

9-14

IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY.

-----oOo-----

PROVO RESERVOIR COMPANY, a
corporation,

NO. 2886 Civil

Plaintiff.

REPLY TO SEPARATE ANSWER AND
CROSS COMPLAINT OF RUTH HATCH
AND ABRAM C. HATCH, AS EXECU-
TORS OF THE LAST WILL AND ES-
TATE OF ABRAM HATCH, DECEASED.

-vs-

PROVO CITY, a municipal
corporation, et al,

Defendants.

-----oOo-----

Now comes the plaintiff in the above entitled action and replies to the separate answer and cross complaint of the defendants Ruth Hatch and Abram C. Hatch as executors of the last will and estate of Abram Hatch, deceased, as follows:-

1.

Plaintiff admits all of the affirmative allegations of the answer and cross complaint, except as to the duty of water mentioned therein, being a second foot of water for forty acres, and in answer to that portion thereof, as to the duty of water upon the pasture lands of said defendants, plaintiff has no information with regard thereto, ~~and therefore denies the same.~~

A. C. Hatch Justices
A. B. ...
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

STATE OF UTAH,

County of Utah

} ss.

R. J. Muddock, being first duly


sworn, says that he is an officer of the plaintiff corporation, to-wit the President thereof, that he has read the foregoing reply and knows the contents thereof and that the same is true of his own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief and as to those matters he verily believes it to be true.

R. J. Muddock

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 21st day of April 1914.

My commission expires Sept 2-1917.

Jacob ...
Notary Public



117


2888

Provs Reservoirs
vs.

Provs City et al

Reply

AT PROVO CITY, UTAH



W. Robinson CLERK
BY J. M. [unclear] DEPUTY

Received copy of the within
Reply this 11th day of May 1914

Chas. Hatch
Attorney for Defendants.