



Jared Manning <jaredmanning@utah.gov>

---

## Rocky Ford Water

---

Jared Manning <jaredmanning@utah.gov>  
To: Larry Maycock <larcar50@xmission.com>

Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 3:31 PM

There would need to be about 7.5 cfs to deliver 201 af in 23 days since Rocky Ford is already entitled to about 3 cfs out of 7.5. If the river was at 10 cfs it would take about 18 days to deliver 201 cfs. If the river was at 15 cfs it would take about 13 days. . . just to give you an idea.

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 3:12 PM, Larry Maycock <larcar50@xmission.com> wrote:

Jared ,

Thanks we will look over this over. One quick question. To deliver 201 af in 23 days must mean there's approx. 4.5 cfs is that right ?

Thanks,

Larry

**From:** Jared Manning  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2016 6:16 PM  
**To:** Larry Maycock  
**Cc:** Nathan Moses ; Mike SILVA  
**Subject:** Re: Rocky Ford Water

Larry,

I have put together an online model that shows the accounting for the river this year. The model begins accounting on April 1 and will go to October 31. I'm assuming the river was in high water this year between May 10 and June 9 based on the flow data. There cannot be any credits to either side during high water. Based on this accounting Rocky Ford is owed about 201 acre-feet and that amount continues to grow each day. If the river stays where it's been over the last 5 days it would take about 23 days of sending the whole river to get the current amount of water owed down to you. Below is a link to the model. Please take a look at it and let me know if you have any questions or concerns. My direct number here is 801-538-7380. Thanks.

<http://www.waterrights.utah.gov/wcat/Default.asp?id=59#/last>

Jared

On Wed, Sep 28, 2016 at 7:53 PM, Larry Maycock <larcar50@xmission.com> wrote:

Jared ,

Thanks for getting back to us. I'll discuss this with the Board . I'm hopeful we can come up with a fair solution. I'll get back to you. It may take a little while for us to get together. In the meantime could you have Gale send the stream to us for 2 reasons :

- 1- We would like to see what the total flow is ? We can measure it at the Lake telemetry.
- 2- according to the telemetry we need about 400 ac.ft. by Nov. 1 to be about even. If you would like to look at the totals and see what you come up with that would be great.

Thanks ,

Larry

**From:** Jared Manning  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2016 5:56 PM

**To:** Larry Maycock  
**Cc:** Stephen E.W. Hale  
**Subject:** Re: Rocky Ford Water

Larry,

Would you consider an arrangement next year where Rocky Ford received all the water during the first 15 or 20 days of April and then we balanced everything later in the season? Without you getting that early water it makes it a tough proposition to guess what needs to be done late in the year to make it all fair. This year there was 230 acre-feet diverted by the other users between April 1 and April 15. There was another 133 acre-feet diverted from April 16 to April 20. If most or all of that 363 acre-feet had instead been sent to Rocky Ford you would be very close right now to having your full entitlement and managing the water for the rest of the year would be pretty easy.

Right now we are in a position where we need to make sure you get your water but we have no idea what the river is going to do. In 2013 the flow of the river was around 12 - 18 cfs at the end of September and beginning of October. By the middle and end of October when we sent your water the river was up to 25 - 30 cfs. The other users felt they missed out on some of the water they were entitled to. If the river had gone down instead of up Rocky Ford would have felt they missed out on water they should have gotten.

With the technology we have now to do accurate accounting on a real-time basis I think we can come up with a fair way to divide the water. I hope you and your board will give this serious consideration. Thanks.

Jared

On Fri, Sep 16, 2016 at 8:50 AM, Larry Maycock <larcar50@xmission.com> wrote:  
Jared,

In regards to the water below the Patterson dam in the Beaver River. This has been a really dry year. In the middle of July Steve Gale took it upon himself to give all the water to the to the lower users and none to Rocky Ford. We we're never contacted on this decision ! We called Steve Gale to see what his plan was. He stated he never had a plan.

I told him the only fair way to deliver the water was if they took so many days then we would get it the rest of the time to Oct. 1, 2016. We have been waiting for our turn and to no avail he has yet to deliver us any water. This is unfair and against water right law.

They have had all the stream for about 60 days. If he turns all the water to us now it will give us about us about 43 days which is below our rightful water right. Looking at the telemetry data it looks like we would still need about 400 more ac. ft. in the next 45 days. We need the State to get involved and help us get our water. We should not have to worry about this all the time.

Please contact Gale and tell him to get us our water. The notion that Rocky Ford has plenty of water is totally wrong . The last 4 years we have received less than 1/3 of our water due to drought and unfair practices. This year we issued 1' per share which is about 1/3 of what we need.

We need to get a policy in place on years of low water flows. We are willing to work with them to make it fair for both sides.

If any of the States decisions are based on the litigation with Kent's Lake don't assume that is over. We are appealing the Judges ruling in the Trial to the Appeals Court and Supreme Court.

Please help resolve this ongoing issue.

Sincerely,

Rocky Ford Board :

Larry Maycock

Mitch Dalton

Scott Wiseman

Clayton Williams

Seth Davie

Rodney Carter

Todd Marshall

--

*Emails to and from this email address may be considered public records and thus subject to Utah GRAMA requirements.*

--

*Emails to and from this email address may be considered public records and thus subject to Utah GRAMA requirements.*

--

*Emails to and from this email address may be considered public records and thus subject to Utah GRAMA requirements.*