

BB-37

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE  
STATE OF UTAH IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY.

\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Provo Reservoir Company,  
A corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Provo City, et.al.,

Defendants.

-  
-  
-  
-  
-  
-

Case No. 2888 Civil.  
Correspondence Relative to  
amendments to Proposed  
Findings and Decree.

\*\*\*\*\*

Provo, Utah, Feb. 14, 1921.

Hon. C.W. Morse,

Salt Lake, City, Utah.

Dear Sir.

RE: Motions to Amend Proposed  
Findings and Decree.  
Case No. 2888.

Under separate cover I forward copy of the motions to amend Proposed Findings and Decree in the case of Provo Reservoir Company vs. Provo City et al., No. 2888,, as on file to-date, under the order of the Court dated January 15th, 1921, and also, the correspondence to and from this office regarding these matters.

Very Truly,

(Signed)

T.F. Wentz,  
Commissioner.

T. F. WENTZ  
CIVIL AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERING  
OFFICE  
COMMERCIAL BANK BUILDING  
PROVO, UTAH

PHONES: 148W-1  
318

Provo, Utah, Feb. 14, 1921.

Jacob Coleman,  
Parker & Robinson,  
J.H. McDonald,  
Baker & Baker,  
Chase Hatch,  
I.E. Brockbank,  
Provo City, Utah.  
Gentlemen:

RE: Motions to amend Proposed  
Findings and Decree.  
Case No. 2888.

Under separate cover I forward to Jacob Coleman, copy of the motions to amend Proposed Findings and Decree in the case of Provo Reservoir Company vs. Provo City et al., No. 2888, as on file to-date, under the order of the Court dated January 15th, 1921, and also the correspondence to and from this office regarding these matters. You will kindly arrange, between yourselves, to use the same.

Yours Very Truly,

(Signed)



Commissioner.

T. F. WENTZ  
CIVIL AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERING  
OFFICE  
COMMERCIAL BANK BUILDING  
PROVO, UTAH

PHONES: 148W-1  
318

Provo, Utah, Feb. 14, 1921.

John F. MacLane,

O.P. Soule,

Ray & Rawlins,

C.C. Richards,

Salt Lake City, Utah.

RE: Motions to Amend Proposed  
Findings and Decree.  
Case 2888.

Gentlemen:

Under separate cover I forward to John F. MacLane, copy of the Motions to amend Proposed Findings and Decree in the case of Provo Reservoir Company vs. Provo City et al., No. 2888 as on file to-date, under the order of the Court dated January 15th 1921, and also the correspondence to and from this office regarding these matters. You will kindly arrange, between yourselves, to use the same.

Yours Very Truly,

(Signed)

T. F. Wentz.

Commissioner.

Provo, Utah, Jan. 25, 1921.

W.S. Willis

Heber City, Utah.

RE: Timpanogus Irrigation Company Application 944 A.

Dear Sir:

Supplemental to our conversation I hand you herewith copy of portions of the transcript in the case of Provo Reservoir Company vs. Provo City et al., No 2888, I also enclose herewith copy of my certified copy of Application No. 944A., and you are advised as follows:-

Application No. 944, originally, applied for 15,000 acre feet, this application was assigned to the Provo Reservoir Company and changed to apply for 7,500 acre. And the Timpanogus Irrigation Company made application No. 944 A for 7,500 acre feet.

In the case of Provo Reservoir Company vs. Provo City et al., the pleading of the Timpanogus Irrigation Company do not set up application No 944 A, and this application is not in evidence in the case. You will observe from the transcript this condition is referred too, but for some reason or by an oversight the case was closed without this correction being made.

Such additional information as you may desire relative to this matter will be furnished upon application.

Yours Very Truly,

---

Commissioner.