

RECEIVED

MAR 23 1992

WATER RIGHTS  
SALT LAKE

DEEP CREEK DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM BALLOT

WATER USER NAME

g e Wilkerson

*a.c. Wilkerson  
Stockholder  
+  
WR*

+ Alternative No. 1

The Mosby Irrigation Co. would have their meeting before the annual distribution system meeting. At its meeting, the shareholders would vote on the person they would like to recommend as commissioner for the coming year. At the distribution meeting, a representative from the company would present their proposal for commissioner (based on the person who received the most votes) to the Deep Creek water right holders. The water right holders would then vote among themselves whether to accept the company's proposal or not. If they did not accept the proposal they would select by vote the person they would like to recommend as commissioner. This proposal would then be considered by the Mosby Irrigation Company (by those shareholders present at the distribution system meeting) and either accept it or reject it. If it was rejected, the company would make another proposal to the water right holders and the process would continue as outlined above until both groups could agree on the same person. If no agreement could be reached then a decision would be made by the State Engineer. If a person owns both shares in Mosby Irrigation Co. and water rights on Deep Creek, they would be allowed to vote with both groups. It is assumed that proxies would be allowed in the voting in both groups.

Alternative No. 2

The voting would be based on those water users who attend the annual distribution system meeting (again proxies would be allowed). Every water user would be allowed one vote regardless of whether their use was based on Mosby Irrigation Co. shares or Deep Creek water rights. However, only one vote would be allowed per water using entity. For example, if several members of family attended the meeting but their use was based on commonly held water rights or company shares, only one representative from the family could vote; or if several members of a corporation which held rights or shares attended, only one representative could vote. The decision on the commissioner would be based on the majority vote of those present at the meeting (including proxies).

The proxies used in either voting alternative would have to state specifically the meeting at which they are to be used, the person being represented by the proxy, and the person's name who is authorized to use the proxy.

YES     NO

I agree that Dean Clerico should be compensated for his time and effort this year in riding with Charmin to learn the system and filling in for her if needed.