

to conserve the waters of Provo river, and prevent loss wherever said losses can be prevented, and that it is, therefore, incumbent upon the Court to see that such losses are prevented whenever the matter shall come before the Court, or ^{called} to the Court's attention. The Court therefore holds that such work as is necessary on the Provo river channel, at the points indicated, or at any other point along the channel of the river, which will save and conserve water to the users of water on the river, where the water saving is sufficient to justify the work of saving and conserving the same, should be done; that the cost of so conserving and saving the water is of necessity an element in the administration and distribution of the costs of the water of the river, and the commissioner, in the discharge of his duties under the decree, is justified in, and it would be his duty and the Court's duty, to see that such water was saved and conserved to the benefit of the users on the river, as a part of the economical administration and the use of the river waters, it being evident from the statements made that the water to be conserved is a very appreciable quantity and the cost of conserving is negligible compared to the value of the water, or the use of the water that can be conserved thereby;

IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED that the Commissioner may do such work on the bed, or channel, of the river as in his judgment is necessary to conserve the water, particularly at the points indicated, and prevent loss, and may expend for that purpose, if necessary, the sum of approximately two hundred dollars (\$200.00) as the cost of administration and distribution of the waters of the river; the said costs to be borne pro rata by the users of the water from the mouth of said river to its source.

This order is made at this time under the provisions of the decree, in order that no time may be unnecessarily lost in conserving this water and making it useful to the users of water on the river.

It is further ordered that if satisfactory arrangements can be made with the Commissioner, the users of water on the river may do, or perform, work in lieu of paying cash; but it is ordered that the Commissioner proceed with the conservation of this water as speedily as possible so that the same may be applied to a beneficial use.

Dated at Provo City, Utah County, State of Utah, this 23rd day of August, A. D. 1935.

BY THE COURT:

Martin M. Larson
J U D G E



Clarence R. Snyder, Deputy Clerk

FILED
AUG 23 1935
PROVO, UTAH

RECORDED

RECORD R
PAGE 612

2868
IN DIST. COURT
UTAH CO. UTAH
* FILED *
OCT 2 1935
C. A. [Signature] Clerk
Klaus B. [Signature] Deputy



28038
[Signature]

BY THE COURT:

[Faint, illegible text, likely a court order or judgment.]