

C.R.1919.

Provo, Utah, Dec. 5, 1919.

J.F. Bowman,  
Salt Lake City, Utah.

F.A. Crane,  
Harriman, Utah.

Gentlemen:-

Complying with your request for data regarding Provo River and the quantities of water of Provo Reservoir Company during the season of 1919, I hand you herewith the following:

Daily sheet for June 11, 14, 24, and 30th., and July 9th.

Discharge Provo Reservoir Canal.

Quantity of Provo Reservoir Company water taken through the Provo Bench Canal.

Hydrograph "Average Natural Provo River 1911 - 1918" upon which is superimposed in red ink the Natural Provo River for 1919.

As I understand the purpose of your enquiry regarding this matter, is to ascertain the water rights of the Provo Reservoir Company and the cause of shortage of water during the season of 1919. It seems to me I should call your attention to some modifying conditions, conditions that will materially affect the supply of water to the lands under the Provo Reservoir Canal in Salt Lake County.

As you observe from enclosed hydrograph the 1919 Provo River was far below the average. The river receded from its highwater flow June 5th.-- a month in advance of the usual condition, and during the stage it delivered but 50-60 per cent of its average. The Provo River was not an exception in this region, but was far ahead in relative discharge volume of many streams. The precipitation of last winter was unusually low, the springs storms were light, and the intense hot weather which of which had its adverse effect, and such a combination extremely unfavorable condition.

The drop from high to low water came sooner, and was more abrupt, than anticipated. and it was several days before we were able to relieve the situation with storage water.

The canal of the Provo Reservoir Company in the stretch near Point of Mountain was found to be leaking about one-half of its flow. This was attributed to two causes, viz; the large discharge of highwater carried away or disturbed the puddling, and the channel bed was used as a roadway by the gravel teams which broke the lining.

Some of the Provo Reservoir Company water is available below the Olmstead Plant of the Utah Power & Light Company, and pumps to lift this water to the Provo Reservoir Canal were not in operation, this condition was relieved on June 24th. by the Provo Reservoir Company obtaining the right to have this water turned from the flume to their canal.

marked advantages over the past season, you are advised of

canal section near the Point of Mountain has been lined concrete.

are installed below Olmstead Plant to raise 12 second feet Reservoir Canal, and a pump is installed to divert 10 to Timpanogus canal, making an additional quantity to Provo Reservoir canal of 22 second feet.

the reservoirs have been enlarged.

to pass over the Olmstead Dam has been decided in favor of the Provo Reservoir Company.

ANSWER

*Francis H. Hunt*

JOSEPH R. MURDOCK, PRESIDENT AND MANAGER

J. WM. KNIGHT, VICE PRESIDENT

R. J. MURDOCK, SECRETARY AND TREASURER

DIRECTORS

JOSEPH R. MURDOCK  
J. WM. KNIGHT  
FRANCIS W. KIRKHAM  
STEPHEN L. CHIPMAN  
GEORGE H. BRINMALL  
JOSEPH B. REKLER  
A. J. EVANS  
O. C. BEEBE  
DAVID A. SMITH

# Provo Reservoir Company

Provo, Utah

June 24, 1919.


Mr. T. F. Wentz,  
Water Commissioner,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Mr. Wentz:

Kindly be advised that under date of April 10, 1919, we rented from the Utah Power & Light Company, its portion of the water flowing from the Ontario Drain Tunnel No. 2. This lease is for one year from date; said water to be diverted to our company at the tail-race of the Olmsted Plant in Utah County.

Yours very truly,

PROVO RESERVOIR COMPANY



Secretary.

RJM:VA

2/3

Provo, Utah, April 28, 1919.

Mr. T. F. Wentz,  
Court Commissioner, Cause No. 2888,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

This will inform you that the Provo Reservoir Company has assigned to Samuel Rieske and John C. Whiting, each one-fifth of a cubic foot per second of the water right heretofore owned by it, out of the waters received from the William Wright estate.

In the future, you are authorized to distribute to each of said persons respectively, the said amount of water or its equivalent. The water of the Provo Reservoir Company from this source, will therefore be diminished to the amount of two-fifths of a second foot or its equivalent.

Yours very truly,

*Provo Reservoir Company*  
*J. Joseph R. Murdoch*  
*President*

2/2

T. F. WENTZ  
CIVIL AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERING  
OFFICE  
COMMERCIAL BANK BUILDING  
PROVO, UTAH

PHONES: 148W-1  
318

Provo, Utah. Oct. 27, 1919.

Caleb Tanner,  
Engineer Provo Reservoir Company,  
Provo, Utah.

H.L. Stoner,  
Engineer Utah Power & Light Co.  
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Gentlemen:-

I am forwarding to you to-day Loose-leaf Binder for the Commissioners 1919 Report in Case 2888, I also enclose therein copies of data arranged to-date.

You are advised I will mail the data as completed from day to day, kindly insert in binder, and you may page when the report is complete.

Resp.

T.F.Wentz,

Commissioner.

RE: CASE 2888.

Provo, Utah, Jan. 8, 1919.

E.A. Wedgwood,  
Chairman Commission  
Drafting Decree.

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Sir:-

Under separate cover I am forwarding the following:-

Reply	Provo Res. Co.	to	Utah Power & Light Co.	
Stipulation	Provo Res. Co.	with	Pioneer Irrig. Co et al.	
"	"	"	"	Wilford Van Wagenen.
"	"	"	"	Charleston Irrig. Co.
"	"	"	"	Midway Irrig. Co. et al.
"	"	"	"	J.R. Murdock.
"	"	"	"	Wilford D. Wright and J.W. Allen.
"	"	"	"	Provo City.
"	"	"	"	Provo City.

Findings of Fact Chidester Decree.

Chidester Decree.

Morse Decree.

Decree In Fulton Case ( Certified Copy)

Paragraph 17, of Findings of Fact Fulton Case (Certified Copy)

The foregoing is from the files at the Clerk's. Office.

I also forward with above my copy of the "Fulton Decree".

Resp.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner.

Copy to Clerk of the Court.

2/0

Provo, Utah, Jan.9,1920.

J.F.Bowman

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Sir:-

In reply to your verbal enquiry relative to the water rights of the Provo Reservoir Company, you are advised as follows:-

In the decision of Judge Morse the Provo Reservoir Company has been awarded Class "A" right on Provo River to fifty second feet of water under the Blue Cliff Claim, the waters of Round Valley Creek and Springs to a quantity of approximately five second feet.

It has for a number of years leased the use of the Ontario Drain Tunnel water which quantity runs from fifteen to eighteen second feet, one half of which is owned by the Utah Power & Light Company and is available to the Provo Reservoir Company below the Olmstead Plant.

The Provo Reservoir Company has a number of filings for storing water, and the impounded water during the season of 1919 belong to the Provo Reservoir Company was 2444 acre feet. Some additional work was done on the reservoirs last autumn, which I think will raise the Provo Reservoir Company storage to approximately 2900 acre feet.

I have shown superimposed upon the Average Natural Provo River the 1919 River, and also the Primary Rights and filings to-date, you will observe the high water filing of the Provo Reservoir Company amount to 300 second feet. At present the Provo Reservoir Canal will carry at the mouth of Provo Canyon 175 second feet, and they divert through the Provo Bench Canal quantities as shown on discharge sheet heretofore furnished you.

The Provo Reservoir Canal heads one-mile up from the Mouth of the canyon, and where it gains the top of the bench is fifty feet higher than the tailrace of the Olmstead Plant. A short distance below the plant the Provo Reservoir Company have instaled two 10 second foot pumps to raise water to their canal, and have also instaled a 10 second foot pump to divert water to the Timpanegus Canal, this latter pump was in operation after July 23,1919.

You will observe by the stipulation between the Utah Power & Light Company and the Provo Reservoir Company that the fifty second feet of Class "A" right is subject to the Power Use at the Olmstead Plant, except so much thereof as is available at the heading of the Provo Reservoir Canal.

Under separate cover I am sending hydrograph showing Average River, 1919 River, and the awards and filings on Provo River. I think the foregoing with the plat answers your enquiry.

Very Resp.

---

C.R.1919. Case 2888.

Provo, Utah, Oct.29,1919.

Earnest Knight,  
Commissioner Wasatch Division.

Kamas, Utah.

Dear Mr. Knight:

I hand you herewith Tabulation of total second feet in the several classes of water rights under the tentative decision, and recommended arrangement.

Will you kindly look this over and let me known if it meets your approval, and in the interest of simplicity if you think such a change is really worth while.

Yours Very Truly,

---

Commissioner.

208

T. F. WENTZ  
CIVIL AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERING  
OFFICE  
COMMERCIAL BANK BUILDING  
PROVO, UTAH

PHONES: 148W-1  
318

C.R.1919. Case 2888.

Provo, Utah, Oct. 29, 1919.

E.A.Wedgwood,  
W.W.Ray,  
F.S.Richards,  
Commissioners Drafting Decree, Case 2888.  
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Gentlemen:

I hand you herewith Tabulation Showing the total second feet in the several classes of water rights under the tentative decision for the Wasatch Division of Provo River, and on the right I have arranged a combination of some of the classes.


You will recall that the several classes as shown under the Tentative Decision represents time of appropriation, and in this respect has preserved the order of rights. On the other hand the Reccommended Arrangement puts the awards in a more practical form for administration.

The writer feels that some such modification should be made in the Tentative Decision, and that some such arrangement should be adopted and reccommended by the Commission Drafting the Decree, however, I would not advise any such change unless all the parties should agree to the same, that is, no move should be made that will in any way tend to poolong the case or create any dissatisfaction among the parties.

In the actual distribution the Reccommended Arrangement will not seriously affect the parties, therefore for compactness and a more practical method of administration, I suggest to your Commission that Counsel be advised of this proposal, and if unanimously approved, you may then present the matter to the Court for approval.

Copy to:  
Hon. C.W.Morse,  
E.A.Wedgwood.  
W.W.Ray,  
F.S.Richards.  
Provo Reservoir Co.  
Utah Power & Light Co.

Resp. Submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner.

207

C.R. 1919. Case 2888.

TABULATION SHOWING THE TOTAL SECOND FEET IN THE SEVERAL CLASSES OF WATER RIGHTS UNDER THE TENTATIVE DECISION FOR THE WASATCH DIVISION OF PROVO RIVER. AND RECOMMENDED ARRANGEMENT.

Tentative Decision		Recommended Arrangement.	
Class	Second Feet.	Class	Second Feet.
First	245.19	First	245.19
Second	11.41)	Second	13.12
Third	1.48)		
Fourth	0.23)		
Fifth	24.67)	Third	27.58
Sixth	1.10)		
Seventh	0.26)		
Eighth	0.09)		
Ninth	0.64)		
Tenth	0.82)		
Eleventh	12.74	Fourth	12.74
Twelveth	8.33	Fifth	8.33
Thirteenth	12.17	Sixth	12.17
Fourteenth	64.00	Seventh	64.00
Fifteenth	0.00)	Eighth	21.02
Sixteenth	21.02)		
Seventeenth	179.64	Ninth	179.64
Totals:			
17	583.79	9	583.79

*Handwritten notes:*  
 282.98 (bracketed next to Fifth-Tenth)  
 2.91 (bracketed next to Seventh-Eighth)  
 285.89 (bracketed next to Tenth)

Submitted by letter to Commission Drafting Decree, Oct.29,1919.

506

Provo, Utah, June 13, 1919.

Provo Reservoir Company.

Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen:-

Confirming my telephone of this a.m. in reply to your favor of the 12th inst. you are advised;

This matter is properly determinable by the Court, and you may make application to Judge Morse for an order of direction to the Commissioner.

Resp.

---

Commissioner.

205

DIRECTORS:

JOSEPH R. MURDOCK  
J. WILLIAM KNIGHT  
FRANCIS W. KIRKHAM  
STEPHEN L. CHIPMAN  
GEORGE H. BRIMHALL  
JOSEPH B. KEELER  
A. J. EVANS  
O. C. BEEBE  
DAVID A. SMITH

# Provo Reservoir Company

Provo, Utah

(Original)

June 12, 1919.

T. F. Wentz, Esq.,  
Water Commissioner for  
Provo River,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:


The Provo Reservoir Company is the owner of the following water rights in Provo River, to-wit: From Ontario Drain Tunnel, 6 second feet, from the Wright estate, balance, 4.6 second feet, from the water decreed to John D. Dixon, 2.5 second feet. Also whatever amount may be flowing into Provo River from Shingle Creek, less such water from Shingle Creek as is decreed to the Sego Irrigation Company, and the Timpanogos Irrigation Company of Wasatch County, by the tentative decree of his honor, C. W. Morse, in civil action No. 2888.

These amounts of water should be turned over the dam of the Utah Power & Light Company so that they will be available at the intake of the Provo Reservoir Company's canal at what is known as the Heiselt dam.

Please see that this is done.

Very respectfully,

PROVO RESERVOIR COMPANY

  
President.

JRM:VA

204

Provo, Utah, April 21, 1919.

Earnest Knight  
Commissioner Wasatch Division.

Woodland, Utah.

Dear Mr. Knight:

Under separate cover I am sending you loose leafs for each diversion in your division of Provo River.

Prospects denote a very low year, and which will require a great deal of time in the distribution, I would like if you can arrange to have you take the diversions down to the road West off Heber, however, I will talk this matter over with you sometime in the near future.

Resp,

---

Commissioner.

Provo, Utah, Jan. 12, 1920.

Earnest Knight.  
Com. Wasatch Div.

Woodland, Utah.

Dear Sir:-

I am enclosing herewith copy of correspondence with General Wedgwood. If you have in mind any additional information or suggestions that you desire to have considered by the Commission Drafting the Decree, regarding this matter, I wish you would kindly forward them at once.

Yours Very Truly,

---

Commissioner.

202

C.R.1919. Case 2888.

Copy of Letter of Earnest Knight, Enclosed with Letter to E.A. Wedgwood  
of Jan. 12, 1920.

\*\*\*\*\*

12 / 8 / 19.

Woodland, Utah.

Mr. T.F. Wente

Dear Mr. Wente

In regard to the change in classification  
for the Wasatch division I think your  
suggestion very good, and for next year  
there ~~will need~~ to be much work done on  
weirs for individual ditches on this  
upper division, otherwise no changes to  
suggest.

Yours Truly

Earnest Knight.

12/8/19

Woodland Utah  
Mr. T.F. Wente

Dear Mr. Wente

In regards to the  
change in classification  
for the Wasatch division  
I think your suggestion very  
good, and ~~for~~ next year there  
will need to be much work  
done on weirs for individual  
ditches on this upper division  
other wise no changes to suggest

Yours Truly  
Earnest Knight

201

Provo, Utah, Jan. 12, 1920.

E.A. Wedgwood,  
Chairman Commission  
Drafting Decree.

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Sir:-

In reply to your letter of Dec. 27, 1919, regarding my recommendation of proposed grouping of some of the classes of water rights in the Wasatch Division of Provo River, you are advised:

The Provo River at and above the Wasatch Dam, and to which the classes of water rights under consideration refer, almost without an exception drops from high to low water very abruptly, and the drop from the quantity to supply the First to Tenth Class rights to the quantity to supply but the First Class rights is but a matter of a few days, not to exceed one week. And the several diversions referred to extend over a distance of twenty miles, and they are taking from a stream that is daily changing.

Herein, following, the Tentative Decision Classes are referred to as "A", and the proposed Classes are referred to as "B".

The First, and the Eleventh to Seventeenth inclusive Classes of "A" are not affected by the proposed grouping.

The Second Class in "B" is made up of the Second, Third, and Fourth of "A". The total of First and Second in "A" is 256.60, and of "B" 256.31, that is there is added 1.71, which is about one-half of one per cent, which under the conditions existing is a negligible quantity, or proportion.

Theoretically under "A" and assuming there was precisely 256.60 and this quantity should remain the same long enough to make a distribution, then the Second Class under "A" would receive 11.41 and under this same assumption under "B" they would receive there pro rate or 9.92, this difference is also about one-half of one per cent of the whole, and in actual operation is negligible, that is to say in distribution the Second Class in both "A" and "B" would be maintained to their full quantity until a substantial reduction in the quantity of the river occurred. These rights are high up the stream and have a particular advantage.

The total of the First to Fifth Classes inclusive of "A" is 282.98, and the total of the Sixth to Tenth Classes inclusive is 2.91 or one per cent of the whole, very much the same might be said of this grouping as in the foregoing paragraph.

It is well to observe that in the first instance the South Kansas Irrigation Company is the one THEORETICALLY affected; in the second instance the Washington Irrigation Company, and any objection to the proposed grouping should come from these companies, they are of themselves able to judge as to the adoption of the proposed grouping of classes. I have taken the precaution to write Mr. Earnest Knight, a member of the committee representing these interests, and by the way, Mr. Knight has been Assistant Commissioner on the upper Provo River for a number of years, regarding this matter, you will observe by his letter a copy of which I enclose herewith, that he is in accord with the proposed change.

As I view this matter upon mature consideration and continuous experience in operation and the incident compiling of records, I think, in the interest of simplicity "of the decree and the consideration thereof", the proposed groupings should be adopted. I make this statement on first hand information in connection with Mr. Knight,-- the advantages gained are worth while, and no party in actual distribution will be materially affected.

Very Resp. Submitted,

*E. A. Wedgwood*

**Wedgwood, Irvine & Thurman**  
Attorneys and Counsellors at Law  
SUITE 1401 WALKER BANK BUILDING  
Salt Lake City, Utah

December 27, 1919.

Mr. Frank Wentz,  
Provo,  
Utah.

Dear Sir:

Some time ago you sent up a suggestion in regard to grouping the Wasatch sixteen different class rights under much fewer heads. If this were done would it make any difference as to quantity received by anybody in the actual distribution of water and if it would what would be that difference?

Kindly give me this information at such length as you deem best so that your letter will be an explanation that I may use in discussing the matter with the other commissioners.

It is evident that if the number of classes can be reduced that after the distribution it simplifies the face of the decree and the consideration thereof.

Yours very truly,

EAW-P

199

Provo, Utah, Dec. 9, 1919.

H.L. Stoner,  
Engineer Utah Power & Light Company.

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Sir:-

In reply to your telephone of this date regarding the order of the Court of June 16, 1919, of which a copy is hereto attached and marked exhibit "C", I advise you as follows:-

Under date of June 13th, 1919, the Provo Reservoir Company, made request, (see copy attached hereto and marked exhibit "A") that the waters owned by them and flowing to Provo River in and above Provo Canyon be turned over the diversion dam of the Utah Power & Light Company.


Under date of June 13, 1919, the commissioner advised them (see copy attached hereto marked exhibit "B") to apply to the Court for an order of direction to the commissioner.

On June 16, 1919, after hearing the testimony and argument of counsel <sup>the court</sup> made the order as referred to as exhibit "C". And at this hearing the right to the water passing the Utah Power & Light Company dam was raised, and the Court gave the parties an opportunity to go into this phase of the case, which was done on Oct. 8-9, 1919, and Dec. 6, 1919. On the latter date upon motion of counsel the case was closed except the submission of briefs. I have procured, to-day, copies of the briefs of both parties, and I find no mention or request for a modification of the order of June 16, 1919.

The last paragraph of the said order makes it continuous until the further order of the Court, and I think this was done to enable the Court to dispose of all these matters at one time. And in writing the decree some such provision will probably be made. Your idea "that the order was merely an administrative and temporary provision, and this question can be raised from year to year", - I think is wrong. As I view it, the order of June 16, 1919, is subject to the order of the Court to the time when the respective rights of the Provo Reservoir Company and the Utah Power & Light Company are determined.

The parties have not contended for a change of the order, nor raised an objection to its merits, in either of the sessions of court, or by briefs, therefore it appears that the order will be final, and in substance included in the decree.

Resp.

  
Commissioner.

"Copy"  
Exhibit "A".

Provo, Utah, June 12, 1919.

T.F. Wentz, Esq.,  
Water Commissioner for  
Provo River,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

The Provo Reservoir Company is the owner of the following water rights in Provo River, to-wit: From Ontario Drain Tunnel, 6 second feet, from Wright Estate, balance 4.6 second feet, from the water decreed to John D. Dixon, 2.5 second feet. Also whatever amount may be flowing into Provo River from Shingle Creek, less such water from Shingle Creek as is decreed to the Sego Irrigation Company, and the Timpanogus Irrigation Company of Wasatch County, by the tentative decree of his honor, C.W. Morse, in civil action No. 2888.

These amounts of water should be turned over the dam of the Utah Power & Light Company so that they will be available at the intake of the Provo Reservoir Company's canal at what is known as the Heiselt dam.

Please see that this is done.

Very Respectfully,

PROVO RESERVOIR COMPANY.

Joseph R. Murdock

President.

\*\*\*\*\*

Copy  
"Exhibit "B"

Provo, Utah, June 15, 1919.

Provo Reservoir Company.

Provo, Utah.

Gentlemen:-

Confirming my telephone of this a.m. in reply to your favor of the 12th inst. you are advised;

This matter is properly determinable by the Court, and you may make application to Judge Morse for an order of direction to the Commissioner.

Resp.

T.F. Wentz.

Commissioner.

Provo, Utah, Jan. 16, 1920.

Wasatch Irrigation Company.

Heber City, Utah.

Gentlemen:-

Enclosed herewith I hand you copy of " SUMMARY OF STORAGE WATER 1919". I will be please to furnish you copies of such additional information as you may request.

Yours Very Truly,

---

Commissioner.

Provo, Utah, Jan. 16, 1920

Timpanogus Irrigation Co.

Heber City, Utah.

Gentlemen:-

Enclosed herewith I hand you copy of " SUMMARY OF STORAGE WATER 1919". I will be pleased to furnish you with such additional information as you may request.

Yours Very Truly,

---

Commissioner.

196

Provo, Utah, Jan. 17, 1920.

E.A. Wedgwood,  
Chairman Commission  
Drafting Decree.

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Sir:

In reply to your enquiry relative to the sources of supply and more definite description of the water rights enumerated in paragraph 47 of the Tentative Decision, I submit the following:-

47. That pursuant to the terms of a stipulation presented and filed and the evidence introduced, the following named parties are entitled to, and are herein awarded water and the right to the use thereof, for the number of acres of land and the particular land herein designated, to the quantity of one second foot per sixty acres of land, and said water to be diverted through and from the ditches or channels as indicated:

Frederick J. Remund for 8 acres of land in the South-west 1/4, sec. 24, Tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Phil Smith Ditch.

Philip L. Ford for 45 acres of land in the Southeast 1/4 and Southwest 1/4 sec. 24, Tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Phil Smith Ditch.

Frederick J. Remund for 18 acres of land in the southwest 1/4 sec. 24, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Phil Smith Slough.

Joseph Abegglen for 5 acres of land in the northwest 1/4 sec. 25, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Phil Smith Slough.

Anna Elizabeth Abegglen as successor to Ulrich Abegglen, for 4 acres of land in the northwest 1/4 sec. 25, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Phil Smith Slough.

Joseph Abegglen for 10 acres of land in the northwest 1/4 of sec. 25, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Abegglen Ditch.

J.E. Petersen for 8 acres of land in the southwest 1/4 sec. 25, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Mitchel Ditch.

Chris Mitchel for 40 acres of land in the northwest 1/4 section 25, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Mitchel Ditch.

Felix Martin for 30 acres of land in the Southwest 1/4 section 25, and southeast 1/4 section 26, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Mitchel Ditch.

John U. Bulher for 15 acres of land in the southwest 1/4 section 25, tp. 3 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Mitchel Ditch.

Jesse R. Nelson for 23 acres of land in northwest 1/4 sec. 1, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River ~~frankan~~ through and from the Nelson Ditch.

Jesse Nelson Jr. for 20 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 2, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Nelson Ditch.

George Nelson for 20 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 2, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Nelson Ditch.

Alfred L. Alder, W.W. Alder, and James T. Alder, jointly and undivided, for 20.5 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 2, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the Nelson Ditch.

Alonzo A. Hicken for 10.98 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 2, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from the River Slough.

Ermina C. Cummins, Lavina E. Murdock, William T. Averett, Addison Averett, Joseph D. Averett, John H. Averett, Edna Murdock, Leona Bonner, and Eustachia Averett, heirs at law of William Averett, deceased, successors to the interest of said William Averett, deceased, jointly and undivided, for 10.2 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 2 and northeast 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. ~~Of~~ drainage, seepage, and spring water, as now and has existed, that arises on lands adjacent to and above said described tract of land.

William H. Winterton for 17.75 acres of land in the northeast 1/4 and northwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Provo River through and from Meaks Bottom Slough.

Hyrum S. Winterton for 12.5 acres of land in the northwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through ~~the~~ and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

Joseph E. Hanks, administrator of the estate of Mrs. E.L. Hanks, deceased, for 5.85 acres of land in the southwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

William Winterton for 3.75 acres of land in the southwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

John B. Fowers for 5.00 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 10, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

George H. Edwards for 27 acres of land in the south half section 10 and the northeast 1/4 section 15, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

Joseph S. Wright for 15 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 10 and southwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

E.L. Brown for 10.00 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 10, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

Ellen C. Wright for 28.00 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 10 and southwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

Dermont Huffacker, administrator of the estate of D.S. Huffacker, deceased, for 15.00 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 10, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

W.D. Wright for 22.50 acres of land in the southeast 1/4 section 10, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.

Hyrum Winterton for 9.00 acres of land in the southwest 1/4 section 11, tp. 4 S., R. 4 E., S.L.M. From Spring Creek, a tributary of Provo River, through and from the Charleston-Midway Spring Creek Ditch.