

Exhibit A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH.

Provo Reservoir Company,  
a corporation,

Plaintiff

Vs

Provo City, a municipal corporation,  
The Wildwood Resort Company,  
et al,

Defendants.

STIPULATION

Civil Cause No. 2888.

\*\*\*\*\*

It is stipulated between the plaintiff and the defendant,  
The Wildwood Resort Company,

1. That the said Wildwood Resort Company is the successor in interest to the water right decreed to George I. Taylor, by the decree in Civil Action No. 957 in the above entitled court. See Article III, paragraph "k" and Article VI, first paragraph, of said decree.

2. It is further stipulated that the defendant, The Wildwood Resort Company, as against the water rights of the plaintiff, acquired or in process of acquisition by virtue of its several applications made in the office of the State Engineer of the State of Utah, the same having been duly identified and entered of record in this action, is entitled to the right to divert from the waters of North Fork tributary of Provo River, 0.27 second feet of water during the irrigation season for irrigation purposes, and in addition thereto is entitled to have the spring water run through its fish pond and by its house as it has heretofore done without the right to further use thereof.

3. It is stipulated that the defendant, The Wildwood Resort Company, shall be entitled to the full volume of flow specified in the preceding paragraph before the plaintiff shall be entitled by virtue of any of its applications aforesaid to the diversion and use of any of the waters flowing in and from the said North Fork tributary of Provo River. It is further stipulated that after said defendant has been supplied with the volume of water specified in paragraph 2, then as against said defendant, the plaintiff is entitled to one second foot of water from the natural flow of Provo River for each 70 acres of land irrigated through plaintiff's irrigation system.

4. When the volumes of flow heretofore provided have been

supplied to the parties hereto respectively, then from any additional flow available to the defendant, said defendant is entitled as against the plaintiff to sufficient of such waters to fill its canals to their full carrying capacity.

PROVO RESERVOIR CO. by

Joseph R. Munsick President  
Plaintiff  
Midwood Reservoir Company  
by E. H. Kelly  
Attorney

2888 Civil  
Provo Reservoir Co  
vs  
Provo City et al.

Answer of Wild  
Wood resort.

IN DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE STATE OF UTAH  
COUNTY OF KANE  
D. J. Epperson  
Deak Smart