Minutes of the Henry's Fork Institutions
Feb 1, 1994 - McKinnon, Wyoming.

Adjourned 5:10 p.m.
Lee Sim, conducting.
Bob Leake, read minutes from the 1993 Meeting.
Approved John Wilde
Earl Hanks
Motion passed.

Lee Sim then presented the financial report.
for the system - No delinquencies.
Frank Carr passed out report as to how finances were spent.
Utah, portion 36%
Wyoming, portion - 64%
Time asked questions about mileage - .28¢/mile
Frank Carr answered mileage covers both vehicle - motor cycle - truck.
Motion to approve
Seconded by Mark Anderson
Glen Wadsworth

Jim Hickey then gave his commissioner report.
Several items & discussion discussed (see report)
Jim discussed a formal request of regulation
Jade Henderson - Wyoming side needs to be submitted in form for request of regulation
Formal requests will hold & put a limit on them until stream come up & then that request will end. Jim Hickey said he doesn't do a lot of regulation until after the high water is [illegible]

J.H. Jim will date the requests of regulation + ~~[illegible]~~
~~September is the time~~

Requests for regulation should not be made until the user is short of water. (If a person is short even during the high water) Forms can be obtained from Commissioner - call him & he will help in this matter. -

J.H. Review of change of diversion - ditches of West & East Beaver - (Lyman Grazing) they must file a change application for them to bring water thru new ditch - if thru old ditch need head gate + measuring device.

—

J.H. Gregory Basin - letter prepared on the ditch (canal) needs lockable headgate, Those who take Hoop Lake across to Burnt Fk - needs to share expense of flume below last diversion gate on Gregory Canal. - interval matter.

—

Jim Hickey - glad to see both Utah + Wyoming users here - it is a Henrys Fork meeting for both users of Utah + Wyoming.

—

Shrink on Hoop Lake - due to distance of water - travelling down channel - regular permits had to be turned off to get water down to Glen, Earl Jack Hickey to protect Gino who doesn't have Hoop Lake H_2O

Ken HANK's commended Commission for good job done. Ken thinks more research should be done on losses on the Hoop Lake water. before figures are set. ~~[illegible]~~ Jim agreed. Revaluate again next year to see what is happening. Gino says there are gages in the creek + they can be read after water is measured out of Hoop Lake. Jim says 10 cfs at Lake - not 9 at bottom. Losses at Burnt Fork might be 50%?

Jim's proposal

2% shrink/mile from Hoop Lake down to Hole in the Rock - to Gregory Basin - 3 miles	5%	See report for exact detail. pg Aug 10th
Jodergen	15%	
S. P. Bullock	15%	
Jared Ditch	15%	
Cedar Bar	15%	
Homestead	15%	
fish pond	15%	
Langton #1	20%	
Langton #2	20%	
Stewart	20%	
Nielsen	20%	

Last year straight 10% shrink for every body

Jude Henderson said - if proposal is implemented - then must be monitored closely.
- John Yarbrough of Dyer SEO will help.
Ken Hanks asked about whether or not about water being allocated by shares in the Lake.
Earl Hanks says 4000 a.ft + everybody has a few shares - hasn't been done that way - everybody has been sharing the water.
Jim says that if distributed by shares certain men won't get water even if lake is full.
Jim would like to recommend when lake spills everybody start new + after the season then everybody takes 1/3 cut for the next season.
- This is a common decision - so every 3rd year then lake should be full again
1/3 of shares would be cut the following year to help fill the Lake again. If Lake fills then you start clean again - 1993 water year → Hoop Lake 90% full.
Hoop Lake - will discharge 32 cfs when full + then down to 22 cfs later.
Motion to approve John Wilde
2nd by Earl Hanks
All approved.

Lee then discussed assessment for 1994
Proposal to stay as last year discussion about how %s were set. → (%s based on costs per state mileage + hours based upon compact)

Discussion pursue concerning distribution of water either by 3.0 cf/ac or by cfs/acres ratio. Recommendation needed from Beaver Creek users as to how they want to run their system. - Need unanimous vote by Utah Beaver Creek users. - Measured at river instead of at the headgate. John Wilde requests more info + vote. Letters will ~~need to~~ be sent out to each water user as to how they want to vote on the Beaver Creek proposals. to the Utah Beaver Creek water users by March 1st as to whether they want 3.0 cfs/ac or 1/60.

Meeting adjourned 6:30 pm.