Attendance Hearing on Provo
River Decree 10-29-69
Provo City Class "A" Rights

NAME	TITLE	REPRESENTING
Karl M. Noble	Hyd. Engr.	U.S.B.R.
Robert White	Hyd. Engr.	USBR, Provo
Jack M. Gardner	Assistant Supt.	Provo River Water Users Assoc.
Carl H. Carpenter, Engineer		Central Utah Water Conservancy District, Orem
Glenn A Wright	Provo City Eng.	
Thomas O. Parker	Asst. Reg. Solicitor	USDI, Bur of Reclamation
Richard Mansfield	Att.	Provo City
John W Goddard		Provo City
Elmo W Hamilton		Provo Reservoir W.U. Co.
Joseph Novak		Provo Reservoir W U Co
		Provo River Water Users Assn
John A Gillmore		Provo Reservoir Water Users Co.
Donald C. Norseth		
Hubert C. Lambert		
A Bryce Montgomery		
HUGH McKELLAR		PROVO RIVER COMMISSIONER
DALLIN JENSEN		ASST. ATT. GENERAL
EARL STAKER		ASSOC. Eng for State Engr

1. Hill Conducting
2. SBWR gave summary of directive to Commissioner and reasoning behind it.
2. Mr. Woodward made a sketch of physical picture on the chalk board.
3. W.O. Facer affidavit of water master on diversion of water in 1933. This is furnished by Richard Maxfield.
4. SBWR further clarified diagram as to races.
5. Hugh McKellar confirmed general picture
6. Richard Maxfield presented Provo City's stand.

Near 6000 acres of land irrigated under Provo City ~~system~~ service area.
Map is available from Provo City to show these areas

7. Hugh McKellar — gave reports of their actions
8. Joseph Novak — decree is ambiguous interprets decree under 4(c) as a power right only.

Old Morse 1917 Decree referred to irrigation rights separately. Separate #17 paragraph specifys power right separately

Stipulation changed 13.5 cfs to 16.5 cfs (Sept 4, 1918) for Provo City Power use

xxxx Assessments by State Eng. for 16.5 cfs was for power right only. (back to 1943)

Don't know whether 16.5 cfs is part of water under 4a and 4b, but believe 16.5 cfs is for power right only.

9. Tom Parker for US Bureau Reclamation:
Paragraph #3. Courts intent were to itemize acreage and duty.
Concerned about an enlargement of Provo City's right.
We recognize all ~~[illegible]~~ prior rights.

10. James Lee for Kennecott Copper Corp:
We believe 16.5 cfs under ~~4a and 4b is same water as~~ 4c is same water as outlined in 4a and 4b.

11. Carl Carpenter: Central Utah Conser. Dist.:
Mr Alsop interpreted 16.5 cfs as a power right and Mr. Healey and Mr. Wright agreed.

12. HCL: will take info gathered and give a directive to Commissioner. Directive will be appealable.
Okay by Dallin Jensen.
Probably will not hold another hearing.