) Only in the vicinity of Dugway, where water is pumped for public supply, have water levels declined appreciably in response to pumping.

Recoverable ground water in storage is that part of the stored water that will drain by gravity from the ground-water reservoir as water levels are lowered. It is the product of the specific yield of the reservoir rocks, the saturated thickness, and the area. The specific yield of the upper 100 feet of the ground-water reservoir in Skull Valley is estimated to be at least 10 percent. The reservoir underlies about 230,000 acres. Assuming a uniform lowering of water levels of 100 feet, the ground-water reservoir would yield at least 2.3 million acre-feet, or $50-80$ times the estimated average annual recharge.

Not all the recoverable ground water is fresh. Most water stored in the valley north of Salt Mountain is saline. South of Salt Mountain, the estimated quantity of recoverable water in the upper 100 feet of the reservoir is about 1.4 million acre-feet, of which 1 million acre-feet or more may be of a chemical quality suitable for irrigation and domestic use.


Figure 5. - Water levels in observation wells in Skull Valley and the cumulative departure from the 1951-64 average annual precipitation at Dugway.

## Chemical quality of water

The dissolved-solids content of the water in the Skull Valley drainage basin ranges from 98 to $17,200 \mathrm{ppm}$ (parts per million) (tables 10 and 11). Though the range is wide, there are areas in which the dissolved-solids content is relatively uniform and the water is similar in chemical composition (plate 2). The water from springs in the Stansbury Mountains generally contains lower concentrations of dissolved solids than that from springs in the Cedar Mountains, and the ground water in the southern part of the valley contains lowere concentrations of dissolved solids than that in the northern part.

## Mountains

The dissolved-solids content of the water from the springs and streams in the Stansbury Mountains ranges from 98 to 395 ppm , and the principal chemical constituents are generally calcium and bicarbonate. The water discharged by the streams originating at high altitudes contains the lowest concentrations of dissolved solids; the water discharged from springs at lower altitudes toward the northern and southern ends of the Stansbury Mountains contain slightly higher concentrations. From Indian Hickman Canyon, north to Chokecherry Spring, (C-3-7) $29 \mathrm{bcb}-\mathrm{S} 1$, the concentration of dissolved solids of the water ranges from 98 to 229 ppm , and the relative proportions of the chemical constituents are similar. North of this area, the water from spring (C-3-8)12ab-S, which discharges on the lower slopes of the mountains, has a concentration of dissolved solids of 395 ppm and contains relatively larger amounts of sodium and chloride ions. A spring at the southern end of the Stansbury Mountains and another at the northwestern slopes of the Onaqui Mountains near Johnson Pass yield water containing concentrations of dissolved solids of 241 and 374 ppm , and the principal chemical constituents are calcium and bicarbonate.

Water from three springs in the Cedar Mountains contains dissolved solids ranging from 1,940 to $2,380 \mathrm{ppm}$; the principal chemical constituents are sodium and chloride. Water from White Rock Spring, (C-6-9)6dbb-S1, however, contains only 200 ppm of dissolved solids, and sodium and bicarbonate are the principal chemical constituents. White Rock Spring is not perennial and the comparatively lower concentration of dissolved solids may be due to local recharge received during the spring runoff season.

## Valley

South of Iosepa, the ground water contains dissolved solids ranging from 137 to $2,570 \mathrm{ppm}$. Most of the water, however, contains dissolved solids ranging from 500 to $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. Sodium and chloride are the principal chemical constituents, and the two ions generally account for $50-60$ percent of the total equivalents per million of the cations and anions. There is no apparent relation between the chemical quality of the water and the depth of the source; locally the ground water from springs and from shallow and deep wells is of similar chemical composition.

From Dugway northward to Orr's Ranch, the chemical composition of the ground water is similar. Several springs immediately south of Orr's Ranch yield a sodium chloride water
of uniform composition; the concentration of dissolved solids ranges from 706 to 926 ppm . Several wells north and northeast of Orr's Ranch discharge a similar type water, but there are small local areas (plate 2) in which the concentration of dissolved solids of the ground water exceeds $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. Well (C-5-8) $34 \mathrm{bdb}-2$ yields water containing the highest concentration of dissolved solids ( $2,570 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) observed in the southern part of the valley.

North of the Skull Valley Indian Reservation, the ground water in the alluvial apron on the east side of the valley contains the lowest concentrations of dissolved solids in the valley. The concentrations of dissolved solids in eight samples ranges from 137 to 839 ppm . Three wells north of the reservation discharge water which ranges in total solids from 616 to 839 ppm ; the principal chemical constituents are calcium, sodium, and chloride. Four wells farther north, near Iosepa, yield water which contains less than 500 ppm of dissolved solids; the principal chemical constituents are calcium and bicarbonate.

The ground water in the valley north of Iosepa and west of Timpie contains dissolved solids ranging from 1,610 to $7,850 \mathrm{ppm}$. The discharge from Delle Springs Creek at (C-1-8) 2 cd (table 11), however, which consists of effluent ground water contains $17,200 \mathrm{ppm}$ of dissolved solids. The percentages of total equivalents per million of sodium and chloride increase with increasing dissolved solids (figure 6).

Much of the ground water discharged in the northern part of the valley is from the artesian springs between Iosepa and Timpie. The composition of the water discharged by wells near some of the springs is similar to that discharged by the springs. Wells (C-2-7)6caa-2 and (C-2-7)7ccc-1, for example, discharge water of almost identical chemical composition to that of Burnt Spring, ( $\mathrm{C}-2-7$ ) 6 cda -S1, (table 10). Most of the artesian springs are thermal (White, 1957, p. 1638). The range in annual average air temperature in Skull Valley is 48 $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, and the artesian springs discharge water ranging in temperature from 61 to $73^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The temperature and the chemical characteristics of the water suggest that water containing high dissolved-solids concentrations moves upward from deep aquifers and the wide range of dis-solved-solids concentrations is due to mixing near the surface with water containing lower dissolved-solids concentrations.

## Changes in chemical quality

Significant changes in the chemical quality of ground water have been recorded at only two wells in Skull Valley, (C-7-8) 9dad-1 and (C-7-8) 9dca-1. These are public-supply wells near Dugway, which have been sampled periodically during a 16 -year period (table 10). The dissolved solids and the relative concentrations of the constituents in water from these wells have fluctuated during the entire sampling period, whereas the chemical composition of water from two adjacent public-supply wells, (C-7-8) $15 \mathrm{cba}-1$ and (C-7-8) $22 \mathrm{adb}-2$, has remained relatively constant when observed during the last 9 years of the sampling period.

The concentration of nitrate and the relative concentrations of calcium and sulfate increased with increasing dissolved solids (figure 7a) at wells (C-7-8) 9dad-1 and (C-7-8)9dca-1. The relative concentrations (shown in figure 7 a as percentage equivalents per million) of 'he other constituents either decreased or remained virtually the same.


Figure 6. - Relations of sodium plus potassium and chloride concentrations to the concentration of dissolved solids in ground water in northern Skull Valley.
Number by data point indicates the number of analyses represented.


Figure 7a. - Fluctuations in chemical composition of water from wells (C-7-8)9dad-1 and (C-7-8)9dca-1, 1951-65.
Number by data point indicates the number of analyses represented.


Figure 7b. - Relation of gallons of water pumped to the concentration of dissolved solids in water from well (C-7-8)9dad-1, November 15, 1956.

The increase in the concentration of dissolved solids apparently is related to the amount of water pumped continuously from wells (C-7-8) 9dad-1 and (C-7-8) 9dca-1. Figure 7b shows the relation between the amount of water pumped from well (C-7-8) 9 dad- 1 on November 15, 1956, and the dissolved-solids concentration in the water. Prior to the 6-hour pumping period, neither well (C-7-8) 9dad-1 nor (C-7-8) 9dca-1 had been pumped for more than 24 hours. Water with low dissolved-solids concentration is most frequently obtained on days following periods of small daily demand (less than 200,000 gallons). The reasons for the fluctuations in chemical quality of the water is not known and needs further study.

## Irrigation supplies

In Skull Valley, precipitation must generally be supplemented to provide adequate water for crops, which in 1965 were mainly alfalfa and wheatgrass. The streamflow that can be diverted to arable lands is mainly from the Stansbury Mountains and is generally suitable for agricultural use. Ground water used for irrigation in the valley is obtained from both springs and wells.

The chemical quality factors that determine the suitability of water for irrigation are the concentration of dissolved solids and the relative proportions of some of the ions in solution. In this report, the suitability of water for irrigation is judged by the classification developed by the U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954, p. 79-81). (See figure 8.) It must be emphasized that the classification is based on "average conditions" with respect to soil texture, climate, drainage, salt tolerance of crops, and management practices.

Most of the water in southern Skull Valley has a low-sodium hazard and a medium to high salinity hazard (figure 8). Water from one source in southern Skull Valley, and all those in northern Skull Valley have high to very high sodium and salinity hazards. Most of the field and forage crops grown in Skull Valley have medium to high salinity tolerances as indicated by the following tabulation taken from the U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954, p. 67):

| High | Medium |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Forage crops |
| Saltgrass | Perennial ryegrass |
| Western wheatgrass | Sudan grass |
| Barley (hay) | Alfalfa |
|  | Rye (hay) |
|  | Wheat (hay) |
|  | Oats (hay) |
|  | Blue grama |
|  | Field crops |
| Barley (grain) | Rye (grain) |
|  | Wheat (grain) |
|  | Oats (grain) |
|  | Sorghum (grain) |
|  | Corn (field) |

Residual sodium carbonate was present in water from only one source in Skull Valley. Based on calculations from data in table 10, spring (C-3-7)7daa-S1 yields water containing


Figure 8. - Classification of water for irrigation in Skull Valley.
0.8 epm (equivalents per million) of residual sodium carbonate. According to the U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954, p. 81) water containing less than 1.25 epm probably is safe for use in irrigation. Boron concentrations exceed the limits for sensitive crops only in some of the water in the northern part of the valley.

## Domestic supplies

Most of the ground water in Skull Valley contains more than 180 ppm of hardness as calcium carbonate ( $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) and is classed as very hard (Irelan and Mendieta, 1964, p. K-5).

Much of the water contains one or more constituents in concentrations that exceed the maximum limits for drinking-water standards recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service (1962).

The recommended maximum limits are:

| $\quad$ Substance | Concentration <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sulfate $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ | 250 |
| Chloride (Cl) | 250 |
| Flouride (F) | 1.1 .2 |
| Nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ | 45 |
| Iron plus manganese $(\mathrm{Fe}+\mathrm{Mn})$ | .30 |
| Dissolved solids | 500 |

1 Maximum recommended for water used in public supplies at average annual maximum daily air temperatures prevailing in Skull Valley (U.S. Public Health Service, 1962, p. 8).

Although one or more of the constituents in most of the water in Skull Valley exceeds the limits recommended for domestic purposes, nitrate and flouride concentrations were within the limits. Continued monitoring of the nitrate content in public supplies, however, is desirable because high concentrations of nitrate can cause fatal poisoning in infants. The nitrate concentrations have ranged from 0.6 to 20 ppm and 3.3 to 20 ppm in wells (C-7-8) 9dad-1 and (C-7-8)9dca-1, respectively.

## LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

The Skull Valley drainage basin includes about 560,000 acres of land, but the valley and alluvial slopes that border the mountain ranges cover only about 250,000 acres. Perhaps two-thirds of the alluvial slopes are sufficiently gentle to permit agricultural development if adequate water and suitable soils were present. The actual area that can be developed is considerably smaller, however, because of poor soils, insufficient water, or water of poor chemical quality.

## Past and present

The drainage basin is used primarily as grazing land for livestock. Between 10 and 15 percent of the land is private-mainly in the vicinity of State Highway 108 and on the adja-
cent slopes of the Stansbury and Onaqui Mountains. Small parts of the valley are included in the Dugway Proving Grounds of the U.S. Army and the Skull Valley Indian Reservation. Much of the Stansbury Mountains are included in the Wasatch National Forest, and the remaining lands are public domain and State lands.

Except for use by the U.S. Army, the present (1966) land use pattern extends back at least as far as 1911 when the valley was described by Carpenter (1913, p. 82). He states that streams supplied irrigation water to about 45 acres at Condie's Ranch on Barlow Creek, the Goshuit (presently Skull Valley) Indian Reservation on Hickman Creek, about 100 acres at Brown's Ranch on Antelope Creek, and to the Livestock Co. ranch on Lost Creek. In 1911, springs supplied irrigation water to the Hatch Ranch (in sec. 9, T. 6 S., R. 7 W.), to about 80 acres on Orr's Ranch, and to the Iosepa settlement.

The Soil Conservation Service (M. W. Lewis, oral commun., 1966) estimated that in 1965, the valley contained about 2,600 acres of land used or intended for use as irrigated farmland. About 450 acres were irrigated entirely with water from springs; less than 600 acres were irrigated entirely with well water; and the remaining land was irrigated primarily with water from springs and streams. Lands in the latter category are between Iosepa and State Highway 215 and are provided with supplemental irrigation water from large-diameter wells. All actively irrigated farmland is on the lower parts of the alluvial apron that borders the Stansbury-Onaqui Mountains.

## Future

Skull Valley can support some additional development based on ground-water supplies. North of Iosepa, in T. 2 S., Rs. 7 and 8 W., a relatively small area of land along State Highway 108 is known to be underlain by water suitable for agriculture, and a part of the area is being developed slowly. Future development of large irrigated tracts north of Iosepa seems unlikely.

In the valley south of Iosepa, approximately 100,000 acres are thought to be underlain by water suitable for irrigation. The lowest parts of this area, however, contain soils that may be saline or susceptible to damage by water with a large sodium-adsorption ratio. On the uppermost slopes of the alluvial aprons south of Iosepa, the depth to water indicates that pumping for irrigation would be costly. K. R. Everett (written commun., 1957) rightly points out that the most desirable areas for development of irrigated land are those on the slopes of the alluvial apron, where soils appear to be best drained and permeable. The area of the lower slopes amounts to perhaps a quarter of the area south of Iosepa and thus includes a total of about 25,000 acres.

Within the approximate 25,000 acres, withdrawal of ground water would cause a decline of water levels because pumping would not appreciably increase recharge or decrease discharge. Thus the water would be removed from storage. If 3 acre-feet per acre were applied to the land annually, the annual use would be about 75,000 acre-feet per year, or approximately $71 / 2$ percent of the estimated minimum total storage of water suitable for agricultural use in Skull Valley.

Salvage of ground water from loss by evapotranspiration might be most effective in the phreatophyte area in the vicinity of Orr's Ranch. If all water now discharged by evapotranspiration in that area were salvaged, the annual savings would be about 3,000 acre-feet.

## PROPOSALS FOR ADDITIONAL STUDIES

Because Skull Valley has a potential for development, a detailed water-resources investigation is needed to refine the estimates given in this reconnaissance. Such a study should include the following considerations:

1. A comprehensive inventory of the water resources of the valley should be made to supplement the coverage of this reconnaissance. Detailed data should be obtained on the hydraulic characteristics of existing wells, the discharge characteristics of both the large saline springs in the valley and the large mountain springs, the use of water in the valley, and the availability of surface-water supply.
2. A systematic study of the unconsolidated rocks and their relation to the older rocks of Tertiary and Paleozoic age should be made to aid in the evaluation of the aquifer framework.
3. After study and analysis of existing records and surface conditions, drilling of several test holes may be justified to aid geologic and hydrologic analysis. At least one such test hole should be drilled in the west side of the valley, one in the central part of the valley axis, one near the large, warm saline springs, one in the alluvial apron west of the Stansbury Mountains, and one in the pass between Skull and Government Creek Valleys.
4. Streamflow records should be accumulated by expanding the crest-stage gage program in the valley and by installing temporary gaging stations. Such stations would have to be operated several years in order to accumulate adequate records. The flow of the perennial surface streams and the large springs should be measured, including Delle Springs Creek at U.S. Highway 40 and Big Spring.
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## BASIC DATA

## Table 8. - Records of selected wells and springs in Skull Valley

Location: See text for description of well- and spring-numbering system.
Alt1tude: Altitudes of 1 and-surface datum above mean sea level estimated from topographic maps
Type of well: Dr, drilled; J, jetted.
Depth of well: Measured
Casing: Depth reported.
Character of material in main aquifer: $C$, conglomerate; $C$, gravel; L, limestone; $S$, sand.
 T , turbine pump. Number in parentheses indicates horsepower.
Yield: B, bailer test; E, estimated; M, measured; R, reported.
Use of water: $H$, domestic, $I$, irrigation, $N$, industrial; $P$, public supply; $S$, stock; $U$, unused; R, wildife refuge; $Z$, highway construction.
Remarks and other data available: A or $C$ preceding number indicates application or claim in files of Utah State Engineer; C, chemical analysis listed in table 10 ;


| Location | Owner or name |  |  |  |  | Casing |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Character of material } \\ & \text { in main aquifer } \end{aligned}$ | Water level |  |  | Yield |  |  |  | Remarks and other data avallable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \approx \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{B}-1-8) \\ & \text { 31dac-1 } \end{aligned}$ | Ceorgia Lynn Mining Co. | 1938 | 4,290 | Dr | 128 | - | 10 | - | -39.7 | 10-7-52 | N | 150R | - | U | - | At Delle Smelter; water reported salty. |
| $\begin{gathered} (B-1-9) \\ 3 \mathrm{ccc}-1 . \end{gathered}$ | Marblehead Lime Go. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1956 \\ 1957 \end{array}$ | 4,600 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dr} \\ & \mathrm{Dr} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 450.0 \\ & 543 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} 217 \\ 543 \end{array}$ | 6 8,6 | S | -391.7 | 12-22-65 | - | $<100 \mathrm{R}$ 30 B | 6-6-57 | N | $\cdots$ | A-28500 and A-29437. Drilled to 450 ft in 1956; deepened to 543 ft in 1957. Casing: 8 -inch to 508 ft , 6 -inch, 501-543 ft. Perf 395-543 ft. Dd 15 ft . L. |
| 9bcb-1 | U.S. Bureau of Land Management We11 82 | 1935 | 4,530 | Dr | 395 | 395 | 8,6 | S | -277.0 -277.2 -279.2 -278.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 12-6-46 \\ 12-11-50 \\ 10-22-62 \\ 3-5-63 \end{array}$ | -, G | 20R | ${ }^{-}$ | S | 57 | A-13071. Casing; 8-inch, 0-127 ft; 6 -inch to 395 ft . Water reported slightly brackish. L. |
| 16haa-1 | M. Morrin and Son Co. | 1958 | 4,490 | Dr | 825 | 808 | 12 | G | -258.9 | 12-22-65 | - | 500R | 7-11-58 | 2 | - | A-30015. Dd 138 ft reported. L. |
| $24 \mathrm{cdd}-1$ | Bertagnole | 1952 | 4,460 | Dr | 215 | 215 | 6 | G | -175 | 12--52 | T, - | 40R | - | s | 75 | A-24411. Dd 20 ft reported. C, L. |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{B}-2-8) \\ & \quad 28 \mathrm{dcb}-1 \end{aligned}$ | U.S. Bureau of Land Management Well 80 | 1935 | 4,260 | Dr | 122 | 122 | 8 | G | -39.4 | 8-27-65 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P,G } \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | 20R | - | S | - | A-13071. Water reported slightly brackish. C, L. |
| $\begin{gathered} (C-1-7) \\ 8 \mathrm{dbb}-1 \end{gathered}$ | Wayne Rowberry | 1905 | 4,220 | Dr | 1.06 | - | - | 5 | - | - | N | * | - | U | - | A-25215. WDR 10907. At Timpie Service Station; abandoned because water is salty. L. |
| 9caa-S1 | Utah State Fish and Game Dept. | - | 4,218 | - | - | - | - | 1. | - | - | - | 30 E | 8-27-65 | R | 72 | South side of U.S. Highway 40, east of Big Spring. |
| $9 \mathrm{cad}-\mathrm{sl}$ | do | - | 4,219 | - | - | - | - | E(?) | - | - | - | 30 E | 8-27-65 | 8 | 72 | Do. |
| $9 \mathrm{ccc}-\mathrm{S1}$ | do | - | 4,215 | - | - | - | - | L or C | - | - | - | 2,390M | 9-18-65 | R | 65 | Issues from conglomerate(?) at base of limestone bluff. C. |
| 15bdb-Sl | Spring | - | 4,218 | - | - | - | - | L(?) | - | - | - | - | - | U | 74 | In Timpie Valley at edge of saltflats. C. |
| 25acc-S1 | do | - | 4,240 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | U | 68 | Do. |
| 25bca-S1 | do | - | 4,237 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | s | 59 | Do. |
| 25dab-s1 | Utah Lime Co. | - | 4,240 | - | - | - | - | L(?) | 11 | - | - | - | - | U | 66 | Do. |
| 26dbb-1 | do | 1952 | 4,350 | Dr | 152 | 152 | - | - | -110 | - | - | 208 | 7-29-52 | U | - | A-23905. WDR 9533. Water reported too saline for use. Dd 10 ft on 7-29-52. L. |
| 29bdd-1 | Connie Hoopiiania | 1958 | - | Dr | 100 | 100 | 6 | G | -18 | 5--58 | - | 300R | 5--58 | - | - | A-25538. Aquifer 37-63 ft salty; 7l-100 ft "fair quality." Dd 54 ft . L. |
| 30add-1 | W. C. Callister | 1954 | 4,250 | br | 132.0 | - | 6 | S,G | -21 -31.3 -31.8 -23.0 |  | T, - | 220 R | - | I, S | - | A-24653. WDR 10656. Drilled to 140 ft . Perf. below 35 ft . Dd 14 ft . C, L. |
| 30add-2 | v. Anderson | 1956 | 4,244 | Dr | 100 | - | 8 | G | -28 | - | - | 350R | - | I, S | - | A-25142. WDR 12311. Perf, below 50 ft . L. |
| 31asd-1 | L. C. Hale | 1955 | - | Dr | 100 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 100M | 8-30-55 | I, H, S | 60 |  |
| 31ded-1 | A. B, Ca11ister and L. C. Hale | 1957 | 4,245 | Dr | 130 | 130 | 6 | G | +2.3 | 3-5-63 | N | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{R} \\ & 1.3 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1957 \\ 7-18-63 \end{gathered}$ | S | 62 | A-28748. WDR 12789. Perf. 110-130 ft. C, L. |
| 32bd | do | 1959 | 4,270 | Dr | 130 | 130 | 12 | G | -43 -30.0 -41.0 | $6-\quad-59$ $7-18-63$ $12-22-65$ | T, G | $1,800 \mathrm{R}$ 1,000E | $6-29-59$ $7-18-63$ | I, H, S | 66 | A-28809. WDR 13695. Perf. below 60 ft . Dd 17 ft on 6-29-59. C , L . |
| $\begin{gathered} (C-1-8) \\ \quad 6 \mathrm{abc}-1 \end{gathered}$ | C. Hammond | 1949 | 4,250 | Dr | 64 | 52 | 6,4 | L(?) | -20 | 11--49 | J, E | 10R | 11--49 | H | 80 | A-21142. WDR 7293. Perf. 20-52 ft. Dd 17 ft in November 1949. Water reported too saline for any use except flushing. L. |
| 6add-1 | Utah State Road Commission | 1956 | 4,240 | Dr | 150 | - | 5 | - | -35 | 1--56 | N | 70B | 1--56 | v | - | A-27690. WDR 12160. Well abandoned and second well drilled. |
| 6acd-2 | do | 1956 | 4,240 | Dr | 605 | 605 | 6 | G(?) | -60 | 5--56 | - | - | - | z | - | A-27690. WDR 12236. L. |
| 25caa-1 | c. H. Callister | 1.954 | 4,230 | Dr | 306 | 240 | 6 | s | - | - | N | - | - | U | - | A-25138. WDR 10906. Water reported salty. L. |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{C}-2-7) \\ & \quad 6 \mathrm{caa-1} \end{aligned}$ | J. Q. Griffiths | 1953 | 4,250 | Dr | 255 | - | 12 | S,G | -12.6 | 12-22-65 | C, G | $\begin{aligned} & 450 \mathrm{R} \\ & 100 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7-31-53 \\ & 3-1.6-55 \end{aligned}$ | L, H, S | 62 | A-24665. WDR 10307. Perf. below 45 ft . L. |
| 6caa-2 | do | 1954 | 4,250 | Dr | 130 | - | 6 | S, G | +7.0 | 4- -54 | F | 50 E | 3-16-55 | I, S | 60 | A-24665. Perf. below $60 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{C},$.L . |
| 6cda-S1 | Burnt Spring | - | 4,255 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seep } \\ 26 \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1955 \\ 7-18-63 \end{gathered}$ | S | 67 | C. |
| $7 \mathrm{hce}-1$ | D. Lawrence | - | 4,270 | Dr | 170 | - | 16 | - | -16 | - | T, G |  | 18 | 1 | - |  |
| $7 \mathrm{ccc}-1$ | D. ${ }^{\text {do }}$ | 1954 | 4,287 | Dr | 175 | 173 | 16 | G | -16 -31.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 2-11-54 \\ 12-22-65 \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 6008 | 2--54 | I | - | A-24813. WDR 10667. Perf. 65-172 ft. Yield reported during development; Dd 24 ft reported. C. |

Table 8. - (Continued)

|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { a }}$ |  |  | Casi |  |  | Water | leve 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Owner or name |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -1 \\ -7 \\ 3 \\ y_{0} \\ 0 \\ y \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sharp}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of } \\ & \text { 合 } \\ & \text { co } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Remarks and other data avallable |
| ( $\mathrm{C}-2-8$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13dcb-si | Muskrat Spring | - | 4,275 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | Seep 50E | $\begin{aligned} & 1955 \\ & 7-18-63 \end{aligned}$ | I | 66 | C. |
| 24 bca-1 | A. Cole | 1957 | 4,288 | Dr | 136 | - | 10 | G | -7 -4.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 6-\quad-57 \\ & 9-13-57 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | A-24814. WDR 12900. L. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -5.5 | 10-10-57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24bcd-1 | E. R. Flinders | 1954 | 4,290 | Dr | 132 | - | 12 | S,G | -5 <br> -25.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 5-29-54 \\ & 3-16-55 \end{aligned}$ | C, G | 350 R 540 M | 7-1-54 | 1 | 61 | A-24914. Dd $13 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{L}$. |
| 24cc-S1 | Spring | - | 4,290 | - | - | - | - | - | 25.5 | - | - | 25E | 7-18-63 | I, s | 64 | Three-quarters of a mile north of Horseshoe Springs. C. |
| 24 cc -S2 | do | - | 4,290 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25E | 7-18-63 | I, S | 72 | Do. |
| 25bbd-1 | M. D. Arbon | - | 4,319 | Dr | 147 | 146 | 12 | G | - | - | $\stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}}$ | 45 R 355 M | 7-1-54 | 1,s | 64 | A-26105. WDR 11203. Dd 12 ft on 7-1-54. C, L. |
| 26dab-S1 | North Horseshoe Spring | - | 4,275 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | c, | - | - | I | 73 |  |
| 26dbc-SI | South Horseshoe Spring | - | 4,275 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3-10E | 1.953 | I | 73 | In 1957, Everett (1958) estimated total flow from the Horseshoe Springs at $30 \mathrm{cfs} . \mathrm{C}$. |
| $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{C}-2-9) \\ 7 \mathrm{cb}-\mathrm{S} \end{gathered}$ | Redlum Spring | - | 4,950 | - | - | - | - | L(?) | - | - | - | 2 E | - | 5 | 70 | Water at sampling point reportedly piped short distance from fault zone. c. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20bbd-st } \\ & (\mathrm{C}-3-7) \end{aligned}$ | Henry's Spring | - | 4,600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1-10E | - | 5 | - |  |
| 7s | Delle Ranch Spring | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,000R | - | 5 | 59 | Exact location not identified. |
| 7cba-St | Spring | - | 5,300 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | North of old Delle Ranch buildings. |
| 7 daa -S 1 | do | - | 5,640 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.7M | - | s | 55 | Northeast of old Delle Ranch. G. |
| $9 \mathrm{cba}-\mathrm{S} 1$ | do | - | 6,320 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | s | 5 | In Box Canyon |
| 16aad-S1 | do | - | 7,240 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | S | 51 | Head of Little Granite Canyon. |
| 29beb-S1 | Chokecherry Spring | - | 5,710 | - | - | - | - | L | - | - | - | 450 E $1,350 \mathrm{R}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7-31-63 \\ & 3-11-65 \end{aligned}$ | I, s | 51 | Part of irrigation supply to Deseret Ranch at Iosepa. C. |
| 30cdb-S1 | Spring | - | 5,620 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | On alluvial slope below Pass and Little Pole Canyons. |
| 30 cdc -si | do | - | 5,620 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Do. |
| 30dac-s1 | do | - | 5,880 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 170 R 110 R | $\begin{aligned} & 3-11-65 \\ & 7-1-65 \end{aligned}$ | I, S | - | Part of irrigation supply to Deseret Ranch at Iosepa. At mouth of Pass Салуon. |
| 30ddb-S1 | do | - | 5,830 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 E | ;-31-63 | I, S | - | Part of irrigation supply to Deseret Ranch at Iosepa. At mouth of Pass Canyon. C. |
| 32 bdd -S1 | do | - | 6,740 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | In Little Pole Canyon. |
| 32 ccd -S1 | do | - | 6,780 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | In Big Pole Canyon |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{c}-3-8) \\ & 10 \mathrm{ccc}-\mathrm{s} 1 \end{aligned}$ | Deseret Livestock <br> Co. South <br> Springs | - | 4,320 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,800E | 7-30-63 | 1, 5 | 73 | Yield is combined discharge of five springs in north end of Deseret South Springs area. Springs are aligned in a northward trend. Everett (1958) estimated total discharge from Deseret Springs area to be on the order of 30-50 cfs. C. |
| 12ab-s | Spring | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.6 M | 7-30-63 | s | 66 | Spring formerly used by Western Pacific Rallroad Co. C. |
| 15b-S | Deseret Livestock <br> Co. South Springs | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 4,320 \\ 4,330 \end{array}\right\|$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | I, ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | - | Five springs in part of Deseret South Springs area. See also (C-3-8) 10cce-S1, |
| 15cba-s1 | do | - | 4,340 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2305 | 7-30-63 | I, s | 71 | Individual spring in Deseret South Springs area. C. |
| $21 \mathrm{ddb}-\mathrm{SI}$ | Deseret Livestock Co. | - | 4,360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.0E | 7-23-63 | $s$ | 75 |  |
| 21dd-S | do | - | - | - | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | Water reported too brackish for culinary purposes (Carpenter, 1913, p. 82). |
| 2\dddi-1 | do | 1963 | 4,420 | Dr | 107 | 107 | 6 | s,g | -46 | 5-28-63 | - | - | - | H | - | A-35231. Perf. 73-83 and 88-105 ft with Mills knife. L. |
| 25dbi-s1 | do | - | 5,220 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 E | 7-31-63 | S | 54 |  |
| $28 \mathrm{adc}-1$ | do | 1961 | 4,430 | Dr | 396 | 396 | 16 | s,g,c | -90 | 4-25-61 | $\begin{gathered} T, E \\ (150) \end{gathered}$ | 2,500R | 4- 61. | , | 56 | A-32795. Perf. $21 / 2 \times 5 / 16$-inch in zones at 115-170, 260-278, 290-300, 305-335, and 365-385 ft. Dd 25 ft after 12 hrs of pumping. $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{L}$. |
| 28bcd-1 | do | 1957 | 4,380 | Dr | 183 | - | 16 | S,G | -31 | 1--57 | $\begin{aligned} & T, E \\ & (50) \end{aligned}$ | $1,100 \mathrm{R}$ $1,400 \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-\quad-57 \\ & 7-23-63 \end{aligned}$ | I | 56 | A-28673. WDR 12768. Perf, below 50 ft . C, L. |
| $28 \mathrm{ddb}-1$ | do | 1956 | 4,450 | Dr | 241 | 241 | 16 | G | $\begin{gathered} -91 \\ -105.8 \\ -106.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 6- & -56 \\ 12- & 3-62 \\ 12-16-65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E} \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | 1,300R | 6-29-56 | I | 56 | A-27752. Perf. below 119 ft . Dd 97 ft on 6-29-56. C, L. |
| $\begin{array}{r} (c-3-9) \\ 8 \mathrm{cc}-5 \end{array}$ | Eight-mile Spring | - | 4,750 | - | - | - | - | L | - | - | - | 3.6 M | 7-23-63 | s | 58 | One of several small springs that issue from limestone at edge of small syncline Gross flow from spring area estimated to be 100 gpm . C. |

Table 8. - (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ing |  | Water | level. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Owner or name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remarks and other data available |
| (C-4-7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5ad-S |  | - | 8,160 |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | Upper spring in Big Pole Ganyon. Lower spring in Spring Canyon. |
| 5cc-s | do | - | 7,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Lower spring in Spring Canyon. Upper spring in Spring Canyon. |
| $8 \mathrm{Bab-S}$ | do | - | 7,580 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Upper spring in Spring Canyon. In Big Creek Canyon. |
| $16 \mathrm{bb-S}$ $17 \mathrm{db}-5$ | do | - | 8,380 8,560 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | In Big Creek Canyon. <br> Head of Middle Lost Canyon. |
| 20ab-s | do | - | 7,920 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Upper spring in South Lost Canyon. |
| 28aca-s | do | - | 8,240 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | In Antelope Canyon. |
| 33ad-s | do | - | 7,180 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Middle spring in Indian Hickman Canyon. |
| $33 \mathrm{db}-\mathrm{S}$ | do | - | 6,740 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Head of perennial flow in Indian Hickman Canyon. |
| $\begin{gathered} 34 b a-5 \\ (\mathrm{C}-4-8) \end{gathered}$ | do | - | 7,580 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Upper spring in Indian Hickman Canyon. |
| $3 \mathrm{ccb}-1$ | Deseret Livestock Co. | 1956 | 4,435 | Dr | 316 | 316 | 16 | G | -93 -78.7 -58 | 1957 $7-2-57$ 1958 | - | 1,300R | 3- -56 | - | - | A-27751. WDR 12372. Replaced by ccb-2. Perf. below 93 ft . L. |
| $3 \mathrm{ccb}-2$ | do | 1958 | 4,435 | Dr | 331 | 331 | 16 | G | -84 | 6--58 | $\begin{gathered} T, E \\ (75) \end{gathered}$ | 977 M 995 M | $\begin{aligned} & 7-31-63 \\ & 7-31-64 \end{aligned}$ | I | 56 | A-27751. WDR 13519. Perf. 135-139, 165-177, 201-208, 235-250, 267-287, 311-331 ft with $2 \times 3 / 8$-inch slots. Dd 75 ft estimated on 7-31-63. C, L. |
| 13ab-s | Spring | - | 5,520 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | At head of alluvial apron, near Stansbury Fault, |
| 13dab-SI | do | - | 5,760 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | In mouth of North Lost Canyon, above Stansbury Fault. |
| 22 baa-1 | Is land Ranching Co. | 1960 | 4,510 | Dr | 325 | 325 | 12 | G | -90.0 | 8-1-63 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E} \\ (75) \end{gathered}$ | 716M | 8-1-63 | I | 59 | A-31275. Perf. below 168 ft . C, L. |
| 22bda-1 | do | 1954 | 4,550 | Dr | 347 | 347 | 12 | S, G | -90.0 | 8-1-63 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T, E } \\ & (30) \end{aligned}$ | 423M | 9-12-63 | I, H | 57 | A-25525. WDR 11134. Perf. $168-347 \mathrm{ft}$. Drilled in old dug we 1114 ft deep. $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{L}$. |
| 24 add -s 1 | Spring | - 195 | 5,880 | Dr | 460 | 60 | 16 | S, G | -185 | 9-20-57 | - | - | - | - | - | Lower spring in mouth of South Lost Canyon. |
| $28 \mathrm{dcd}-1$ | Hatch Bros. Co. | 1957 | 4,540 | Dr | 460 | 460 | $16 \text {, }$ | S,G | -185 -188 | 9-20-57 | - | - | - | - | - | A-28366. Drilled to 308 ft in 1957. <br> Deepened to 460 ft in 1960. Casing: $16-\mathrm{inch}$ to 308 ft ; $10-$-inch $300-460 \mathrm{ft}$. Perf. 207457 ft . Dd 60 ft reported. I. |
| 33aba-1 | do | 1962 | 4,540 | Dr | 500 | 500 | 12 | S, G | - | - | (50) | 350 M 340 M | $\begin{aligned} & 8-1-63 \\ & 8-3-64 \end{aligned}$ | I | 60 | A-28366. Perf, 334-500 ft. Dd 90 ft estimated 8-1-63. C, L, |
| $\begin{aligned} & (C-4-9) \\ & 32 a b b-1 \end{aligned}$ | Deseret Livestock Co. | $\begin{aligned} & 1956 \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | 4,620 | Dr | 340 | 340 | 6.5 | G | -294.9 | 11-22-65 | т,G | 35R | 5- -65 | S | - | A-27753. Dritled to 325 ft in 1956. Deepened to 340 ft in 1965. Casing: 6 -inch to 312 ft ; 5-inch 312-340 ft. Perf. 290312, 317-340 ft. Dd 5 ft after 3 hours. L. |
| $(C-5-7)$ $4 c-S$ |  |  | 6,600 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | In Dry Canyon. |
| 21bad-S1 | do | - | 6,020 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | South slope of Spring Creek Canyon. |
| $21 \mathrm{ddb}-\mathrm{Sl}$ | do | - | 5,840 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | head of perennial flow in Barlow Creek. |
| 21 ddc -S 1 | do | - | 5,790 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Adds to perenial flow in Barlow Creek. |
| $22 \mathrm{cba}-\mathrm{Sl}$ | do | - | 6,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | >100R | $\checkmark$ | - | - | Upper spring on Barlow Creek. |
| 23bbd-S1 | Rock Spring | - | 7,140 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 27 abc -S1 | Clay Spring | - | 6,020 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | On tributary to Barlow Creek. |
| 35 bcb -S 1 | Sand Spring | - | 5,740 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 E | 8-14-63 | H | 63 | c . |
| $\begin{gathered} 35 \mathrm{cbb}-\mathrm{S} 1 \\ (\mathrm{c}-5-8) \end{gathered}$ | Willow Springs | - | 5,660 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Springs reported intermittent. |
| 32aab-1 | Hatch Bros. Co. | 1948 | 4,600 | Dr | 209 | - | 6 | S | -150 | - | - | 20R | 9--48 | S | - | A-20167. WDR 6596. Perf, below 155 ft . Dd. 20 ft . L . |
| $34 \mathrm{bdb}-1$ | H. W. Severe | 1920 | - | - | 60 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | <10R | - | - | - | c-21153. |
| $34 \mathrm{bdb}-2$ | do | 1954 | 4,605 | Dr | 120.0 | 112 | 6 | S, G | -10 | - | - | 60R 30 M | $\begin{aligned} & 8-54 \\ & 8-16-63 \end{aligned}$ | I | 50 | C-21153. WDR 11276. Perf. below $20 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{C}$. |
| $34 \mathrm{bdb}-3$ | do | 1961 | 4,605 | Dr | 105 | 105 | 12 | S, G | -12.9 | 8-16-63 | - | 100R | 9--61 | U | 54 | A-30513. Perf. 23-105 ft. Dd 40 ft after 4 hours. L. |
| 34 dad -1 | A. L. Wililiams | 1923 | 4,620 | Dr | - | - | 2 | - | +1.25 | 12-6-46 | - | 1 E | 12-6-46 | I, S | 52 |  |
| $34 \mathrm{ddb}-1$ | do | 1923 | 4,620 | J | 20 | - | 6 | - | $>+5$ | 8-16-63 | - | 150E | 8-16-63 | I, S | 52 | C-113. On low knoll. |
| $34 \mathrm{ddb}-2$ | do | - | 4,620 | J | - | - | 2 | $\sim$ | -. 9 | 12-6-46 | - | - | - | - | - | On low knoll. |
| $34 \mathrm{ddb}-4$ | do | - | 4,620 | J | - | - | 5 | - | +2.5 | 12-6-46 | - | - | - | - | - | Do, |
| $34 \mathrm{ddb}-5$ | H. W. Severe | 1957 | 4,620 | Dr | 35 | - | 16 | - | F | 8-16-63 | - | 150R | 8-16-63 | I | 52 | A-29405. C. |
| 35 a | - Willis | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -12 | 1911 | ${ }^{-}$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |  |
| 35cad-1 | A. L. Williams | 1961 | 4,640 | Dr | 160 | 153 | 12 | s | -45 | 8- -61 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E} \\ (25) \end{array}$ | 300M | 8-16-63 | I, 5 | - | A-33051. Perf. 48-153 ft with $6 \times 1 / 4$-inch slots. L. |
| $35 \mathrm{cbc}-1$ | do | 1896 | 4,620 | Dr | 80 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3E | 8-16-63 | H | - | c. |
| $35 \mathrm{cbc}-2$ | do | 1916 | 4,620 | J | 108 | - | 2 | - | >+5.5 | 12-6-46 | - | 4.5M | 12-6-46 | H, ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 52 | C-14205. |
| $35 \mathrm{ccc}-1$ | do | 1910 | 4,630 | - | 65 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1-10R | - | I | - | C-14204. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \quad 35 c c c-2 \\ & (\mathrm{c}-5-9) \end{aligned}$ | do | - | 4,630 | Dr | 120 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | H, S | 52 | c. |
| 16ab-1 | - | 1940 | 4,630 | Dr | 292 | - | 6 | G | -280 | - | - | 12R | 5- -40 | s | - | A-13536. WDR 1367. L. |
| (C-5-10) ${ }_{15 \mathrm{dcc}-51}$ | Spring | - | 5,400 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Spring probably intermittent; reported dry on 8-20-63. c . |

Table 8. - (Continued)


Table 8．－（Continued）

| Location | Owner or name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \underset{\sim}{z} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{W} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Casing |  |  | Water level |  |  | Yield |  |  |  | Remarks and other data available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （C．6－8） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $14 \mathrm{ced}-\mathrm{Sl}$ | Spring | － | 4，715 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 25 E | 11．－24－65 | S | 52 | Flows into small depression pond． |
| 15abd－1 | Orr＇s Ranch | 01d | 4，700 | － | 300 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 10 E | 9－15－65 | H | 55 |  |
| 15cac－51 | Spring | － | 4，735 | － | － | － | － | s | － | － | － | 150 E 100 E | $8-15-63$ $11-24-65$ | I，s | 60 | Flows to reservoir at Orr＇s Ranch house．C． |
| 15dbb－S ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | do | － | 4，715 | － | － | － | － | s | － | － | － | 50E | 11－24－65 | I，S | 52 | Flows to reservoir at Orr＇s Ranch house． |
| 1．5dhb－S2 | do | － | 4，725 | － | － | － | － | S | － | － | － | 200E | 11－24－65 | I，S | 58 | Do． |
| $23 \mathrm{acb}-\mathrm{S1}$ | do | － | 4，715 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | S | － | Southernmost of six or more seeps that supply pond in $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{sec} .23$. c． |
| 23bac－S2 | Willow Patch Spring | － | 4，725 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $1 E$ | 8－15－63 | S | － | c． |
| 25bbb－S1 | Scribner Spring | － | 4，725 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | S | 56 | Water flows into small pond．C． |
| $(\mathrm{C}-6-9)$ $6 \mathrm{dbb}-51$ | White Rock Spring | － | 5，305 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | Seep | － | S | 59 | At northwest side of White Rock Spring Appears to discharge from alluvium that lies on igneous rock of Tertiary age；water is held in small stock－ watering reservoir．C． |
| $\begin{aligned} & (C-7-7) \\ & 7 a a c-1 \end{aligned}$ | － | 1946 | 4，900 | Dr | 175 | － | 8 | G | －60 | － | － | 10－100R | － | － | － | A－15244．Could not be located in field． |
| 16bcd－1 | Hatch Bros．Co． | 1945 | 5，168 | Dr | 448 | 448 | 6.5 | S，G | －390 | 12－－45 | P，G | － | － | $s$ | － | A－18996．Casing： 6 －inch to 165 ft ；5－ i．nch from 0－448 ft．Perf，408－448 ft． L． |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{C}-7-8) \\ & 9 \mathrm{dad}-1 \end{aligned}$ | U．S．Army | 1951 | 4，837 | Dr | 400 | 400 | 16 | G，c | －77．5 | 6－7－51 | T，E | 1，000R 700 M | $\begin{aligned} & 1.951 \\ & 9-6-63 \end{aligned}$ | P | 54 | A－22901．Dugway Proving Grounds well 19. Casing：16－inch California stovepipe Perf．85－180，290－385 ft．Dd 13 ft ．C，L． |
| $9 \mathrm{dca}-1$ | do | 1951 | 4，837 | Dr | 345 | 340 | 16 | G，C | －79．7 | 1－25－51 | T，E | $\begin{array}{r}1,000 \mathrm{R} \\ \hline 960 \mathrm{M}\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1951 \\ & 9-6-63 \end{aligned}$ | P | 57 | A－22392．Dugway Proving Grounds well 18. Casing： 16 －inch California stovepipe． Perf．100－195，215－320 ft．C，L． |
| 10cbd－1 | do | 1942 | 4，835 | Dr | 175 | 175 | 8 | G | -73.5 -89.3 | $11-5-46$ $3-6-63$ | N | 15R | － | U | － | Dugway Proving Grounds we 11 6．Perf． 155－175 ft． |
| 15beb－1 | do | 1954 | 4，836 | Dr | 500 | － | － | S，G | -78.4 -81.3 -88.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 9-24-54 \\ 3-7-58 \end{array}$ $3-22-65$ | N | － | － | u | － | Test we 11．Dugway Proving Grounds well 20．C，L． |
| 15cba－1 | do | 1957 | 4，838 | Dr | 580 | 437 | 16 | G，c | －86 | 8－-57 | T，E | $1,260 \mathrm{R}$ 800 m | $9-6-63$ | P | $55$ | A－26199．Dugway Proving Grounds we 1126. Casing： 20 －inch to 20 ft ； 16 －inch 0 － 437 ft with concrete plug at 432 ft ． Perf．104－140，150－220，265－320，405－ 410 ft ．Dd 28 ft ．C，L． |
| 22adb－1 | do | 1954 | 4，852 | Dr | 730 | 718 | 8 | S，G | $\begin{aligned} & -91.5 \\ & -93.9 \\ & -99.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9-24-54 \\ & 3-7-58 \\ & 3-22-65 \end{aligned}$ | N | － | － | U | － | Test well．Dugway Proving Grounds well 21．Perf．112－125，140－160，280－310， 365－400，490－497 ft．No water below 497 ft ．L． |
| 22adb－2 | do | 1957 | 4，852 | Dr | 550 | 550 | 16 | G，c | －95 | 9－－57 | ＇t，E | 1，200R | － | P | 54 | A－26199．Dugway Proving Grounds well 27．Casing： 20 －inch to 20 ft ； 16 －inch $0-550 \mathrm{ft}$ with concrete plug at 595 ft ． Perf．105－120，140－170，180－240，260－ $290,300-340,345-350,375-390,445-$ 450，475－540 ft．Dd 30 ft ．C，L． |
| $36 \mathrm{ccc}-1$ | U．S．Bureau of Land Management | － | 4，980 | Dr | 272 | 272 | 6 | － | －31．7 | 3－－65 | T，G | － | － | － | － |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} (c-8-6) \\ 7 c-5 \end{array}$ | Cedar Spring | － | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2E | － | s | － |  |
| $30 \mathrm{dbb}-1$ | U．S．Bureall of Land Management | 1947 | 5，150 | Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 320.0 \end{aligned}$ | 490 | 6 | S，G | －290 | 8－－53 | N | $\begin{array}{r} 4 R \\ 21 R \end{array}$ | 3－ 47 | U | － | A－18397．In Government Creek valley adjacent to divide at south end of Skull Valley．Well abandoned．Casing obstructed at 259 ft ．Dd $100 \mathrm{ft} \dot{\mathrm{re}}$－ ported．L． |

Table 9. - Selected drillers' logs of wells in Skull Valley
Altitudes are in feet above mean sea level for land surface at well.
Thickness in feet.
bepth in feet below the land surface.

| Material | Thickness. | Depth | Material | Thickness | Depth | Material | Thickness | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{(B-1-9) 3 \mathrm{ccc}-1}{\text { ration Co. }} \text { to } 450 \mathrm{ft} ; 450-543$ |  |  | $\frac{(\mathrm{C}-1-7) 30 \mathrm{add}-2}{\mathrm{Alt} .4,244 \mathrm{ft} .}$ Log by T. Hiale. |  |  | $\frac{(C-2-7) 6 \text { caa-2 }}{\text { Sand, continued }}$ | 7 | 125 |
| ft . by Robinson Drilling Co. |  |  | Soil. . . . . . . | 4 | 4 | Gravel. . . | 5 | 130 |
| A1t. 4,600 ft. |  |  | Clay. | 16 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Sand, blow, and clay seams. | - 5 | 5 | Gravel. | 5 | 25 | (C-2-8) $24 \mathrm{bca}-1$. |  |  |
| Boulders. | 55 | 60 | Hardpan | 5 | 30 | Alt. $4,288 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Gravel, fine to medium. | 10 | 70 | Sand and gravel | 32 | 62 | Clay. . . | 15 | 15 |
| Conglomerate of fine gravel and |  |  | clay. | 6 | 68 | Gravel. | 5 | 20 |
| interbedded clay seams | 130 | 200 | Hardpan | 2 | 70 | Sand. | 20 | 40 |
| Gravel interbedded with clays | 210 | 410 | Gravel. | 30 | 100 | Sand and clay | 50 | 90 |
| Sand, coarse, and medium gravel | 40 | 450 |  |  |  | Gravel. | 3 | 93 |
| Sand, hard. | 15 | 465 | (c-1-7) 31bd Log by J. Hale. |  |  | Clay and sand | 17 | 110 |
| Rock, hard. | 1 | 466 | A1t. $4,270 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Grave1. . . | 10 | 120 |
| Sand and gravel, with clay. | 9 | 475 | Soil. . . | 4 | 4 | Clay and gravel | 4 | 124 |
| Sand and gravel. . | 15 | 490 | Boulders in sand and clay | 33 | 37 | Gravel. . . . . | 12 | 136 |
| Gravel and rocks. | 53 | 543 | Clay. | 7 | 44 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Hardpan. | 1 | 45 | (c-2-8) $24 \mathrm{bcd}-1$. |  |  |
| (B-1-9) 9bcb-1. |  |  | Gravel, coarse; water | 20 | 65 | Alt. $4,290 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| A1t. $4,530 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Clay. | 4 | 69 | Surface, clay | 20 | 20 |
| Quicksand, fine | 126 | 126 | Gravel, coarse. | 29 | 98 | Sand. | 12 | 32 |
| Lime shelves and clay | 24 | 150 | Clay, with streaks of sand and |  |  | clay. | 28 | 60 |
| Clay, yellow. | 50 | 200 | gravel. | 19 | 117 | Sand. | 13 | 73 |
| Clay, white, heavy. | 75 | 275 | Gravel, coarse, with boulders | 13 | 130 | Gravel. | 4 | 77 |
| Lime shelves and sand | 60 | 335 |  |  |  | Clay. | 6 | 83 |
| Sand; water | 60 | 395 | $\frac{(c,-1-7) 31 d e d-1}{\text { Alt. } 4,245} \mathrm{ft}$. Log by 1. Hale. |  |  | Sand and gravel clay. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 94 97 |
| (B-1-9) 16baa-1, Log by Robinson |  |  | Surface . . . . . . . . | 8 | 8 | Sand. . | 5 | 102 |
| Drılling Co. Alt. $4,490 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | c1ay. | 16 | 24 | Gravel. | 18 | 120 |
| Clay, sandy | 10 | 10 | Sand. | 1 | 25 | Sand and gravel | 12 | 132 |
| Sand. . . . | 110 | 120 | Clay. | 8 | 33 |  |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, yellow | 25 | 145 | Sand and gravel; water. | 15 | 48 | (C-2-8)25bbd-1. J.og by Selby Drill- |  |  |
| Clay and gravel | 125 | 270 | Clay. | 14 | 62 | ing Co. Alt. $4,319 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Sand; water | 4 | 274 | Sand and gravel; water. | 27 | 89 | Soil. . | 3 | 3 |
| Clay, sandy, brown. | 106 | 380 | clay. . | 20 | 109 | Boulders | 6 | 9 |
| Gravel, fine, and clay | 165 | 545 | Hardpan | 1 | 110 | Gravel and clay | 3 | 12 |
| Clay, white | 4 | 767 | (C-1-8)6abc-1. T.og by J. P. |  |  | (C-3-8)2lddd-1. Log by M. Church |  |  |
| Conglomerate, limestone | 34 | 801 | Feighny, Alt. 4,250 ft. |  |  | Urilling Co. Alt. $4,420 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Gravel, small . . | 5 | 806 | Clay. | 24 | 24 | Clay, silt, and boulders. | 73 | 73 |
| Limestone, solid. | 19 | 825 | Rock, loose, and clay | 24 | 48 | Sand and cobbles. . . | 10 | 83 |
|  |  |  | Limestone ledge; water at 52 ft ; |  |  | Silt and cobbles. | 5 | 88 |
| $\frac{(\mathrm{B}-1-9) 24 \mathrm{cdd}-1}{\text { Hale. Alt. }} 4,460 \mathrm{fog} \text { by. } \mathrm{ft} .$ |  |  | salty. | 16 | 64 | Sand, gravel, and cobbles | 19 | 107 |
| Sand and clay. | 60 | 60 | (C-1-8) 6add-2. Log ty J. S. Lee |  |  | (c-3-8)28adc-1. Log by Robinson |  |  |
| Clay and gravel | 115 | 175 | and Sons. Alt. 4,240 it. |  |  | Drilling Co. Alt. $4,430 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Gravel; water bearing . . . . . . | 40 | 215 | Clay, gray. . . . . . . . | 22 | 22 | Gravel | 22 | 22 |
|  |  |  | Silt, mucky | 268 | 290 | Clay, yellow and hardpan. | 93 | 115 |
| (B-2-8)28dcb-1. Log by H. H. |  |  | Hardpan. | 3 | 293 | Sand gravel; water. | 15 | 130 |
| Bell. Alt, $4,260 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Clay, brown, sandy. | 47 | 340 | Boulders. . | 20 | 150 |
| Clay and sand | 20 | 20 | Lime rocks and silt; water. | 106 | 446 | Sand, gravel, and boulders. | 10 | 160 |
| Lime, sandy | 20 | 40 | Lime rocks. | 105 | 551 | Sand and gravel . . . . | 10 | 170 |
| Mud, blue. | 20 | 60 | Clay, gray, gumbo | 5 | 556 | Clay, yellow, and boulders. | 20 | 190 |
| Clay, green | 20 | 80 | Lime rocks; water | 49 | 605 | Sand and gravel, heaving. . | 5 | 195 |
| Clay, sandy | 20 | 100 |  |  |  | sand and boulders . . . | 15 | 210 |
| Clay, blue. . . | 10 | 110 | (C-1-8)25aaa-1. Tog by Earl Hale. |  |  | Clay, yellow, and boulders. | 50 | 260 |
| Gravel, black-lime; water | 12 | 122 | A1t. $4,230 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Conglomerate. . . . | 18 | 278 |
|  |  |  | Soil. . - | 8 | 8 | Clay and boulders | 12 | 290 |
| (C-1-7) 8dbb-1. Log by E. Hale. |  |  | Clay, blue, with 2-ft. hard strata |  |  | Boulders. . . . . | 10 | 300 |
| Alt. $4,220 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | of blue sand. | 298 | 306 | Send and boulders | 5 | 305 |
| Soil. . . . . . . . | 6 | 6 |  |  |  | Sand and gravel. | 27 | 332 |
| Clay, blue, and sand. . . . . . | 100 | 106 | (C-2-7)6caa-1. Log by Robinson |  |  | Clay, yellow, and boulders. | 29 | 361 |
|  |  |  | Drilling Co. Alt. 4,250 ft. |  |  | Clay, yellow, sand, and gravel | 7 | 368 |
| (C-1-7)26dbb-1. Log by Robinson |  |  | Clay, red. | 5 | 5 | Boulders. . . . . . . . . . | 17 | 385 |
| Drilling Co. Alt. $4,350 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Clay, dark blue. | 10 | 15 | Clay, yellow, and gravel. | 11 | 396 |
| No record . . . . . . | 1 | 1 | Clay, sandy, blue . | 40 | 55 |  |  |  |
| Gravel and limestone boulders | 151 | 152 | Clay, hlue, and gravel. | 10 | 65 | (C-3-8)28bed-1. Log by Selby Drill- |  |  |
|  |  |  | Clay, blue. | 5 | 70 | ing Co. $\mathrm{NI}^{\text {L }}$. $4,380 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| (C-1-7)29bdd-1. |  |  | Clay, brown | 42 | 112 | Dirt and clay . . | 45 | 45 |
| Log by J. Hale. |  |  | Rock, hard, gray. | 5 | 117 | Sand; first water | 5 | 50 |
| Soil . . . | 2 | 2 | Clay, brown. | 3 | 120 | Sand and gravel | 14 | 64 |
| Gravel and clay | 16 | 18 | Sand and gravel | 80 | 200 | Clay. . | 3 | 67 |
| Clay. . | 19 | 37 | Sand, gravel, and clay | 12 | 212 | Gravel. | 46 | 113 |
| Gravel; salty water | 26 | 63 | Sand and gravel. . | 13 | 225 | Clay. . | 8 | 121 |
| Clay. . | 6 | 69 | Sand, gravel, and clay. | 30 | 255 | Grave1. | 22 | 143 |
| Hardpar. | 2 | 71 |  |  |  | clay. . | 6 | 149 |
| Gravel; water, fair quality . | 29 | 100 |  |  |  | Cravel. | 34 | 183 |
| (C-1-7) $30 \mathrm{add}-1 . \quad$ log by L. E. |  |  | Soil. . . . . | 5 | 5 | ( $\mathrm{C}-3-8$ )28ddb-1. Log by Selby Drill- |  |  |
| Hale. Alt. $4,250 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Sand and gravel; shallow water. | 7 | 12 | ing Co. Alt. $4,450 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Gravel and sand | 30 | 30 | Grave1, tight | 4 | 16 | Surface fill and clay. | 23 | 23 |
| Send. . . . | 8 | 38 | Clay. | 20 | 36 | Clay ond large boulders | 96 | 119 |
| Clay, blue. | 5 | 43 | Grave 1. . . . . | 8 | 44 | Gravel, large, with thin beds of |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, and gravel. | 7 | 50 | Clay and gravel | 12 | 56 | clay . . . . . . . . . . . . | 122 | 241 |
| Clay. | 4 | 54 | Sand, tight | 34 | 90 |  |  |  |
| Send. |  | 96 | Clay. . . . | 6 | 96 | ( $\mathrm{C}-4-8) 3 \mathrm{ccb}-1.15 \mathrm{Log}$ by Selby Drill- |  |  |
| Sand, tight | 5 | 101 | Sand and gravel | 13 | 109 | ing Co. Alt. $4,435 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Gravel, tight . |  | 140 | Clay. . . | 9 | 118 | Clay. . . . . . . . . . . . | 18 | 18 |

Table 9. - (Continued)

| Material | Thickness | Depth | Material | Thickness | Depth | Material | Thickness | Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $0-4-8) 3 \mathrm{ccb}$-1 - Continued |  |  | (c-4-8)28ded-1 - Continued |  |  | ( $\mathrm{C}-6-7$ ) $3 \mathrm{cbb}-1$ - Continued |  |  |
| Gravel, large | ${ }^{6}$ | 24 | Gravel and rocks. | 68 | 196 | Sand, fine; water | 4 | 331 |
| clay. . | 41 | 65 | Hard shell; first water at 204 ft . | - 8 | 204 | Clay, brown | 19 | 350 |
| Gravel. | 5 | 70 | Gravel, with some clay. | 100 | 304 | Sandstone, hard, black, with |  |  |
| Clay, sandy | 59 | 129 | Clay. | 4 | 308 | streaks of oil shale and carbon. | 70 | 420 |
| Gravel. | 5 | 134 | Clay, yellow and gravel | 72 | 380 |  |  |  |
| C7ay. | 5 | 139 | Conglomerate, hard and sharp. | 32 | 412 | (C-6-7)3cca-1. Log by E. W. Hale. |  |  |
| Clay and thin gravel (beds) | 21 | 160 | Sand, hard and sharp. . . | 20 | 432 | Alt. $5,200 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Glay and gravel. | 82 | 242 | Hock, hard and sharp. | 28 | 460 | Sand. | 33 | 33 |
| Gravel, large . | 6 | 248 |  |  |  | Hardpan, green. | 1 | 34 |
| Clay, satudy, with some gravel | 17 | 265 | (c-4-8) 33aba-1. Log by I. Hale. |  |  | Clay and sand, green. | 108 | 142 |
| Gravel, large . | 7 | 272 | Alt. 4,540 rt. |  |  | Clay, green . . . | 7 | 149 |
| Ulay and gravel | 13 | 285 | soll. . . . . . | 4 | 4 | Clay, sand, and gravel, green | 63 | 212 |
| Gravel. | 13 | 298 | Boulders and conglomerate | 34 | 38 | Clay, green | 3 | 215 |
| clay. | 3 | 301 | Gravel and clay. | 257 | 295 | Gravel; water | 5 | 220 |
| Gravel. | 9 | 310 | Clay, soft, and sand. | 39 | 334 | Clay, gray. | 14 | 234 |
| clay. | 2 | 312 | Sand; water . . | 13 | 347 | Sand and gravel, gray; water | 11 | 245 |
| Gravel. | 4 | 316 | Grave1. . | 33 | 380 | Clay, gray. . . . . | 13 | 258 |
|  |  |  | Clay and gravel; very little water | 60 | 440 | Gravel, gray. | 7 | 265 |
| ( $6-4-8$ ) Mesb-2. Log by Selby |  |  | Clay and gravel; more water. | 36 | 476 | clay, gray. | 2 | 267 |
| Drilling co. Alt. $4,435 \mathrm{tt}$. |  |  | Clay. . . | 4 | 480 |  |  |  |
| Clay, yellow, sandy | 58 | 58 | Sand; very little water | 20 | 500 |  |  |  |
| Grave1, pea . . . | 3 | 61 |  |  |  | Alt. $5,200 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Clay, yellow, sandy | 31 | 92 | (C-4-9)32abb-1. Logs by L. Perkins |  |  | Soil. . | 2 | 2 |
| Gravel, coarse; water | 3 | 95 | to $312 \mathrm{ft} ; 312-340 \mathrm{ft}$. by Robin- |  |  | Gravel. | 2 | 4 |
| clay, sandy. | 35 | 130 | som Drilling Co. Alt. 4,620 ft. |  |  | clay. | 35 | 39 |
| Gavel, coarse. | 9 | 139 | Clay, red, and cobble rocks | 310 | 310 | Hardpan | 1 | 40 |
| clay, sandy. | 23 | 162 | Gravel. . . . | 15 | 325 | Clay and gravel | 102 | 142 |
| Gravel, coarse. | 15 | 177 | Hardpan and hard limestone. | 2 | 327 | Clay. . . . | 7 | 149 |
| Clay, sandy . - | 18 | 195 | Conglomerate. | 13 | 340 | Clay, sand, and gravel. | 63 | 212 |
| Sand and fine gravel. | 3 | 198 |  |  |  | Clay, and gravel; water | 44 | 256 |
| Gravel, coarse. | 10 | 208 | (C-5-8) 32aab-1. log by I. Hale. |  |  | Clay. . . . . | 14 | 270 |
| Clay, sandy | 23 | 231 | Alt. $4,600 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gravel, coarse. | 19 | 250 | Soil. . . . . | 5 | 5 | (c-6-7)19ccd-1. |  |  |
| Clay, sandy . . | 14 | 264 | Clay. | 45 | 50 | Alt. $4,730 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Gravel, coarse. | 23 | 287 | Sand. | 12 | 62 | Soil. . . . . | 20 | 20 |
| Clay, sandy . . | 21 | 308 | Clay. | 13 | 75 | Clay, sandy; water at about 32 ft | 40 | 60 |
| Giavel, coarse. | 23 | 331 | Sand. | 15 | 90 | Soil, sandy . . . . | 29 | 89 |
| ( $\mathrm{C}-4-8$ ) 22baa-I . Log by Robinson |  |  | Clay. | 119 | 209 | liardpan. Sandy (?). | 3 12 | 92 104 |
| Drilling Co. Alt. 4,510 ft. |  |  | (C-5-8) 34 bdb - ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Log by I. Hale. |  |  | Glay, white | 11 | 115 |
| Clay and boulders. | 8 | 8 | Alt. $4,605 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Hardpan | I | 116 |
| Boulders of hardrock. | 27 | 35 | Soil. . . | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Boulders and some clay. | 133 | 168 | Clay. | 14 | 15 | (C-6-7) 19ddd-1. Log by G. F. Casey. |  |  |
| Botrlders and grave1. | 22 | 190 | Sand. | 8 | 23 | Alt. $4,750 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Boulders, gravel, and some clay | ${ }^{6}$ | 196 | Clay. | 4 | 27 | Loam, sandy | 5 | 5 |
| Boulders and gravel | 17 | 213 | Gravel. | 1 | 28 | Clay, white | 7 | 12 |
| Boulders, gravel, and some clay | 33 | 246 | clay. | 4 | 32 | Clay, brown | 2 | 14 |
| Boulders and gravel | 12 | 258 | Gravel. | 16 | 48 | clay, sandy | 8 | 22 |
| Boulders, gravel, and some clay | 14 | 272 | Clay and gravel | 37 | 85 | Sand, white | 4 | 26 |
| Bou1ders and gravel. | ${ }^{3}$ | 275 | Clav. . . | 10 | 95 | Clay, brown, sandy | 17 | 43 |
| Boulders, gravel, and some clay | 13 | 288 | Sand. | 4 | 99 | Sand. . . . | , | 52 |
| Boulders and clay . . . | 17 | 305 | Clay. | 6 | 105 | Clay, brown | 8 | 60 |
| Boulders, gravel, and some clay | 9 | 314 |  |  |  | Cravel. . . | 1 | 61 |
| Bonluers and gravel. . . . | 7 | 321 | (C-5-8) $35 \mathrm{cad}-1 . \mathrm{Log}$ by t, hiale. |  |  | Clay, hard, brown | 27 | 88 |
| Boulders, gravel, and some clay | 4 | 325 | Alt. $4,640 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  | Sand and gravel. |  | 90 |
| ( $0-4-8$ )22bda-1. Lon by Robinson |  |  | Soil. . . . | 5 | 5 | Clay. . . . | 17 | 107 |
| Drilling Co. Alt. 4,550 [t. |  |  | Clay, white Sand; water | 43 | 48 94 | (C-6-7)29acd-1. Log by G. F. Casey |  |  |
| old dug well. . . . . . . . . | 14 | 14 | Clay, . . . | 10 | 104 | $\frac{(C-6-7) 29 \mathrm{acd}-1}{\text { Alt. } 4,810 \mathrm{ft}}$. |  |  |
| Boulders. | 26 | 40 | Hardpan | 6 | 110 | Soil. | 13 | 13 |
| Conglomerate. | 28 | 68 | Sand. | 20 | 130 | Clay. | 2 | 15 |
| Boulders. | 17 | 85 | Clay. | 5 | 135 | clay, sandy. | 4 | 19 |
| Conglonerate. | 70 | 155 | Sand. | 20 | 155 | Sand, fine to coarse. | 10 | 29 |
| Boulders. . . | 10 | 165 | Hardpan | 3 | 158 | Gravel. . . . . . . | 3 | 32 |
| Conglomerate. | 10 | 175 | Clay. . | 2 | 160 | Rock. | 2 | 34 |
| Rocks; water. | 5 | 180 |  |  |  | Clay, sandy | 6 | 40 |
| Gravel, water | O | 183 | (C-5-9) 16aab-1. Log by V. J. |  |  | Clay, white, sand(y) ; water at 43 ft | t. 3 | 43 |
| Conglomerate. . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {cher }}$ | 20 9 | 203 | Crocheran. Alt. 4, 630 ft . |  |  | Gravel. . | 6 | 49 |
| Gravel, water, and larger rocks | 20 | 212 | Sand. . - | 10 | 10 | Clay. . . . | 31 | 80 |
|  | 20 | 232 | Boulders. . . . . . | 100 | 110 | Clay, sand. . | 20 | 100 |
| Sand, coarse, and water gravel. . | 12 28 | 244 | Gravel and boulders | 182 | 292 | Gravel, coarse. | 13 | 113 |
| Gravel. . | 3 | 275 | (C-6-7) 3aaa-1. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conglomerate. | 7 | 282 | A1t. $5,520 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand and gravel | 14 | 296 | Soil, sandy top. | 4 | 4 | Soil. . . . . . | 4 |  |
| Hardpan . . | 4 | 300 | Sand. . . . . | 13 | 17 | Clay. . . | 76 | 80 |
| Gravel. . | 3 | 303 | Sand, clay, and rock. | 213 | 230 | Quicksand, heavy; water at 80 Et. | 15 | 95 |
| Conglomerate. | 12 | 315 | Hardpan . . . . . | 1 | 231 | clay, . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 100 |
| Sand and grave1; water. | 3 | 318 | Sand; water | 14 | 245 | Clay and gravel strata. | 55 | 155 |
| Conglomerate. . . | 29 | 347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (C-4-8)28ded-1. Logs by Selby |  |  | $\frac{(C-6-7) 3 c b b-1}{\text { Alt. } 5,220} \mathrm{ft} . \operatorname{tog}$ by I. Hale. |  |  | $\frac{(\mathrm{C}-6-8) 2 \mathrm{dec}-1}{\mathrm{Alt} .4,646} \mathrm{ft}$. fog by L. E. Hale. |  |  |
| Drilljng Co. to 308 ft ; 308-460 |  |  | Soilland sand. . . | 18 | 18 | Clay. . . . . . . . . . | 10 | 10 |
| ft . by Robinson Drilling Co. |  |  | Sand. | 15 | 33 | Sand and clay strata. | 40 | 50 |
| Alt. 4,540 ft. |  |  | Clay. . | 5 | 38 | Sand, with chalk and hardpan; ali | 40 |  |
| Soil. . . . . | 5 | 5 | Sand. . | 9 | 47 | with water . . . . . . . . . . . | 100 | 150 |
| Clay and rock. | 39 | 44 | Clay. . . | 146 | 193 | clay. . | 20 | 170 |
| Rucks, large. . | 13 | 57 | Hardpan | 1 | 194 |  |  |  |
| Clay and gravel. | 26 | 83 | clay. . | 85 | 279 | (C-6-8)2dcd-1. Log by Robinson |  |  |
| Clay, light gray. | 7 | 90 | Hardpan | 2 | 281 | Drilling Co. Alt. $4,645 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |
| Clay, red, sandy. . . . . | 29 | 119 | Clay. . |  | 325 | Soil. . . . . . . . . . . . | 1 | 1 |
| Sand, coarse, and gravel. | 9 | 128 | Hardpan |  | 327 | Clay, yelluw. | 16 | 17 |

Table 9. - (Continued)


Table 10．－Chemical analyses of water from selected wells and springs in Skull Valley

Sodiur and potassium：where no potassium value is shown，sodium plus potassium is calculated and reported as sodiam
bissolved solids：Residue on evaporation at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless indicated by c （sum of determined constituents）or e（estimated from specific conductance）．

| Location |  |  | Parts per million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { 晋 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{4} \\ & \text { 菏 } \\ & \stackrel{8}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 罢 |
| （B－1－9） $24 \mathrm{cdd}-1$ | 12－29－65 |  | 24 | 0.93 | 0.02 |  |  | 849 | 39 | 158 | 0 | 14.6 | 1，540 | 0.8 | 11 | 0.46 | 3，070 | 613 | 483 | 74 | 15 | 5，190 | 7.3 |
| （ $\mathrm{B}-2-8$ ）28dicb－1 | r－22－64 | － | 17 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 40 | 55 | 609 | 33 | 183 | 0 | 147 | 1，590 | ． 7 | 1.3 | ． 39 | 2，020 | 328 | 178 | 78 | 15 | 3，490 | 8.0 |
| （ $6-1-7$ ） $9 \mathrm{ccc}-\mathrm{sl}$ | 7－18－63 | 65 | 14 | 1.1 | 04 | 134 | 79 | 2，630 | 93 | 212 | 0 | 310 | 4，260 | ． 1 | 4.1 | ． 79 | 7，850 | 658 | 484 | 88 | 45 | 12，900 | 7.7 |
| $15 \mathrm{bdb}-\mathrm{Sl}$ | 9－5－41 | 74 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 6.720 |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |  |  |  |
| 25acc－s1 | 9－5－41 | 68 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 9，600 |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |
| 25bca－\＄1 | 9．－5－41 | 59 | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3，580 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| 25dab－si | 9－5－41 | 66 | － |  |  | － | － | － |  | － |  | 177 | 6，850 | － | 3.8 |  | 3，900c | 460 | 233 | 84 | 26 | 6，800 | 7.5 |
| 30add－1 | 1－29－54 |  | 36 | － |  | 79 | 64 | 1，300 | 58 | 277 |  | 177 | 2，040 | ． 3 | 3.8 2.1 | ． 51 | 3，900c 2，680 | 460 308 | 233 134 | 84 84 | 26 21 | 6,800 4,690 | 7.5 |
| 32 bd | 7－18－63 $7-18-63$ | 62 | 29 31 | ． 38 | ． 00 | 62 107 | 77 | 859 1,220 | 34 | 212 234 | 0 | 118 231 | 1,380 1,980 | ． 2 | 3.8 | ． 57 | 4，010 | 5 | 366 | 81 | 22 | 6，540 | 7.2 |
|  | 7－18－63 $9-5-63$ | 66 | 31 | ． 39 | ． 02 | 106 | 69 | 1，180 | 46 | 233 | 0 | 248 | 1，950 | ． 2 | 8.1 | ． 38 | 3，910 | 546 | 355 | 81 | 22 | 6，320 | 7.3 |
| （c－2－7）6caa－2 | 4－29－55 | 60 | 32 | － | － | 70 | 41 | 793 | 30 | 206 | 0 | 107 | 1，270 | ． 2 | 6.0 | － | 2，480 | 343 | 174 | 82 | 19 | 4，380 | 7.7 |
| 6 cda －51 | 7－18－63 | 67 | 26 | ． 18 | ． 00 | 84 | 45 | 824 | 31 | 207 | 0 | 114 | 1，360 | ． 2 | 7.4 | ． 23 | 2，680 | 394 | 224 | 81 | 18 | 4，610 | 7.3 |
| $7 \mathrm{ccc}-1$ | 3－16－54 | 63 | 21 | － | － | 78 | 42 | 820 | 30 | 190 | 0 | 95 | 1，350 | 1 | 4.5 | ． 28 | 2，530c | 367 | 212 | 81 | 19 | 4，590 | 7.8 |
| （ $\mathrm{C}-2-8$ ） $13 \mathrm{dcb}-51$ | 7－18－63 | 66 | 22 | ． 17 | ． 00 | 85 | 36 | 639 | 25 | 218 | 0 | 87 | 1，050 | ． 1 | 5.1 | ． 19 | 2，060 | 360 | 181 | 78 | 15 | 3，670 | 7.4 |
| 24bcd－1 | 7－1－54 | 61 | 22 | － | － | 70 | 31 | 485 | 16 | 199 | － | 76 | 825 | ． 1 | 3.7 | － | 1，610c | 302 | － | 77 | 12 | 2，910 |  |
| 24cc－51 | 7－18－63 | 64 | 22 | ． 78 | ． 00 | 103 | 44 | 1，020 | 32 | 190 | 0 | 126 | 1，720 | ． 1 | 3.4 | ． 26 | 3，430 | 436 | 280 | 82 | 21 | 5，650 | 7.1 |
| $24 \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{S} 2$ | 7－18－63 | 72 | 18 | ． 53 | ． 00 | 101 | 46 | 1，060 | 25 | 183 | 0 | 133 | 1，790 | ． 1 | 2.8 | ． 30 | 3，490 | 440 | 290 | 83 | 22 | 5，810 | 7.3 |
| 25 bbd －1 | 7－1－54 | 64 | 22 | － | － | 95 | 46 | 993 | 34 | 188 | － | 128 | 1，630 | ． 1 | 4.4 |  | 3，090c | 426 | － | 82 | 21 | 5，350 | － |
| 26dab－S1 | 7－18－63 | 73 | 47 | ． 50 | ． 00 | 126 | 47 | 1，500 | 47 | 244 | 0 | 190 | 2，420 | ． 2 | 8.7 | ． 39 | 4，720 | 508 | 308 | 85 | 29 | 7，720 | 7.8 |
| 26dba－S1 | 7－18－63 | 73 | 29 | ． 11 | ． 00 | 123 | 49 | 1，720 | 59 | 246 | 0 | 227 | 2，700 | ． 7 | 6.0 | ． 40 | 5，120 | 508 | 306 | 87 | 33 | 8，570 | 7.3 |
| （ $\mathrm{C}-2-9$ ） $7 \mathrm{cb}-5$ | 7－19－63 | － | 15 | ． 01 | ． 00 | 180 | 96 | 314 | 8.2 | 232 | 0 | 16.3 | 840 | 2 | 3.3 | ． 15 | 1，930 | 845 | 655 | 44 | 4.7 | 3，090 | 7.7 |
|  | 10－27－63 | 59 | 14 | － | － | 180 | 94 | 315 |  | 226 | 0 | 137 | 845 | － | 1.6 | － | 1，940 | 836 | 651 | 45 | 4.7 | 3，040 | 7.3 |
| （C－3－7） 7 daa－51 | 7－30－63 | 55 | 18 | ． 03 | ． 00 | 42 | 7.1 | 53 | 1.2 | 188 | 0 | 11 | 57 | ． 2 | 1.5 | ． 06 | 273 | 134 | 0 | 46 | 2.0 | 507 | 7.4 |
| 29bcb－si | 7－31－63 | 51 | 11 | ． 05 | ． 00 | 41 | 11 | 27 | 1.1 | 169 | 0 | 14 | 41 | .2 | .3 | ． 03 | 229 | 148 | 9 | 28 | 1.0 | 398 | 7.6 |
| 30ddb－S1 | 7－31－63 | 61 | 10 | ． 03 | ． 00 | 38 | 9.0 | 24 | 1.1 | 158 | 0 | 10 | 31 | ． 1 | ． 3 | ． 02 | 199 | 132 | 2 | 28 | ． 9 | 343 | 7.6 |
| （C－3－8） $10 \mathrm{ccc}-\mathrm{Sl}^{1 /}$ | 7－30－63 | 73 | 17 | ． 09 | ． 01 | 152 | 61 | 1，970 | 66 | 241 | 0 | 280 | 3，150 | .4 | 6.9 | ． 47 | 5，980 | 630 | 432 | 86 | 34 | 9，820 | 7.3 |
| 12ab－5 | 7－30－63 | 66 | 21 | ． 03 | ． 00 | 63 | 11 | 60 | ． 8 | 203 | 0 | 20 | 102 | ． 2 | 1.2 | ． 04 | 395 | 202 | 35 | 39 | 1.8 | 678 | 7.5 |
| 15cba－51 | 7－30－63 | 71 | 16 | ． 15 | ． 04 | 138 | 55 | 1，960 | 66 | 223 | 0 | 260 | 3，090 | .3 | 4.3 | 44 | 5，770 | 570 | 387 | 87 | 36 | 9，590 | 7.2 |
| $21 \mathrm{ddb}-\mathrm{s} 1$ | 7－23－63 | 75 | 11 | 1.2 | ． 10 | 23 | 5.4 | 14 | ． 9 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 26 | ． 2 | ． 1 | ． 02 | 137 | 80 | 6 | 27 | ． 7 | 238 | 7.1 |
| 25dbd－s1 | 7－31－63 | 54 | 11 | ． 07 | ． 00 | 37 | 8.8 | 25 | 1.1 | 156 | 0 | 15 | 34 | ． 1 | ． 0 | ． 04 | 211 | 128 | 0 | 30 | 1.0 | 352 | 7.8 |
| 28adc－1 | 7－23－63 | 56 | 17 | 1.1 | ． 00 | 58 | 11 | 51 | 4.5 | 149 | 0 | 12 | 124 | ． 2 | ． 5 | ． 06 | 377 | 190 | 68 | 36 | 1.6 | 643 | 7.3 |
|  | 7－30－63 | － | 19 | ． 01 | ． 00 | 64 | 10 | 53 | 4.2 | 151 | 0 | 16 | 130 | ． 1 | 1.3 | ． 09 | 415 | 202 | 78 | 36 | 1.6 | 655 | 7.8 |
| 28bed－1 | 7－23－63 | 56 | 18 | ． 64 | ． 00 | 39 | 9.5 | 47 | 2.6 | 132 | 0 | 9.7 | 86 | .3 | .4 | ． 05 | 276 | 137 | 29 | 42 | 1.7 | 493 | 7. |
| $28 \mathrm{ddb}-1$ | 7－23－63 | 56 | 17 | ． 25 | ． 00 | 54 | 13 | 49 | 1.8 | 139 | 0 | 19 | 114 | ． 2 | ． 9 | ． 07 | 354 | 189 | 75 | 36 | 1.5 | 613 | 7.0 |
| （ $\mathrm{C}-3-9$ ） $8 \mathrm{cc}-5$ | 7－23－63 | 64 | 13 | ． 72 | ． 08 | 180 | 111 | 268 | 8.5 | 196 | 0 | 129 | 855 | ． 1 | 3.7 | ． 17 | 1，940 | 905 | 744 | 39 | 3.9 | 3，050 | 7.9 |
|  | 9－5－63 | 64 | 13 | ． 48 | ． 01 | 174 | 114 | 262 | 7.9 | 196 | 0 | 129 | 840 | ． 3 | 2.6 | 18 | 2，070 | 905 | 744 | 38 | 3.8 | 3，000 | 7.3 |
| （c－4－8）3ccb－2 | 7－31－63 | 56 | 10 | ． 01 | ． 00 | 34 | 6.3 | 43 | 4.1 | 113 | 0 | 11 | 78 | ． 1 | ． 6 | ． 03 | 253 | 110 | 17 | 45 | 1.8 | 441 | 7.2 |
| 22baa－1 | 8－1－63 | 59 | 13 | ． 59 | ． 04 | 97 | 17 | 68 | 1.6 | 139 | 0 | 56 | 200 | ． 1 | 14 | ． 03 | 671 | 311 | 197 | 32 | 1.7 | 982 | 7.1 |
| 22bda－1 | 8－1－63 | 57 | 13 | ． 66 | ． 02 | 96 | 18 | 160 | 6.2 | 180 | 0 | 126 | 265 | ． 0 | 24 | ． 10 | 839 | 316 | 168 | 52 | 3.9 | 1，380 | 7.3 |
| $33 \mathrm{aba-1}$ | 8－1－63 | 60 | 25 | ． 09 | ． 00 | 28 | 23 | 153 | 12 | 190 | 0 | 40 | 222 | .1 | 12 | ． 08 | 616 | 163 | 7 | 65 | 5.2 | 1，090 | 7.3 |
| （c－4－9） $32 \mathrm{abb}-1$ | 4－27－66 | － | 46 | － | － | 36 | 18 | 371 | 9.9 | 250 | 0 | 100 | 470 | ． 7 | 4.4 | ． 20 | 1，150 | 162 | 0 | 82 | 13 | 2，030 | 7.9 |
| （C－5－7）35bcb－s1 | 8－14－63 | 63 | 7.3 | ． 30 | ． 00 | 51 | 2.4 | 13 | ． 7 | 270 | 0 | 11 | 17 | .1 | ． 2 | ． 03 | 241 | 224 | 3 | 11 | ． 4 | 453 | 7.7 |
| （c－5－8）34bdb－2 | 8－16－63 | so | 38 | ． 24 | ． 00 | 166 | 96 | 604 | 29 | 378 | 0 | 377 | 1，000 | ． 8 | 2.9 | ． 51 | 2，570 | 810 | 500 | 61 | 9.2 | 4，060 | 7.7 |
| 34dad－1 | 8－16－63 | 57 | 24 | ． 22 | ． 00 | 75 | 43 | 208 | 12 | 301 | 0 | 79 | 348 | ． 6 | 2.2 | ． 22 | 932 | 362 | 115 | 55 | 4.8 | 1，650 | 7.7 |
| $34 \mathrm{ddb}-5$ | 8－16－63 | 52 | 27 | ． 19 | ． 00 | 67 | 42 | 265 | 14 | 351 | 0 | 102 | 375 | ． 7 | 3.8 | ． 29 | 1，070 | 342 | 54 | 62 | 6.3 | 1，880 | 7.5 |
|  | 9－27－63 | 52 | 26 | ． 03 | ． 00 | 79 | 36 | 259 | 14 | 351 | 0 | 111 | 380 | ． 6 | 1.6 | ． 28 | 1，060 | 348 | 60 | 61 | 6.0 | 1，850 | 7.8 |
| $35 \mathrm{cbc}-1$ | 8－16－63 | 53 | 24 | ． 60 | ． 00 | 74 | 39 | 199 | 11 | 288 | 0 | 74 | 330 | ． 5 | 1.3 | ． 17 | 922 | 346 | 110 | 55 | 4.7 | 1，580 | 7.8 |
| $35 \mathrm{ccc}-2$ | 4－29－55 | 52 | 28 | － | － | 70 | 43 | 190 | 12 | 282 | 0 | 74 | 331 | .6 | 1.9 | ． 19 | 914 | 352 | 120 | 53 | 4.4 | 1，560 | 7.5 |
| （C－5－10） $15 \mathrm{dcc}-\mathrm{Sl}$ | 8－20－63 | － | 18 | ． 11 | ． 10 | 136 | 156 | 375 | 4.5 | 148 | 0 | 171 | 1，080 | ． 2 | 2.2 | ． 17 | 2，220 | 980 | 859 | 45 | 5.2 | 3，690 | 7.7 |
|  | 9－5－63 | － | 18 | ． 12 | － | 144 | 157 | 377 | 4.7 | 142 | 0 | 167 | 1，080 | ． 2 | 1.6 | ． 22 | 2，380 | 1，000 | 889 | 45 | 5.2 | 3，580 | 7.6 |
| （C－6－7） $11 \mathrm{cdb}-\mathrm{sl}$ | 8－14－63 | 55 | 12 | ． 77 | ． 00 | 63 | 21 | 49 | 1.6 | 267 | 0 | 40 | 63 | ． 3 | 1.7 | ． 09 | 374 | 241 | 22 | 30 | 1.4 | 660 | 7.7 |
| （ $\mathrm{C}-6-8$ ） $2 \mathrm{dcd}-1$ | 8－16－63 | 57 | 30 | ． 06 | ． 00 | 43 | 27 | 172 | 5.3 | 246 | 0 | 51 | 245 | 1.0 | 1.8 | ． 15 | 700 | 221 | 19 | 62 | 5.0 | 1，220 | 7.7 |
| $11 \mathrm{dad}-1$ | 5－29－65 | 57 | 37 | ． 13 | ． 15 | 127 | 77 | 268 | 9.1 | 209 | 0 | 98 | 652 | ． 9 | .4 | ． 16 | 1，700 | 636 | 465 | 47 | 4.6 | 2，500 | 7.7 |
| 11 dbd － 2 | 8－20－63 | 53 | 29 | ． 35 | ． 0 | 57 | 32 | 142 | 6.3 | 235 | 0 | 49 | 250 | .6 | 1.3 | ． 14 | 712 | 272 | 79 | 52 | 3.8 | 1，230 | 7.7 |
| 15abd－1 | 9－15－65 | 55 | 18 | － | － | 61 | 31 | 149 |  | 236 | 0 | 50 | 254 | － | ． 9 |  | 738 | 280 | 86 | 54 | 3.9 | 1，220 | 7.9 |
| 15cac－s1 | 8－15－63 | 60 | 19 | ． 70 | ． 00 | 59 | 32 | 142 | 7.7 | 238 | 0 | 49 | 245 | .4 | 1.5 | ． 12 | 706 | 278 | 83 | 52 | 3.7 | 1，220 | 7.4 |
| 23acb－s1 | 8－15－63 | － | 32 | ． 48 | ． 00 | 80 | 30 | 145 | 9.6 | 296 | 0 | 55 | 242 | ． 7 | 6.2 | ． 16 | 779 | 324 | 81 | 48 | 3.5 | 1，290 | 7.2 |
| 23bac－52 | 8－15－63 | － | 32 | ． 23 | ． 00 | 79 | 39 | 187 | 12 | 334 | 0 | 54 | 318 | ． 8 | 3.1 | ． 24 | 926 | 360 | 86 | 52 | 4.3 | 1，570 | 7.4 |
| 25bbb－51 | 8－15－63 | 56 | 24 | ． 98 | ． 01 | 65 | 33 | 152 | 8.9 | 254 | 0 | 64 | 260 | ． 7 | 1.2 | ． 15 | 751 | 298 | 90 | 52 | 3.8 | 1，290 | 7.8 |
| （C－6－9）6dbb－s1 | 5－29－65 | － | 2.7 | ． 71 | ． 09 | 30 | 4.4 | 34 | 6.5 | 116 | 0 | 16 | 47 | ． 3 | ． 2 | ． 08 | 200 | 94 |  | 42 | 1.5 | 358 | 8.1 |
| （C－7－8）9dad－1 | 3－－51 | － | － | ． | － | － | － | 184 |  | 207 | 0 | 249 | 225 | － | － | － | 957 | 345 | 176 | 54 | 4.3 | 1，480 | 7.5 |
|  | 10－15－52 | 54 | 48 | － | － | 110 | 32 | 180 | 11 | 208 | 0 | 299 | 230 | ． 2 | 19 | － | 1，060 | 406 | 236 | 48 | 3.9 | 1，610 | 7.5 |
|  | 11－4－53 | 54 | 45 | － | － | 57 | 19 | 129 | 7.1 | 194 | 0 | 51 | 210 | ． 5 | 3.0 | － | 628 | 220 | 61 | 55 | 2.5 | 1，080 | 7.6 |
|  | 10－6－54 | 56 | 45 | － | － | 112 | 33 | 192 | 10 | 199 | 0 | 266 | 260 | .3 | 19 | － | 1，070 | 415 | 252 | 49 | 4.1 | 1，660 | 7.3 |
|  | 12－12－55 | 54 | 51 | － | － | 55 | 19 | 132 | 9.0 | 183 | 0 | 45 | 216 | .4 | 2.0 | － | 636 | 215 | 65 | 56 | 3.9 | 1，060 | 7.3 |
|  | 9－11－56 | 52 | 50 | － | － | 116 | 31 | 187 | 11 | 198 | － | 278 | 260 | ． 2 | 17 | － | 1，070 | 418 | 256 | 48 | 4.0 | 1，650 | 7.5 |
|  | 11－15－56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{l}8: 35 \mathrm{am}) \\ (8: 50 \mathrm{am}) \\ 8: 20 \mathrm{a}\end{array}\right.$ | － | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | 4.1 |  |  |
|  | （ $\begin{aligned} & 8: 50 \mathrm{am}) \\ & \text {（9：20am）} \\ & \text {（ }\end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | $-$ | － | － | 136 136 1 | － | － | － | 62 66 | 215 215 | － | 3.3 3.5 | － | 630 e 640 e 700 | 212 216 216 | － | 58 58 58 | 4.1 | 1,080 1,100 1,170 | － |
|  | （10：20am） | － | － | － | － | － | － | 143 | － | － | － | 92 | 220 | － | 4.6 | － | 700 e | 238 | － | 57 | 4.0 | 1，170 | － |
|  | （11：20am） |  | － | － | － | － | － | 149 | － | － | － | 132 | 225 | － | 7.7 | － | 780 e | 276 | － | 54 | 3.9 | 1，270 |  |

Table 10．－（Continued）

| Location |  |  | Parts per million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | uņpos วuәכבכd |  |  | 台 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号合 } \\ & \text { 出 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \\ & \frac{6}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 䂞会会 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \stackrel{3}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苔念 } \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \text { ư } \\ & \text { 出 } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （0．－7－8）9dad－1 | 11－15－56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （12：20pm） | － | － | － | － | － | － | 160 | － | － | － | 172 | 235 | － | 10 | － | 850 e | 308 | － | 53 | 4.0 | 1，360 | － |
|  | （ 1：20pm） | － | － | － | － | － | － | 166 | － | － | － | 192 | 245 | － | 13 | － | 895 e | 328 | － | 52 | 4.0 | 1，420 | － |
|  | （ 2：20pm） | － | － | － | － | － | － | 171 | － | － | － | 206 | 240 | － | 13 | － | 920 e | 340 | － | 52 | 4.0 | 1，450 | － |
|  | 4－8－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 168 |  | 148 | 0 | 166 | 228 | － | 7.1 | － | 780 e | 256 | 135 | 59 | 4.6 | 1，270 | 7.8 |
|  | 4－17－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 159 |  | 202 | 0 | 112 | 219 | － | 7.2 | － | 730 e | 252 | 86 | 58 | 4.4 | 1，210 | 7.3 |
|  | 4－29－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 131 | － | － | － | 56 | 215 | － | 2.4 | － | 630 e | 220 | － | 56 | 3.8 | 1，080 | － |
|  | 5－7－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 131 | － | － | － | 50 | 216 | － | 11 | － | $615 e$ | 224 | － | 56 | 3.8 | 1，070 | － |
|  | 5－20－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 151 | － | － | － | 148 | 231 | － | 8.8 | － | 810 e | 300 | － | 52 | 3.8 | 1，310 | － |
|  | 5－28－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 137 | － | － | － | 99 | 224 | － | 6.8 | － | 715 e | 260 | － | 53 | 3.7 | 1，190 | － |
|  | 8－27－57 | 52 | － | － | － | － | － | 196 | － | － | － | 255 | 258 | － | 20 | － | 1，080e | 406 | － | 51 | 4.2 | 1，650 | － |
|  | 9－19－57 | 52 | 46 | － | － | 59 | 15 | 137 | 7.5 | 194 | 0 | 58 | 210 | 0.4 | 4.4 | － | 641 | 210 | 51 | 58 | 4.1 | 1，100 | 7.9 |
|  | 10－18－57 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 221 | － | 11 | － | 855 e | － | － | － | － | 1，370 | － |
|  | 12－30－57 | 50 | 39 | － | － | 57 | 17 | 133 | 7.3 | 186 | 0 | 58 | 210 | ． 3 | 3.1 | － | 617 | 208 | 55 | 57 | 3.9 | 1，080 | 8.0 |
|  | 1－－58 |  | 32 | － | － | 51 | 17 | 148 |  | 183 | 0 | 63 | 214 | － | ． 6 | － | 616 | 196 | 46 | 62 | 4.6 | 1，070 | 7.7 |
|  | 10－14－58 | 53 | 38 | － | － | 57 | 16 | 138 | 8.3 | 190 | 0 | 49 | 215 | ． 4 | 3.8 | － | 633 | 209 | 53 | 58 | 4.1 | 1，090 | 7.5 |
|  | 9－15－60 | 55 | 50 | － | － | 112 | 30 | 187 | 10 | 197 |  | 277 | 258 | ． 3 | 14 | － | 1，080 | 405 | 243 | 49 | 4.0 | 1，640 | 7.5 |
|  | 12－4－62 | 54 | 45 | － | － | 64 | 16 | 139 |  | 190 | 0 | 55 | 220 | ． 3 | 4.3 | － | 670 | 225 | 69 | 57 | 4.0 | 1，130 | 7.6 |
|  | 9－6－63 | － | 45 | － | － | 91 | 25 | 170 | 8.9 | 201 | 0 | 179 | 255 | ． 2 | 3.1 | － | 924 | 330 | 165 | 52 | 4.1 | 1，450 | 7.3 |
|  | 9－29－65 | 55 | 41 | － | － | 69 | 20 | 143 |  | 178 | 5 | 53 | 245 | .4 | 11 | － | 738 | 255 | 101 | 55 | 3.9 | 1，200 | 8.3 |
| （C－7－8）9dca－1 | 1－26－51 | － | ， | － | － |  | － | ， | － | 218 | 0 | 5 | 215 | － |  | － | 810 e |  | － | 5 | － | 1，310 | － |
|  | 7－31－51 | 56 | 53 | － | － | 70 | 18 | 162 | 12 | 212 | 0 | 132 | 215 | ． 3 | 8.5 | － | 778 | 248 | 75 | 57 | 4.5 | 1，290 | 7.8 |
|  | 6－2－53 | 56 | 50 |  |  | 81 | 23 | 167 | 12 | 208 | 0 | 172 | 220 | ． 3 | 12 | 0.19 | 838 | 296 | 126 | 54 | 4.2 | 1，340 | 7.6 |
|  | 10－6－54 | 56 | 47 | － | － | 68 | 17 | 153 | 9.4 | 205 | 0 | 96 | 211 | ． 2 | 4.9 |  | 712 | 240 | 72 | 57 | 4.3 | 1，190 | 7.4 |
|  | 12－12－55 | ， | 55 | － | － | 80 | 20 | 165 | 12 | 204 | 0 | 174 | 214 | ． 3 | 9.3 | － | 842 | 282 | 114 | 55 | 4.3 | 1，340 | 7.3 |
|  | 9－11－56 | 53 | 50 | － | － | 94 | 21 | 178 | 11 | 206 | 0 | 219 | 218 | ． 2 | 12 | － | 909 | 322 | 153 | 54 | 4.3 | 1，430 | 7.3 |
|  | 2－7－57 | 56 | 52 | － | － | 77 | 19 | 171 | 11 | 202 | 0 | 160 | 220 | ． 4 | 8.5 | － | 825 | 270 | 104 | 57 | 4.5 | 1，320 | 7.5 |
|  | 4－8－57 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 158 |  | 202 | 0 | 121 | 220 | － | 6.9 | － | 750 | 264 | 98 | 57 | 4.2 | 1，240 | 7.4 |
|  | 4－17－57 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 136 |  | 188 | 0 | 54 | 215 | － | 3.6 | － | 630 e | 220 | 66 | 57 | 4.0 | 1，080 | 7.7 |
|  | 4－29－57 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 195 | － | － | － | 202 | 223 | － | 6.1 | － | 880 e | 324 | － | 57 | 4.7 | 1，400 | － |
|  | 5－7－57 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 151 | － | － | － | 128 | 218 | － | 3.3 | － | 760 e | 272 | － | 57 | 4.0 | 1，250 | － |
|  | 5－20－57 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 180 | － | － | － | 206 | 220 | － | 7.9 | － | 890 e | 316 | － | 55 | 4.4 | 1，410 | － |
|  | 5－28－57 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 167 | － | － | － | 206 | 223 | － | 11 | － | 890 e | 316 | － | 53 | 4.1 | 1，410 | － |
|  | 8－27－57 | 53 | － | － | － | － | － | 189 | － | － | 0 | 229 | 228 | － | 14 | － | 960 e | 330 | － | 55 | 4.5 | 1，500 | － |
|  | 9－19－57 | 53 | 48 | － | － | 93 | 22 | 1.76 | 11 | 206 | 0 | 236 | 215 | ． 3 | 14 | － | 935 | 322 | 153 | 53 | 4.3 | 1，440 | 7.8 |
|  | 10－18－57 |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 225 | － | 11 | － | 870 e | － | － | － | － | 1，390 | － |
|  | 10－14－58 | 54 | 43 | － | － | 89 | 22 | 185 | 14 | 206 | 0 | 219 | 220 | ． 2 | 17 | － | 914 | 315 | 146 | 55 | 4.5 | 1，450 | 7.6 |
|  | 9－15－60 | 56 | 50 | － | － | 91 | 21 | 174 | 10 | 202 | 0 | 200 | 225 | ． 3 | 9.3 | － | 898 | 314 | 148 | 54 | 4.3 | 1，410 | 7.5 |
|  | 10－5－61 | 56 | 48 | － | － | 119 | 14 | 185 |  | 210 | 0 | 236 | 234 | ． 2 | 13 | － | 992 | 356 | 184 | 53 | 4.3 | 1，520 | 7.5 |
|  | 9－6－63 | 57 | 46 | － | － | 107 | 25 | 199 | 11 | 208 | 0 | 265 | 255 | ． 2 | 15 | － | 1，040 | 372 | 201 | 53 | 4.5 | 1，610 | 7.5 |
|  | 10－7－63 | 54 | 47 | － | － | 120 | 20 | 210 |  | 204 | 0 | 268 | 270 | ． 2 | 15 | － | 1，040 | 384 | 217 | 54 | 4.6 | 1，610 | 7.4 |
|  | 9－26－66 | 56 | 45 | － | － | 131 | 30 | 230 |  | 200 | 0 | 290 | 330 | ． 5 | 20 | － | 1，200 | 450 | 286 | 53 | 4.7 | 1，840 | 7.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15 \mathrm{bcb}-1 \\ & 15 \mathrm{cba}-1 \end{aligned}$ | 7－20－54 | 54 | 52 | － | － | 54 | 19 | 146 | 7.5 | 184 | 0 | 47 | 236 | ． 5 | 5.2 | ． 20 | 668 | 212 | 62 | 59 | 4.4 | 1，140 | 7.5 |
|  | 7－28－58 | 59 | 48 | － | － | 58 | 24 | 125 | 7.3 | 185 | 0 | 46 | 230 | ． 6 | 3.4 | － | 675 | 245 | 93 | 52 | 3.5 | 1，110 | 7.7 |
|  | 9－15－60 | 55 | 49 | ， | － | 57 | 25 | 129 | 6.6 | 188 | 0 | 45 | 235 | ． 6 | 1.8 | － | 670 | 244 | 90 | 53 | 3.6 | 1，110 | 7.7 |
|  | 10－5－61 | 56 | 48 | 0.07 | － | 73 | 18 | 134 |  | 196 | 0 | 59 | 228 | ． 7 | 3.4 | － | 702 | 256 | 95 | 53 | 3.7 | 1，140 | 7.5 |
|  | 9－6－63 |  | 46 | ． 10 | ． 00 | 43 | 27 | 134 | $\therefore 1$ | 199 | 0 | 37 | 220 | ． 3 | 2.0 | ． 15 | 636 | 220 | 57 | 56 | 3.9 | 1，070 | 7.4 |
| $22 \mathrm{adb}-2$ | 9－29－64 | 55 | 43 | ． 06 | ． 03 | 59 | 25 | 140 |  | 194 | 0 | 48 | 243 | ． 6 | .7 | － | 696 | 250 | 91 | 55 | 3.8 | 1，140 | 7.5 |
|  | 7－28－58 | 59 | 47 | － | － | 38 | 29 | 136 | 5.4 | 200 | 0 | 39 | 220 | ． 4 | 1.0 | － | 627 | 216 | 52 | 57 | 4.0 | 1，080 | 7.7 |
|  | 10－3－59 | 54 | 43 | － | － | 38 | 32 | 130 | 5.1 | 202 | 0 | 35 | 215 | ． 4 | 1.4 | － | 615 | 226 | 60 | 55 | 3.8 | 1，100 | 7.8 |
|  | 9－15－60 | 55 | 47 | － | － | 37 | 29 | 139 | 4.7 | 201 | 0 | 35 | 218 | ． 5 | 1.3 | － | 614 | 213 | 48 | 58 | 4.1 | 1，050 | 7.7 |
|  | 12－4－62 | 54 | 44 | － | － | 46 | 30 | 127 |  | 195 | 0 | 41 | 222 | ． 5 | 1.3 | － | 646 | 241 | 81 | 53 | 3.6 | 1，090 | 7.7 |
|  | 9－6－63 | － | 45 | ． 50 | ． 00 | 40 | 28 | 134 | 4.9 | 203 | 0 | 36 | 222 | .4 | 1.6 | ． 14 | 627 | 216 | 49 | 57 | 4.0 | 1，090 | 7.3 |
|  | 9－29－65 | 54 | 43 | ． | ． | 37 | 31 | 135 |  | 200 | 0 | 34 | 220 | ． 6 | 1.2 | － | 632 | 220 | 56 | 57 | 3.9 | 1，080 | 7.8 |
|  | 1－12－66 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1，100 | － |
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Plate 2. - MAP SHOWING THE GENERALIZED DISTRIBUTION OF DISSOLVED SOLIDS IN GROUND AND SURFACE WATERS, THE CHEMICAL CHARACTER OF REPRESENTATIVE WATER SOURCES, AND THE RELATION OF QUALITY TO THE SOURCES OF RECHARGE AND THE AREAS OF DISCHARGE IN


[^0]:    1／Collected from the combined flow of five adjacent springs．

