



THE STATE OF UTAH
OFFICE OF STATE ENGINEER
SALT LAKE CITY

T. H. HUMPHERYS
STATE ENGINEER

June 19, 1939

PRICE RIVER-GOOSEBERRY PROJECT REPORT
BY MR. CAHOON - U.S.B.R.

Memorandum for Office Study only, by REID JERMAN

I have briefly examined a rough draft of the report on Price River-Gooseberry project by Mr. Cahoon of the U.S.B.R., and a few minor errors have been noted in pencil throughout said report. It appears to me that the synopsis of this report could be reduced materially and still contain the story, thus doing away with a lot of repetition. I also note this report is very preliminary since a number of vital questions, some of which are here listed, have not been settled. They are:

(1) The amount of available water from Willow creek has not been determined; (2) there has been no detailed geological examination made of the Scofield-Spanish Fork tunnel site nor has this site been explored by drilling or test pits; (3) if an outside interest (Sanpete-Strawberry) participates in the diversion of water from the Price drainage area, to what extent are they to participate in the repair of the Scofield dam? (4) there has been no determination made as to the cost of storing water on Huntington creek which would be used in exchange and permit the unrestricted diversion of water from said creek and its tributaries to the proposed project.

I conclude from the report that Clark Valley is out and there are only 9,000 ac. ft. of water available for Gooseberry; if and when 3,000 ac. ft. are taken from Huntington system by replacing same with storage on the Huntington, it will make this water very expensive. We can have only the diversion to the Spanish Fork system left which may appear feasible; however, a definite place of use of water on the Spanish Fork side has not been determined. Probably the author wishes to use this water to sweeten the present supply on Strawberry.

2/fm