

Heber, Utah, Feb, 4th, 1921.

Mr. T. Frank Wintz,
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith find supplemental brief in re No 2888 Civil, will you kindly file the original with Clerk of District Court and keep copy yourself.

The date of hearing being on the 19th, and my having to try a case here at Heber on that date will make it impossible for me to be in Provo on that date and I will have to submit the matter to Judge Morse on brief which I will submit to him at a later date. I think the matter could safely be submitted on brief as to all matters, except amendment to Timpanogus Irrigation company answer, as the matters other than that are simply matters of oversight and no doubt will be corrected without any trouble; but as to amendment to answer, a different question is presented which I wish very much I might present in person to the court but as matter now look it will be impossible for me to be present.

Will you kindly advise me as to the balance of the matters to which I have called attention and see if you do ~~not~~ fully agree with me as to these matters being error, with the exception of decree in re Joseph Hatch and Emma Wherritt. In these matters as it looks to me they will have no water for the 20 acres and the two lots without a decree, as the ruling made by Judge Morse would give their water to Wasatch Irrigation Company and not to these claimants. These acreages were included in acreage awarded to said Wasatch Irrigation Company, but as the water has not been assigned to said corporation, Hatch and Wherritt should have a decree as against the said Wasatch Irrigation Company. Don't you agree with me. Thanking you for a reply at your earliest convenience and for filing original of these papers with clerk I am,
Yours truly;

Wm. S. Willes
over

P.S. Don't transcripts of testimony show
that Simpson is entitled to claims
made for it? I know some evidence
was so introduced and as I remember
the testimony Joseph R. Murdock and
Judge Hatch testified. Yours W.S.W.