

Provo, Utah, July 14, 1915.

East River Bottoms Water Company.

Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen;

I have spent a great deal of time going over with you the matter of you taking water from City Creek, without regard to regulations, and without the least regard for the other rights on this stream. This practice has been called to your attention many times this season-- and your verbal promises and agreements to correct this condition have been of no value.

I phoned Mr. Fred Ferguson on the evening of July 12, he stated to me "We will have out to-morrow Ferguson No. 2, Ferguson No. 3, and the Baum Ditch" On my trip on the 13th I found the following;

Smith ditch No.1. -----	0.75 leakage.
Mr. Gordon had out two streams-----	4.25 s.f.
Startup ditch -----	1.50 S.F.
Ferguson No.4 -----	7.
" No.3 -----	9.
" No. 2 -----	3.5 and a stream on pasture.
" No.1. -----	Leakage through gate.
Meldrum Booth ditch -----	.75 leakage and gate raised.
West Booth ditch -----	.75 part leakage.
Baum Ditch -----	3.00

You very kindly furnished me with a schedule-- but the schedule does not correspond for the date in question with the phone of your Mr Ferguson--which is intended?

I have also called your attention to leaky gates-- some of them I have had repaired.

I have also called your attention to the order of the Court of April 2, 1915, which requires all parties diverting water from Provo River to supply measuring devices at once, on this matter you have ben notified a number of times.

The Commissioner has aimed to be fair with you and has no desire to bring you before the Court--but in view of your persistent disregard of all reasonable regulations, you are to now understand that a decided change on your part is demanded.

I have advised you heretofore that for the 500 acres in your system 12.50 second feet of water will be allowed, it is not to be construed that I am stating that you have as much as 500 acres or that 12.50 second feet is necessary for the proper irrigation of this land-- this amount is allotted pending a hearing of the case.

2

The following will be required of you:

1. That locks be placed on all gates.
2. That your watermaster shall retain all keys to the locks and make such ~~xxx~~ changes as required.
3. That the Commissioner be furnished with a detailed report of these changes.
4. That all waste by leakage shall cease.
5. That your watermaster be required to report any person not complying with the specified regulations.

You are also further advised that the Commissioner will not allow of any water for ~~wasting~~ wasteing.

Your past practice will not be allowed to continue, you are therefore requested to acknowledge receipt of this letter, in duplicate, ~~by Friday~~ ~~not~~ reaching the commissioner not later than Friday July 16, 1915. State in full your disposition of this matter, and upon your reply the Commissioner will take such action as is deemed proper and the occasion requires.


Resp.



Commissioner Prove River.

Copy to Prove City, by mail.

Received copy of the foregoing this 14th day of July 1915.



*See East River
Bottom Watered*

Provo, Utah, April 29, 1915.

East River Bottoms Water Company.

Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen;

Confirming my verbal request of yesterday, you are hereby notified to provide suitable measuring devices for all diversions, according to plans submitted herewith. Please make this improvement by Wednesday May 5, 1915, I desire to make measurements on that date. All diversions not supplied with proper devices by May 10, 1915 will be equipped by this office at your expense.

Yours Truly,


Commissioner.

Provo, Utah, July 18, 1915.

D. B. Pratt Commissioner Wasatch Division Provo River.

Heber City, Utah.

Dear Sir;

It has been the experience in the delivery of water, and almost without exception, that with a receding river and in delivery of storage water, the old rights at the tail end of a division are subject to sustain the occurring losses and also the disturbances in manipulation. In order to avoid repetition of this, you will- unless otherwise advised-- hold up 2 to 5 per cent of the total water to offset recession and disturbance. This amount of water in your "morning distributions" to be given the Wasatch and North Field canals.

I fully realize your difficulties in the delivery of storage water fifty miles through a channel that is frequently tapped by diversions, and under these conditions you are authorized to act as before noted.

This letter does not in any intend to find fault with your services, it is authoritative ^{if} and suggestive.

I do not wish the amount of water withheld to be construed to mean transmission losses-- it is an insurance to the tail end men and relieving him of all the occurring losses.

In cases where you are drawing a constant stream of storage for a considerable time, for instance Provo Reservoir Company storage, this charge may not be necessary, however I am taking the view that you are on the ground and know every phase and condition, your judgement is better than a man's that is in New York, Provo, or any where else--- do as the occasion requires.

Yours Truly,

Commissioner Provo River.

Copy to John H. Clegg, President Wasatch Canal Company.

Provo, Utah, July 10, 1915.

D.B. Fratt Commissioner Wasatch Division.

Heber City, Utah.

Dear Sir;

By the "Wentz Report 1914" you will note on page 70 as follows:

" Special Recommendation. The Storage and other waters passing from the upper Division into the Lower Division has been a source of uncertainty on account of irregular flow, the exact amount has been impossible of determination. It is therefore recommended that; The Court order a suitable measuring device constructed and equipped with a register, to be complete not later than June 1st 1915.

The Concrete rating flume of the Provo Reservoir Company, near the Wasatch Dam may be converted into a suppressed weir for this purpose"

As I recall, this was the only special recommendation in the 1914 reports, when a Commissioner desires special assistance, it usually meets complete ignoring by all attorneys-- this was no exception to the rule, and we are to be satisfied with what we have and get along.

The Commissioner is very desirous that this uncertainty shall not exist during the present year, and not having this station equipped with a register, you will please purchase suitable chains and locks for the gates leading from your division to the river-- retain all the keys yourself, that we may as far as possible put this delivery beyond question.

The cost bill of equipment for this station should be forwarded to the Provo Reservoir Company for payment. I will change the rating flume to a suppressed weir on my visit to that station.

Other additional locks ect., that are necessary for your district should be supplied or charged to the company drawing water through that diversion.

Resp.

Commissioner Provo River.

Provo, Utah, July 18, 1915.

D. B. Pratt, Commissioner Wasatch Division.

Heber City, Utah.

Dear Sir;

You are aware of the existing dispute between the Snake Creek Tunnel Company and the Midway Irrigation Company relative to the title of water that issues from the Snake Creek Tunnel. This question has been tried by the Federal Court, but the decision has not been rendered. Pending further advise in this matter, it is desired by the writer that we take no part in this controversy.

Advisedly, I suggest that when these parties are "at maneuvers", you will find Woodland or Trial Lake a very congenial place to be.

Truly,

Commissioner Provo River.

July 12, 1915.

Mr. E. E. Corfman,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Mr. Corfman:

We have agreed with Mr. Murdock and other representatives of the Provo Reservoir Company, that pending some experiments which they desire to make, for the purpose of determining whether they can use the water for irrigation purposes after it passes our wheels, these experiments to take not to exceed a week, we will as an accommodation to them, release them the five second feet requested by the Commissioner last week. Therefore please communicate with Mr. Anderson and instruct him that he is authorized, for the next week, to comply with the request of Mr. Wentz and release five second feet over our dam to the Provo Reservoir Company. The waters so released are understood to be part of those flowing from the Ontario Drain Tunnel. I suggest also that you advise Mr. Wentz of our action.

Very truly yours,

UTAH POWER & LIGHT CO.

By Bismark Snyder,

Asst. Attorney.

Provo, Utah. July 16, 1915.

Provo Bench Canal & Irrigation Company.

Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen;

You are advised that your canal was found yesterday 5.45 second-foot low, I am unable to account for this condition in any way except that gates have been moved. In order to avoid a recurrence of this, you will please supply locks for each gate, and furnish me with key-- your Mr. Parks may retain the ~~dup~~ duplicate keys. Please give this matter your immediate attention.

Yours Truly,

Commissioner Provo River.

P.S. Large size Yale locks are advisable for these gates.

Provo, Utah, May 21, 1915.

Utah Power & Light Company,
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Gentlemen;

In the measurement of water used by your Company in Provo Canyon, it will facilitate the work by having weirs placed on the small streams leading to flume, one on each of the following; Bridal Veil Falls, Lost Creek, Upper Guard Quarters, Lower Guard Quarters, ect.

I enclose standard plan of weir I am using on the Provo River which I find very satisfactory. I will be pleased to go over the ground with one of your Company, and suggest the proper sized weirs to instal, and the location of each.

Yours Truly,

Commissioner Provo River.

Provo, Utah, July 23, 1915.

Wildwood Resort Company.

Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen:

In the use of your title to \approx 8. minute feet of water at the North Fork of Provo Canyon, it is necessary that you shall use this water on a schedule.

Unless further advised of change made on your application you will be allowed to use 1.33 second feet of water beginning at 12 o'clock noon on the 2nd day of August and continuing for twenty four hours until 12 o'clock noon on the 3rd day of August, and beginning and ending at the same time each succeeding ten days thereafter for the irrigation season.

If a more satisfactory arrangement can be made to suit your convenience I will be pleased to confer with you and make the desired change.

Yours Truly,

Commissioner Provo River.

Provo Utah, July 13, 1915.

Mr. Frank Wentz,

Dear Sir:

Enclosed you will find a
time card of City Creek.

Yours Truly,

Fred Ferguson.

Provo, Utah.

R. D. #2. Box 98

✓

12 ✓

City Creek.

Earl Foote, Monday July 5, 10 A.M. to 7:40 P.M.
Elijah Thomas, Mon. July 5, 7:40 P.M. to 3:20 A.M. Wed.
Enoch Hansen, Wed. July 7, 3:20 A.M. to 8 A.M. Wed.
Frank Sackett, Wed. July 7, 8 A.M. to 12:40 P.M. Wed.
Harmon Baum, Wed. July 7, 12:40 P.M. to 9:20 P.M. Wed.
Wm Baum, Wed. July 7, 9:20 P.M. to 2 A.M. Thur.
Peter Boyce, Thur. July 8, 2 A.M. to 6:40 P.M. Thur.
J. E. Booth, Thur. July 8, 6:40 P.M. to 1:20 P.M. Sat.
J. W. Stubbe, Sat. July 10, 1:20 P.M. to 6 P.M. Sat.
Jas. Meldrum, Sat. July 10, 6 P.M. to 8:40 A.M. Sun.
Fred Ferguson, Sun. July 11, 8:40 A.M. to 9:20 P.M. Sun.
Wm Ferguson, Sun. July 11, 9:20 P.M. to 12 P.M. or midnight.
Peter Boyce, Sun, midnight to 7:40 A.M. Tues.
A. J. Stewart, Tues. July 13, 7:40 A.M. to 10:20 P.M. Tues.
J. Mc Clellan, Tues. July 13, 10:20 P.M. to 11:40 A.M. Wed.
Mrs. Jensen, Wed. July 14, 11:40 A.M. to 4:20 P.M. Wed.
J. H. Gordon, Jr. Wed. July 14, 4:20 P.M. to 11 A.M. Thur.
J. H. Gordon, Thur. July 15, 11 A.M. to 3:40 P.M. Thur.
Joseph Smith, Thur. July 15, 3:40 P.M. to 12:20 A.M. Fri.
Henry Smith, Fri. July 16, 12:20 A.M. to 9 A.M. Fri.
The water comes every 11 days.
Ditch No 2 and 3 runs steady.

✓

123

Provo, Utah, July 23, 1916.

J. W. Hoover

Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir;

In the use of your title to 14 minute feet of water at the head of Provo Canyon, it is necessary that you shall use this water on a schedule.

Unless further advised of change made on your application, you will be allowed to use 2.3 second feet of water beginning at 12 noon August 1, and continuing for twenty four hours until 12 noon August 2, and beginning and ending at the same time each succeeding ten days thereafter for the irrigation season.

If a more satisfactory arrangement can be made & to suit your convenience I will be pleased to confer with you and make the desired change.

Yours Truly,

Commissioner Provo River.

Provo, Utah, July 13, 1915.

Provo Pressed Brick Company
Jacob Baum
Wm. Greenhalgh
S.S. Cluff Jr.
James Amicono.

Provo, Utah.

Users Of Water Of Provo River through the Alfred Young Ditch, Dixon Farm Ditch, and the Jacob Baum Ditch:

You are advised that the flow of Provo River is such that perfect regulations are now necessary. The Commissioner can allow of only one stream at a time for Alfred Young Ditch, the Dixon Farm Ditch, and the Jacob Baum Ditch.

Until later advised you will be permitted to use water through the Dixon Farm Ditch of each week beginning Monday morning at 6 a.m. and continuing for 56 hours until Wednesday at 3 p.m., and in either the Alfred Young Ditch or the Jacob Baum Ditch, you may use water each week from Wednesday at 3 p.m. until the following Monday morning at 6 a.m.


This arrangement may not be perfectly satisfactory, however, we can confer regarding such changes as will better suit your conditions, pending such other arrangements you will please observe the above schedule.

You are further advised that I have placed across the head of the Jacob Baum Ditch, a dirt dam-- do not remove this dam or take water into this ditch until you have set gate and installed a measuring device.

After the changes of water are made the Commissioner will set your size of stream and relock the gate.

I will be pleased to talk this matter over with you and receive any suggestions you may desire to offer.

Yours Resp.


Commissioner Provo River.

Copy to

Provo, Utah, July 15, 1915.


George Glade.

Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir;

I have turned this p.m. 3. second feet of water from Spring Creek to the Glade Ditch, you will please allow this amount of water to pass from your ditch at the opening near the S.L.&U.R'y bridge to the river channel and Fort Field ditch. You will observe I have opened this by-pass and supply the amount of water for the Fort Field Irrigation Company.

Resp.



Commissioner Provo River.

Copy to Fort Field Irrigation Company & H.J.W. Goddard.

Provo, Utah, June 1, 1916.

John H. Clegg, President Wasatch Canal Company.

Heber City, Utah.

Dear Sir;

In response to your verbal request relative to accuracy of measurement of water by weir and meter, I have made measurement of the Wasatch Canal on May 29, 1916, the results of which I submit as follows:


By 1' x 18' suppressed weir, submerged 0.42 feet, with gage height and depth of 1.31 feet,-- discharge 98.03 second feet.

By meter No. 1351 on rating of Nov. 24, 1914 by Bureau of Standards, and stop watch No. 3364, tested and found precise, measured in head of weir flume, with gage height of 1.31 constant-- discharge 98.29 second feet.

By meter No. 1351, and watch No. 3364, in natural channel 25 feet above weir, gage height 1.31 constant,-- discharge 101.89 second feet.

The section selected in natural channel was far from ideal for rating.

Resp. Submitted,



Commissioner.

Provo, Utah July 18, 1915.

J.M. Richie.

Charleston, Utah.

Dear Sir;

In reply to your verbal request regarding the distribution and flow of Provo River in Wasatch County you are advised as follows:

Total flow of river at Wasatch Dam exclusive of storage water is	67.89	sec.ft.
To the Wasatch Irrigation Company	31.50	sec.ft.
To the North Field Irrig. Company	31.50	" "
Held for probable drop	4.89	" "
Total	<u>67.89</u>	" "

The Charleston Upper Canal is drawing 11.48 second feet.

The Charleston Lower Canal is drawing 21.41 second feet.

Respectively Submitted,

Commissioner Provo River.

18

Provo, Utah, April 14, 1915.

John Moon,

Woodland, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Your favor of April 12th received and in reply you are advised I see no reason why you should not be allowed a proper culinary stream, I expect to go over your section some time this month, and will keep this matter in mind, and make the necessary arrangements for use of such water as is necessary.

Yours truly,

Commissioner.

19

Woodland

April 12, 1915

Mr Frank Wentz

Dear Sir By request of
the people that live in this
part of the water division of the Prouv
River do ask for one foot of
water for domestic use the water
is to flow in the ditch as
known as the Philips ditch it
is taken out of a branch of the
Prouv river and will be put back
in a branch of the Prouv river

the ditch is about one mile and a
half long. Please let me know
what can be done about it if they
can get it and what steps they will have
to take yours truly John Moon
Woodland Summit
Co

Provo, Utah, May 29, 1918.

Mr. Clyde,

For your information:

May 22.

Total in Timpanogos flume 115 second feet.

Through Power Plant 50.43

Timpanogos Canal 52.20

Loss in transmission 12.37
total 115.00

Very truly

Pratt,

Park City,

April 20th 1915.

Mr. E. T. Palfreyman.

Dear Sir:-

Please find enclosed \$2.89

For water expenses on the Timothy M. Murphy
Estate on the Provo River.

I am married to Geo. Davis.

But he has nothing what ever to do with
the water ~~on~~ the belonging to the Murphy
Estate. So if you will just change
Geo. Davis Canal to Mrs. Julia Murphy Davis

Band. There will not be any disagreement
I have sent the money for water expenses
every time I have been notified.

I have always sent it Geo. Davis.

But I would rather you sent the rest
of the notices in my own name.

Mrs. Julia Murphy Davis.

Yours Truly

Mrs Geo. Davis.

Midway Sept 4th 1915

C. T. Salfreyman, Dear Sir

We the undersigned have received several notices that we were indebted to the Snow Reservoir Co. No 2888 for furnishing Water, for the Month of June and July. In answer to your notices we will say that we received no service whatever from that Co. Mr Went's the Water Commissioner never came near our Dam or Ditch this Summer and has done nothing for us nor any one else and we do not consider that we are indebted to you or any one else one cent and do not intend to pay any such Bills, we do not understand

why you are sending us such
Bills when you never measured
our Water and have not served
us in any way whatever,

We represent the Mitchel Ditch
last No. is Entry No 37.

Yours respectfully

John U. Buhler

Christain Mitchel.

Felix Martin

J. E. Peterson

Midway, Utah, Sept. 4, 1915.

E. T. Palfreyman,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

We, the undersigned have received several notices that **we** were indebted to the Provo Reservoir Co. No 2888 for measuring water for the month of June and July. In answer to your notices we will say that we received no service whatever from that Company. Mr. Wentz, the Water Commissioner never came near our dam or ditch this summer and has done nothing for us nor any one else and we do not consider that we are indebted to you or any one else one cent and do not intend to pay any such bills. We do not understand why you are sending us such bills when you never measured our water and have not served us in any way whatever.

We represent the Mitchell Ditch. Last notice is entry No. 37.

Yours respectfully,

(Signed) John U. Buhler
Christian Mitchell
Felix Martin
J. E. Peterson.

Charleston, Oct. 23, 1915.

E. J. Palfreyman Esq.
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir

I am enclosing notice for Pioneer Irrigation Co. ^{share of} expense in Cause No 2888 for the month of August. I thought there must be some mistake as it amounts to almost as much as the other 5 months put together. and the notice for the Allen & McAfee ditch for the same month is a very little more than the month previous. Please look this matter up and let me know as we are ready to pay it but figured there must be some mistake.

Yours very truly
J. W. Allen

25

(Copy)

Charleston, Oct. 23, 1915.

Mr. E. T. Palfreyman, Esq.,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing notice for Pioneer Irrigation Co., share of expense in Cause No. 2888 for the month of August. I thought there must be some mistake as it amounts to almost as much as the other five months put together, and the notice for the Allen & McAffee ditch for the same month is a very little more than the month previous. Please look this matter up and let me know as we are ready to pay it but figures there must be some mistake.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) J. W. Allen.

(Copy)

Provo, Utah, Oct. 25, 1915.

Pioneer Irrigation Co.,
Charleston, Utah.

Gentlemen:

Your favor of the 23rd inst. addressed to E. T. Palfreyman has been forwarded to this office for the necessary explanations.

You are advised that in the month of July the Pioneer Irrigation Company was omitted in making up the list of assessments, and the amount of \$11.88 was included in the August assessment.

You were assessed for July 990 acre feet or two acre feet per acre, for August you were assessed 600 acre feet or $1\frac{1}{4}$ acre feet per acre.

The foregoing I believe answers the questions in your letter, I will be pleased to give any additional information you desire.

Yours very truly,

Commissioner.

(Copy)

Provo, Utah, Oct. 25, 1915.

Pioneer Irrigation Co.,
Charleston, Utah.

Gentlemen:

Your favor of the 23rd inst. addressed to E. T. Palfreyman has been forwarded to this office for the necessary explanations.

You are advised that in the month of July the Pioneer Irrigation Company was omitted in making up the list of assessments, and the amount of \$11.88 was included in the August assessment.

You were assessed for July 900 acre feet or two acre feet per acre, for August you were assessed 600 acre feet or $1 \frac{1}{4}$ acre feet per acre.

The foregoing I believe answers the questions in your letter, I will be pleased to give any additional information you desire.

Yours very truly,

Commissioner.

Wm. S. Willes

Attorney at Law

Collections a Specialty

E. T. Palfreyman,

Heber, Utah, Sept. 11th, 1915.

County Cler Utah, County,

Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Jesse Nelson, of Heber, Utah, to whom you sent assessment notice in re Provo Reservoir Co., v. Provo City et al., for assessment apportioned to "Nelson Ditch" July, 6.60 and August, 5.16, came to see me regarding this assessment. He thinks there must be some mistake in the amount charged to this ditch and it would also appear so to me. The acreage under that ditch is $83\frac{1}{2}$ acres, approximately eight cents per acre per month. At the same rate per acre for all lands under the Provo river system involved in this suit would mean thousands of dollars which cannot be the case.

You sent him a claim for Sagebrush Irrigation Co., of \$10.50 for June and \$10.40 for July and under the last named ditch there is 500 odd acres, yet for the Nelson Ditch it is more than one half that amount for $83\frac{1}{2}$ acres. In other words the assessment for the Sagebrush Ditch the assessment is approximately two cents per acre per month while according to you bill for the Nelson Ditch its is approximately eight cents as aforesaid.

Last year the assessment for the Nelson Ditch was approximately 1.10 per month and based on an average of two cents per month as shown by Sagebrush Ditch this assessment to the Nelson Ditch ought not to amount to more than about \$1.70 per month instead of \$6.60 and \$5.16 as above stated, therefore there must be some error in the amount due from the said Nelson Ditch.

Will you kindly advise me whether or not there is an error and if not an error why the extreme charges in the one case as against the charges in the other, as above indicated for I am at a loss to understand it.

Kindly send to me corrected bill and the money will be sent by return mail. Thanking you for an early reply I am,

Yours truly,

Wm. S. Willes

(Copy)

Heber, Utah, Sept. 11, 1915.

E. T. Palfreyman,
County Clerk, Utah County,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Jesse Nelson, of Heber, Utah, to whom you sent assessment notice in Provo Reservoir Co., vs. Provo City et al., for assessment apportioned to "Nelson Ditch" July 6.60 and August 5.16, came to see regarding this assessment. He thinks there must be some mistake in the amount charged to this ditch and it would also appear so to me. The acreage under that ditch is $83\frac{1}{2}$ acres, approximately eight cents per acre per month. At the same rate per acre for all lands under the Provo River system involved in this suit would mean thousands of dollars which cannot be the case.

You sent him a claim for Sagebrush Irrigation Co., of \$10.50 for June and \$10.40 for July and under the last named ditch there is 500 odd acres yet for the Nelson Ditch it is more than one half that amount for $83\frac{1}{2}$ acres. In other words the assessment for the Sagebrush Ditch the assessment is approximately two cents per acre per month while according to your bill for the Nelson Ditch it is approximately eight cents as aforesaid.

Last year the assessment for the Nelson Ditch was approximately 1.10 per month and based on an average of two cents per month as shown by Sagebrush Ditch this assessment for the Nelson Ditch ought not to amount to more than about \$1.70 per month instead of \$6.60 and \$5.16 as above stated, therefore there must be some error in the amount due from the said Nelson Ditch.

Will you kindly advise me whether or not there is an error and if not an error why the extreme charges in the one case as against the charges in the other, as above indicated, for I am at a loss to understand it.

Kindly send to me corrected bill and the money will be sent by return mail. Thanking you for an early reply, I am.

Yours truly,

(Signed) Wm. S. Willes.

(Copy)

Provo, Utah, Oct. 18, 1915.

Wm. L. Willes, Attorney,
Heber, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Your favor of Sept. 11, addressed to E. T. Palfreyman clerk of the Fourth District Court, relative to the amount of assessment against Jessie Nelson Ditch, has been referred to this office for answer. Evidently an erroneous listing of areas has caused this error, a corrected bill covering the season will be mailed to Mr. Nelson. We thank you for calling our attention to this matter.

Yours truly,

Commissioner.

Woodland Aug 20 1915.

Mr E. J. Palfreyman I bear
is this Payment this time
and I would like you
to send my by it
self and Gines ^{to} hear
and Oblige Mr Millon
Turnbow

The One quarter
is mine

80
20

31

(Copy)

Woodland, Aug. 20, 1915.

Mr. E. T. Palfreyman,

Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Here is this payment this time and I would like you
to send mine by itseld and S. E. Gines' to him.

And oblige,

(Signed) Mr. Milton Turnbow.

P.S. The one quarter is mine.

(Copy)

Provo, Utah, May 21, 1915.

Mr. Fowler, Utah Light & Power Co.,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

In reply to your verbal enquiry for measurements of discharge of the Sego Irrigation Company pipe line, I submit the following observations made by me:

Year 1913.

July 27, discharge 3.00 second feet.
August 5, " 3.10 " "

Year 1914.

June 4, discharge	5.39	Second feet.	
June 29, "	5.39	"	"
July 8, "	3.10	"	"
July 18, "	3.00	"	"
Aug. 17, "	2.78	"	"
Aug. 25, "	2.78	"	"
Sept. 7, "	2.78	"	"
Sept. 12, "	2.78	"	"

Year 1915.

May 12, discharge 2.39 second feet.
May 14, " 2.39 " "

Respectfully,

Commissioner Provo River.

JOSEPH R. MURDOCK, PRESIDENT
HEBER, UTAH

JOSEPH B. KEELER, VICE-PRESIDENT
PROVO, UTAH

R. J. MURDOCK, SEC. TREAS.
PROVO, UTAH

DIRECTORS

JOSEPH R. MURDOCK
J. WILLIAM KNIGHT
JOSEPH B. KEELER
A. J. EVANS
FRANCIS W. KIRKHAM
STEPHEN L. CHIPMAN
J. C. JENSEN
GEO. H. BRIMHALL
O. C. BEERE

Provo Reservoir Company

Joseph R. Murdock, Manager

Provo, Utah

November 4th, 1915.

Mr. T. F. Wentz,
Water Commissioner-Provo River,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

In your report for the year 1915, under Division "Distribution 1915" Page 8, paragraph 14 you state: "an amount of 19.3 second feet was designated as the title of the Factory Race (19.3 second feet continuous flow being equal to 45 second feet, and for twelve hours of the six working days of the week.)"

For Distribution purposes you apportioned 24 second feet to Provo City as a municipal right, this flow being constant, and a further variable volume to serve 2454 acres of irrigated farm lands which is called Provo City Acreage. About two thirds of the Constant Volume of the municipal right from the information of the report is to irrigate the blocks and lots of Provo City.

The Factory Race, in the use of its water or portions thereof, has a four fold use: First, the Driving of the Mill; Second, the Supply of part of what you have assigned or named as Provo's Municipal Right; Third, the Supply of part of the Provo City Acreage; Fourth, the First Ward Pasture


33

Company's use, one of the Defendants, which in its answer of record claims the whole volume of flow of the Factory Race for the irrigation of its pasture lands lying South of Provo City.

Under these circumstances of use and claim to the use of the Factory Race water, we suggest that in our view it would be highly desirable to the Court and to the litigants in this case that the Commissioner's information should be extended at least sufficiently to add to the facts of the volume of flow of the Factory Race at its head, the volume of flow available to the Mills for Power, and the volume of flow available to the municipal and irrigation users, during the periods of nights and Sundays, when as your report indicates the power supply (or the 19.3 second feet of flow specified in your Report as the Factory Race Title) is completely shut off.

Very respectfully,

PROVO RESERVOIR COMPANY



Secretary.

34

(Duplicate)

Provo, Utah, Nov., 10, 1915.

Provo Reservoir Company.


Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen;

In reply to your favor of the 4th inst. you are advised that the information suggested will be supplied in a supplement to the 1915 report.

As I view this matter the information is desirable to all the interested parties, and therefore will be a valuable contribution.

Resp.



Commissioner.

3 ✓

Duplicate.

Provo, Utah. Nov. 10, 1915.

F. W. Demming.


Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir;

I have received from you to-day, by Mr. Stoner, type-written copies of some of the daily sheets of Provo River for the season of 1914. You will recall, that, last April I suggested that the original data which, without doubt in my mind, is the property of the Provo River Water Users, should be turned to the County Clerk or the acting commissioner for the use and service of the parties for which it was collected.

At this date (Nov. 10) it is of no use in the past seasons distribution, and the attitude taken in this matter does not warrant me in accepting this material at this time. I will deliver ^{to you} at your convenience the ~~xxx~~ sheets received by me to-day.

Resp.



Commissioner.