

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

PALEOZOIC

	Steam-sa discharge (p. 13 and
2, C, L	Well (tab
	Flowing v
	Abandon
2 . C	Spring (ta Number number at same

mpling and (or) miscellaneous- e measurement site d table 9)	8	- Line of equal normal annual (1931-60) precipitation Interval 2 and 4 inches (51 and 102 millimeters) (from
le 6)		U.S. Weather Durbau, no duto)
well ed well		Intersection of water table with land surface
able 7) by symbol refers to of wells or springs location; C, indicates I analysis in table icates log of well in		Water-level contour (1976) Interval 10, 50 and 100 feet (3, 15, and 30 meters). Datum is mean sea level. Arrows show general direction of ground-water movement
		- Drainage divide
r (table 4)		- Northwest boundary of

studv area

STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES



•

EXPLANATION Dissolved solids, in milligrams per liter

•_

J Number by symbol is dissolved solids, in milligrams per liter (from table 9)

STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Technical Publication No. 59

HYDROLOGIC RECONNAISSANCE OF THE DUGWAY VALLEY-GOVERNMENT CREEK AREA, WEST-CENTRAL UTAH

by

Jerry C. Stephens and C. T. Sumsion Hydrologists, U.S. Geological Survey

Prepared by the United States Geological Survey in cooperation with the Utah Department of Natural Resources Division of Water Rights

. , x

, ,

CONTENTS

	Page
English-to-metric conversion factors	VI
Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Physiography	4
Climate	4
Vegetation	6
Geology	7
Numbering system for hydrologic-data sites	7
Water-resources appraisal	10
Surface water	11
Ground water	14
Recharge	14
Storage	15
Discharge	16
Summary of conditions in hydrogeologic units	18
Valley-fill deposits	18
Consolidated rocks	19
Chemical quality of the water	19
Quality relative to source	20
Quality relative to use	21
Potential for development of additional water supplies	22
Summary and needs for future studies	23
References cited	24
Publications of the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Rights	34

ILLUSTRATIONS

[Plate is in pocket]

Plate 1.	Maps showing generalized hydrogeology, precipitation, water-level contours, and chemical quality of the ground water in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area.	
		Page
Figure 1.	Map showing location of the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area and areas described in other reports in this series	3
2.	Map of Lake Bonneville	5
3.	Diagram showing numbering system for hydrologic-data sites	8

TABLES

Page

Table	1.	Selected climatologic data for sites in and near the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area	6
	2.	Generalized lithologic and water-bearing characteris- tics of hydrogeologic units	9
	3.	Estimated average annual precipitation and ground- water recharge	10
	4.	Selected data for stock ponds and reservoirs	12
	5.	Withdrawal of water from selected wells in the Govern- ment Creek area, 1967-75	17
	6.	Records of wells	26
	7.	Records of springs	27
	8.	Selected drillers' logs of wells	28
	9.	Chemical-quality data for selected water sources	30

V

ENGLISH-TO-METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

Most values are given in this report in English units followed by metric units. The conversion factors used are shown to four significant figures. In the text, however, the metric equivalents are shown only to the number of significant figures consistent with the accuracy of the value in English units.

Engl	lish		Metric			
Units	Abbreviation	<u>1</u>	Units	Abbreviation		
(Multiply)		(by)	(to obtain)			
Acres		0.4047	Square hectometers	hm²		
Acre-feet	acre-ft	.001233	Cubic hectometers	hm ³		
Cubic feet			Cubic meters			
per second	ft ³ /s	.02832	per second	m ³ /s		
Cubic feet per			Cubic meters per			
second per			second per square			
square mile	(ft³/s)/mi²	.01093	kilometer	(m³/s)/km²		
Feet	ft	.3048	Meters	m		
Feet per mile	ft/mi	.1894	Meters per kilometer	ın/km		
Gallons	gal	3.785	Liters	L		
Gallons per						
minute	gal/min	.06309	Liters per second	L/s		
Gallons per			Liters per second			
minute per			per meter			
foot	(gal/min)/ft	.2070		(L/s)/m		
Inches	in	25.40	Millimeters	mm		
		2.540	Centimeters	cm		
Miles	mi	1.609	Kilometers	km		
Square miles	mi ²	2.590	Square kilometers	km²		

Chemical concentration and water temperature are given only in metric units. Chemical concentration is given in milligrams per liter (mg/L). For concentrations less than 7,000 mg/L, the numerical value is about the same as for concentrations in the English unit, parts per million.

Micrograms per liter $(\mu g/L)$ is a unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as weight (micrograms) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water. One thousand micrograms per liter is equivalent to one milligram per liter.

Chemical concentration in terms of ionic interacting values is given in milliequivalents per liter (meq/L). Meq/L is numerically equal to the English unit, equivalents per million.

Water temperature is given in degrees Celsius (°C), which can be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) by the following equation: $^{\circ}F = 1.8(^{\circ}C) + 32$.

HYDROLOGIC RECONNAISSANCE OF THE DUGWAY VALLEY-GOVERNMENT CREEK AREA, WEST-CENTRAL UTAH

by

Jerry C. Stephens and C. T. Sumsion Hydrologists, U.S. Geological Survey

ABSTRACT

The Dugway Valley-Government Creek area covers about 890 square miles (2,300 square kilometers) in west-central Utah. Total annual precipitation on the area averages about 380,000 acre-feet (470 cubic hectometers). Most streams are ephemeral except for a few in their upper reaches--all are ephemeral below the altitude of about 6,000 feet (1,830 meters). Surface-water development and use in the area are insignificant.

Ground-water recharge from precipitation is about 7,000 acre-feet (8.6 cubic hectometers) annually. Recharge is from snowmelt and thunderstorms and occurs principally in coarse alluvium of higher valleys and lower mountain slopes above 6,000 feet (1,830 meters). About 5,000 acre-feet (6.2 cubic hectometers) of ground water enters the area annually from the Sevier Desert by way of the Old River Bed. Total estimated recharge is thus about 12,000 acre-feet (14.8 cubic hectometers) annually. Ground water moves generally northwestward to the Great Salt Lake Desert.

The estimated amount of water recoverable from storage in the upper 100 feet (30 meters) of saturated valley fill is about 3.8 million acre-feet (4,700 cubic hectometers).

Many of the 43 known springs in the area discharge from carbonate rocks and igneous extrusive rocks of the higher mountain ranges. Estimated discharge from springs is about 5,000 acre-feet (6.2 cubic hectometers) annually. At least half of this returns to the ground-water system by infiltration; the remainder is consumed by evapotranspiration.

Total discharge of ground water by evapotranspiration in areas of phreatophytes is estimated to average less than 1,000 acre-feet (1.2 cubic hectometers). Withdrawals from wells average about 300 acre-feet (0.4 cubic hectometers) annually, and nearly all is consumed by evapotranspiration. The chemical quality of water in the area is extremely variable. Streamflow in the upper Government Creek area generally contains less than 500 milligrams per liter of dissolved solids and is calcium bicarbonate type water, whereas wells along the eastern base of the Dugway Range discharge water containing more than 5,000 milligrams per liter of dissolved solids in which sodium and chloride are the predominant ions. Most of the water in the area is satisfactory for livestock and, except for that in western and northern Dugway Valley, the water is generally satisfactory for irrigation.

Additional water supplies could be developed from surface- and ground-water sources. Before extensive development of water resources is undertaken, exploratory drilling and detailed water-quality investigations are needed to refine reconnaissance estimates and further define ground-water conditions.

INTRODUCTION

This report is the seventeenth in a series by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Rights, which describes the water resources of the western basins of Utah (fig. 1). The purpose of the report is to present hydrologic data for the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area, to provide an evaluation of present and potential water-resources development in the area, and to identify studies needed to improve understanding of the area's water supply. The area described in this report includes Dugway Valley and the Government Creek area, a total of about 890 mi² (2,300 km²) in Tooele and Juab Counties in west-central Utah (fig. 1). The investigation on which the report is based consisted largely of a study of available file data for geology, climate, streams, wells, springs, water quality, and water use. These data were supplemented with data on physiography, vegetation, geology, and water sources obtained during brief field reconnaissances in 1974-76.

Several published reports listed in references cited contain information on the geology and water resources of the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area. Principal sources of basic hydrologic data are the files of the U.S. Geological Survey, the Utah State Engineer, and the Facilities Engineer, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground.

Most land in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is used principally for either military purposes or livestock grazing. Landownership is approximately as follows (Webster and Dunagan, 1970):

Acres	of total
310,000	54
215,000	38
10,000	2
30,000	5
7,000	$\frac{1}{100}$
	Acres 310,000 215,000 10,000 30,000 <u>7,000</u> 570,000

The population of the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is mostly transient and consists principally of seasonal graziers and employees of the U.S. Army, Dugway Proving Ground. The Proving Ground headquarters is in Skull Valley at Dugway, about 2 mi (3.2 km) from the northeast boundary of the report area. The few farms and ranches in the Old River Bed and upper Government Creek area are occupied only seasonally.

Physiography

The Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is bounded on the northeast, southeast, and southwest by drainage divides. The northwestern boundary was established for convenience along a line between Wig Mountain and Granite Peak (pl. 1). The entire area drains northwestward into the Great Salt Lake Desert.

Dugway Valley is separated from the Government Creek area by a topographic divide extending from the Simpson Mountains northwestward to Simpson Buttes and Camels Back Ridge (pl. 1). Northwestward from Camels Back Ridge, the two valleys merge into the Great Salt Lake Desert.

The Old River Bed, between the Simpson Mountains and Keg Mountain,¹ is a prominent trench crossing the divide between the Sevier Desert drainage and the Great Salt Lake Desert drainage. The river that formed the trench was a connecting link between two major parts of Lake Bonneville, a large freshwater lake that covered much of western Utah and adjacent parts of Idaho and Nevada during the Pleistocene Epoch. (See fig. 2.) During the recession of Lake Bonneville, the Old River Bed carried drainage from the Sevier Desert toward the Great Salt Lake Desert (Gilbert, 1890, p. 181-184). The drainageway is now blocked by mudflow deposits in sec. 28, T. 10 S., R. 9 W. (Mower and Feltis, 1968, p. 10), that form part of the divide between the two basins. The altitude at this location is about 4,500 ft (1,370 m), the lowest point on the Dugway Valley drainage divide.

Total relief in the study area is about 5,000 ft (1,520 m). Altitudes range from about 4,260 ft (1,300 m) on the desert floor in the northwestern part of the area to about 9,270 ft (2,820 m) in the Sheeprock Mountains at the eastern extremity. Most of the area below about 4,600 ft (1,400 m) is gently sloping to level as a result of planation and deposition by Lake Bonneville.

Climate

The climate of the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is generally arid. Average annual precipitation ranges from less than 6 in. (127 mm) on the floor of the desert to slightly more than 20 in. (508 mm) in the Sheeprock Mountains (pl. 1). Overall average for the area is about 8 in. (200 mm).

¹Keg Mountain is named McDowell Mountains on many older maps.

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Table	1Selected	climatologic	data	for	sites	in	and	near
	the Dugwa	y Valley-Gove	ernmer	nt Ci	eek a	rea		

Site: Altitude: Period of record:	Government Creek 5,277 ft		Dugway 4,340 ft 1an 1951-Dec 1975		Fish Springs Refuge 4,335 ft huly 1960-Dec. 1975		
Average monthly:	Precipitation (in.)	Temperature (°F)	Precipitation (in.)	Temperature (°F)	Precipitation (in.)	Temperature (°F)	Evaporation ¹ (in.)
January	1.00	26.2	0.47	27.7	0.27	28.8	-
February	1.19	30.5	.52	34.5	.46	36.3	-
March	1.60	38.0	.54	40.2	.63	42.4	-
April	1.32	46.6	.79	48.6	1.06	49.7	7.26 (1)
Mav	1.45	54.8	.66	59.3	.76	61.2	11.17 (6)
June	.72	64.3	.65	68.8	1.02	70.0	12.82 (12)
July	.79	73.8	.42	78.5	.51	80.0	16,06 (12)
August	1.01	72.2	.49	75.9	.44	77.6	13.60 (12)
September	.70	62.1	.48	64.5	.58	66.1	9.90 (12)
October	1.15	49.9	.55	52.3	.71	53.6	5,95 (6)
November	.97	37.5	. 54	38.8	.52	40.7	-
December	1.01	28.4	.57	28.9	.46	29.6	-
Average annual:	12,91	48.7	6.68	51,5	7.42	53.0	76.76
Maximum/minimum							
Period of record	•	105/-25	-	105/-22	-	109/-14	•
Annual	18.50/7.51	-	11,23/3,35	-	9.63/4.05	-	-
Monthly	4.29/0.00	-	2.37/0.00	-	2.94/0.00	•	19.68/-

(Data from U.S. Weather Bureau, 1937, 1957, 1962-66; U.S. Environmental Science Services Administration, 1967-70; and U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1971-76.)

¹ Number of years of record shown in parentheses.

Table 1 lists selected precipitation, temperature, and evaporation data for three climatologic stations in and near the area. (See fig. 1.) Data from the Government Creek station, which was discontinued in 1950, probably are representative of conditions in the foothills and lower slopes of the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains. The data for the other two stations are assumed to reflect conditions similar to those of low-altitude parts of the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area. There are no nearby climatologic stations in the mountains at higher altitudes, but it is probable that areas of higher altitude differ from the area of the Government Creek station principally in having lower average temperatures and greater average precipitation. The seasonal distribution of precipitation and temperature probably differs little from that recorded at the Government Creek station.

Vegetation

Because of the general aridity, native vegetation in much of the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area consists of "salt-desert" shrubs that are typical of millions of acres of the Great Basin. Vegetation is scanty or absent on the alkali flats and sand dunes that comprise much of the desert floor--the vegetation that does exist is mostly shadscale (*Atriplex* sp.) and annual grasses. On the gravelly soils around the margins of the desert floor, a mixed association of shadscale and bunchgrasses predominates. On the alluvial slopes adjacent to the mountains, sagebrush (Artemisia sp.) is the dominant vegetation below an altitude of about 6,000 ft (1,830 m). Above that altitude juniper (Juniperus sp.) and pinyon (Pinus sp.) are common on both alluvial and residual soils. Several types of deciduous shrubs grow in the uplands, especially on north-facing slopes in the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains.

Rabbitbrush (Chrysothamnus sp.) and greasewood (Sarcobatus vermiculatus) grow locally in and along stream channels in the alluvium, especially in the Old River Bed and along Government Creek. Where moisture is perenially available in the vicinity of some springs and in areas where the water table is at or near the land surface, as along Government Creek in part of T. 9 S., meadowgrasses, greasewood, rabbitbrush, willow (Salix sp.), and other phreatophytes are common.

A few small plots of ground along both Government Creek and the Old River Bed have been cleared of native vegetation and converted to grass pastures or irrigated cropland. A total of less than 1 mi^2 (2.6 km²) of land is presently used for such purposes.

Geology

Rocks ranging in age from Precambrian to Quaternary crop out in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area. On the basis of lithologic and hydrologic similarities, these rocks are grouped into generalized hydrogeologic units, each of which affects the hydrologic system in the area. Table 2 gives a generalized description of the lithology and water-bearing characteristics of these units, and plate 1 shows their distribution.

Numbering system for hydrologic-data sites

The system of numbering hydrologic-data sites in Utah is based on the cadastral land-survey system of the U.S. Government. The number, in addition to designating a site as a well, spring, or miscellaneous site, describes its position in the land net. By the land-survey system, the State is divided into four quadrants by the Salt Lake base line and meridian, and these quadrants are designated by the uppercase letters A, B, C, and D, indicating the northeast, northwest, southwest, and southeast quadrants, respectively. Numbers designating the township and range (in that order) follow the quadrant letter, and all three are enclosed in parentheses. The number after the parentheses indicates the section, and is followed by three letters indicating the quarter section, the quarter-quarter section, and the quarter-quarter-quarter section, the quarter-quarter section, and the quarter-quarter-quarter section--generally 10 acres (4 hm^2);¹ the letters a, b, c, and d indicate,

¹Although the basic land unit, the section, theoretically is 1 mi^2 (2.6 km²), many sections are irregular. Such sections are subdivided into 10-acre (4-hm²) tracts, generally beginning at the southeast corner, and the surplus or shortage is taken up in the tracts along the north and west sides of the section.

respectively, the northeast, northwest, southwest, and southeast quarters of each subdivision. The number after the letters is the serial number of the well or spring within the 10-acre $(4-hm^2)$ tract; the letter "S" preceding the serial number denotes a spring. Thus (C-7-10)25cdd-1 designates the first well constructed or visited in the SE¹/₄SE¹/₄SW¹/₄ sec. 25, T. 7 S., R. 10 W. Other sites where hydrologic data were collected are numbered in the same manner, but no serial number is used. If a well or spring cannot be located within a 10-acre $(4-hm^2)$ tract, one or two location letters are used. The numbering system is illustrated in figure 3.

Figure 3. - Numbering system for hydrologic-data sites.

Table 2.--Generalized lithologic and water-bearing characteristics of hydrogeologic units

A	ge	Hydrogeologic symbol	unit and map (pl. 1)	Description a	nd extent	Water-bearing	characteristics
CEN020IC		Eolian dune sand (Qds)		Linear dunes and barchans along the margin of the Great Salt Lake Desert and south of the Cedar Mountains; and in discontinuous patches through- out the area; some dunes are stabilized by veg- etation; predominantly fine to medium quartzose sand; maximum thickness about 50 ft (15 m).		Permeable, retaining sufficient moisture to support vegetation; generally unsaturated but locally may contain fresh, perched ground water during the spring or early summer; may transmit water to underlying hydrogeologic units; not an aquifer in this area.	
	Quaternary	Alluvium and col (Qag)	luvium	Fluvial deposits of sand, gravel, and boulders in stream channels and near mountains; coalescing alluvial-fan deposits of generally coarse mater- ial along lower mountain slopes; maximum thick- ness less than 200 ft (60 m). Colluvial deposits of angular rock fragments locally on higher moun- tain slopes; maximum thickness less than 100 ft (30 m).		Moderately to highly permeable but too thin to stor significant quantities of water; mostly unsaturat- ed; only thickest deposits may be saturated in low er areas; accepts recharge from snowmelt, transmit ting water to underlying hydrogeologic units; this and the underlying older alluvium (QTu) comprise a aquifer along mountain fronts and in higher valley areas.	
		Alluvium and lacustrine deposits (Qlc)		Fluvial and lacustrine marl, clay, silt, sand, and gravel; alluvium confined mostly to stream chan- nels; lacustrine deposits in flat areas; includes some alluvial fans and slope wash; bars, spits, terraces, and deltas which were deposited in Pleistocene Lake Bonneville are composed chiefly of sand and fine gravel; maximum thickness prob- ably less than 100 ft (30 m).		Low-to-high permeability, but generally unsaturated; locally may contain perched ground water during spring or early summer; where fairly permeable may accept recharge to the water table from snowmelt in the same manner as Qag; not an aquifer in this area.	
	Tertiary and Quaternary	Older alluvium (QTu)		Fluvial clay, sand, and gravel; unconsolidated to well-cemented with calcium carbonate; exposed in the higher part of the Government Creek area and underlies most of the alluvial and lacustrine deposits of the area; maximum thickness is prob- ably more than 1,000 ft (300 m).		Slightly to highly permeable; yields of more than l,000 gal/min (63 L/s) for irrigation reported in the Old River Bed area; constitutes the major aqui- fer in the Dugway-Covernment Creek area; water is brackish or saline in parts of the area.	
	Tertiary	Extrusive igneou (Te)	is rocks	Felsic to mafic lava, pyr deposits in most of the ably interlayered locall the subsurface.	oclastic and ignimbrite mountain ranges and prob- y with older alluvium in	Relatively impermeable exc clastic interlayers may b to springs in the mountai tured; accept recharge fr water to adjacent or unde units.	ept where fractured or e permeable; yield water ns where extensively frac- om snowmelt and transmit rlying hydrogeologic
PALEOZOIC		Consolidated carbonate rocks, undif- ferentiated (Pzc) Consolidated quartzitic rocks, undif- terentiated (Pzq) Consolidated quartzitic rocks, undif- terentiated (Pzq) Consolidated quartzitic rocks, undif- terentiated to Permia mountain large par folded an		Limestone and dolomite with subordinate shale and sandstone; range in age from Cambrian to Permian; constitute mountain ranges in large part; complexly folded and faulted.	Quartzite and sandstone with subordinate shale; of Cambrian and Ordovi- cian ages; constitute parts of mountain ranges; complexly folded and faulted.	Slightly permeable: local fractures and solution channels im- part high permeabili- ty; yield fresh water to small springs; ac- cept recharge as in Te.	Where fractured, yield fresh water to small springs; otherwise re- latively impermeable; accept recharge as in Te.
PRECAM-	L for the second		s rocks	Gneissic biotite granite cut by numerous granitic pegmatites; exposed at Granite Peak; complexly jointed and fractured.		Low permeability except wh yield fresh water to at l accept recharge as in Te.	ere jointed or fractured; east one small spring;
PRECAM- BRIAN		Quartzitic and related metasedi- mentary rocks, undifferentiated (p&u)		Argillite, quartzite, metaconglomerate, and related metamorphic rocks; complexly folded and faulted; exposed in mountain ranges in the southern and southeastern parts of the area.		Where fractured, yield small quantities of water to springs, otherwise relatively impermeable; where well-fractured, accept recharge as in Te.	

WATER-RESOURCES APPRAISAL

Precipitation on the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is the source of nearly all water available there. Total precipitation on the area is estimated to average about 380,000 acre-ft (470 hm³) annually (table 3).

Table 3.--Estimated average annual precipitation and ground-water recharge

[Areas of precipitation zones measured from pl. 1]

Precipitation	Area	Preci	pitation	Recharge		
zone (inches)	(acres)	Inches	Acre-feet	Percentage of precipitation	Acre-feet	
	Are	as of unco	onsolidated re	ocks		
Less than 6	60,000	5.5	27,500	0	0	
6-8	280,000	7	163,000	minor	0	
8-10	57,000	9	42,800	minor	0	
10-12	25,000	11	22,900	1	230	
12-16	19,000	14	22,200	5	1,100	
16-20	1,500	18	2,250	8	180	
Subtotal	442,500		280,650		1,510	
	Arc	eas of con	solidated roo	cks		
6-8	78,000	7	45,500	minor	0	
8-10	19,000	9	14,200	1	140	
10-12	7,000	11	6,420	5	320	
12-16	16,000	14	18,700	12	2,200	
16-20	5,800	18	8,700	17	1,500	
More than 20	2,700	21	4,720	25	1,200	
Subtotal	128,500		98,240		5,360	
Total (rounded)	570,000		380,000		7,000	

Surface water

Most streams in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area are ephemeral. A few streams draining the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains have perennial flow in their upper reaches, but below an altitude of about 6,000 ft (1,830 m) even these become ephemeral.

Pismire Wash, the principal drainageway in Dugway Valley, extends generally northward from the Thomas Range for about 35 mi (56 km) before the channel loses its identity and disappears on the desert floor southeast of Granite Peak (pl. 1). Flow in Pismire Wash occurs only in direct response to thunderstorms or rapid snowmelt. The channel normally is dry through its entire length.

The Old River Bed, as previously described, is a relict drainageway blocked by mudflow deposits at the Dugway Valley drainage divide. An ephemeral stream channel is located on the broad, flat floor of this 50-100 ft (15-30 m) deep trench. Flow in the channel occurs principally in response to local thunderstorms and generally is of short duration.

Government Creek extends generally northwestward from its headwaters in the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains for a distance of about 30 mi (48 km) before the channel disappears on the desert floor (pl. 1). Several minor headwater tributaries of Government Creek originate as discharge from springs in the mountains and may have perennial flow for short distances below the springs.

A reach of Government Creek in sec. 28, T. 9 S., R. 7 W., has perennial flow where the channel intersects the water table. The flow originates at (C-9-7)28cad as seepage in the bottom of the channel, which is incised 10-15 ft (3-5 m) below the adjacent land surface. Flow increases downstream and was estimated to be about 50 gal/min (3 L/s) in the channel at (C-9-7)28bca on May 6, 1976. On this date, the flow disappeared into the coarse sand and gravel deposits on the channel floor about 0.6 mi (1 km) downstream from the point of origin. Vegetation in and along the channel indicates that flow is perennial in this reach, and several minor springs and seeps are present at the same and slightly higher altitudes on the bottom and along the margins of the valley.

Several small impoundments have been constructed in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area to intercept local runoff and store it for stock use. Table 4 lists selected stock ponds and reservoirs presently used in the area. Except for the reservoir at (C-9-9)11bbb that is supplied by diverted springflow, these reservoirs store water only infrequently. Runoff from winter and early spring snowmelt supplies most of the water; summer and fall thunderstorms are less dependable local sources of supply to some of the reservoirs. Large evaporation losses in the hot, dry summer rapidly deplete the volume of water in storage, as well as concentrating the mineral solids dissolved in the water.

Table 4.--Selected data for stock ponds and reservoirs

Location: See section on numbering system for hydrologic-data sites. Altitude: Approximate altitude of outlet, in feet above mean sea level; interpolated from U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps.

Location	Name	Altitude	Remarks
(C-9-9)11bbb	-	4,470	Supplied by pipeline from Simpson Springs.
28dac	South Simpson Reservoir	4,510	On desert floor; supplied by local runoff; chemi- cal analysis in table 9.
(C - 9-10)21ddb	-	4,370	In channel of Old River Bed; chemical analysis in table 9.
(C-10-7)4dca	-	6,040	Small impoundment on tributary of Government Creek.
(C-10-8)7cbd	-	5,210	Developed from natural depression on Lake Bonneville shoreline; supplied by runoff from Simpson Mountains.
(C-10-10)23ca	North Table Moun- tain Reservoir	4,515	On tributary to Old River Bed; chemical analysis in table 9.
(C-11-9)7cd	Slow Elk Reservoir	4,870	On tributary to Old River Bed; supplied by runoff from Keg Mountain.
(C-11-10)5abb	East Dugway Reser- voir	4,540	Supplied by ditch diver- sion from Pismire Wash; chemical analysis in table 9.
(C -11-1 1)12ac	Monument Reservoir	4,620	On Pismire Wash tributary.
23bd	Bittner Knoll Reser voir	- 4,840	On Pismire Wash tributary from Thomas Range.
(C-12-10)19ac	East Topaz 2 Reser- voir	5,040	On Pismire Wash tributary.

,

The only surface-water developments in the area, other than livestock reservoirs, are small diversions of water for irrigation from Lee Creek and from springs on the south side of the Sheeprock Mountains in the Sevier Desert drainage basin. Water from Lee Creek, a tributary of Government Creek, is diverted in the headwaters area in the southern part of T. 9 S., Rs. 7 and 8 W., and transported northward by pipeline a distance of 4-5 mi (6-8 km) for irrigation of pastureland near Government Creek in sec. 7, T. 9 S., R. 7 W. Flow in the pipeline at (C-9-7) 7dac on July 15, 1964, was estimated to be 100 gal/min (6.3 L/s); no additional data are available from which to estimate the quantity of water diverted.

An open, unlined ditch about 3 mi (5 km) long carries water from springs in S_2^1 sec. 31, T. 9 S., R. 6 W., in the Sevier Desert drainage basin, across the divide into the valley of Government Creek. The water is used for irrigation of pastureland in sec. 34, T. 9 S., R. 7 W. The quantity of water diverted by this ditch is unknown; discharge at (C-10-7)ldaa, near the crest of the drainage divide, was estimated to be 75 gal/min (4.7 L/s) on May 6, 1976.

Estimates of discharge at miscellaneous sites in the area are given in the following table:

Location	Description	Estimated discharge (gal/min) and date	Remarks
(C-9-7)7dac	Pipeline	100 (7-15-64)	Diversion from Lee Creek and unnamed tributary; chemi- cal analysis in table 9.
28bc	Government Creek	100 (7-17-64) 50 (5-6-76)	In area of ground- water inflow; chemical analysis in table 9.
30cd	Pipeline	450 (7-15-64)	Diversion from Lee Creek.
(C-10-7)1daa	Ditch	75 (5-6-76)	Diversion from springs in Sheep- rock Mountains in Sevier Desert drainage; spe- cific conductance and temperature in table 9.
(C-10-8)1bd	Lee Creek	100 (7-15-64)	Chemical analysis in table 9.

The U.S. Geological Survey operated a crest-stage gage on Government Creek at (C-8-8)36ab during 1960-70. Annual maximum discharges calculated from records for this period (U.S. Geol. Survey, 1974, p. 1118) are:

Ī	Date		Discharge (ft ³ /s)]	Date		Discharge (ft ³ /s)
		1960	0			1966	0
Aug.	12,	1961	370			1967	4.5
Feb.	11,	1962	188	Aug.	21,	1968	26
		1963	0			1969	0
June	18,	1964	11	July	22,	1970	93
Aug.	13,	1965	143	-			

Drainage area above the gage site is 59 mi² (153 km²). The maximum discharge of record (370 ft³/s or 10.5 m³/s) on August 12, 1961, was thus equivalent to 6.3 (ft³/s)/mi² $[0.07(m^3/s)/km^2]$.

Because of the general aridity of the area and the permeable alluvial deposits at the base of the mountain ranges, which rapidly absorb streamflow, runoff from the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area to the Great Salt Lake Desert is minor. Some overland runoff from local thunderstorms flows onto the desert; but the surface gradient toward the northwest is very slight, the few channels that exist are small and discontinuous, and evaporation rates are high (*cf*. Fish Springs Refuge evaporation records, table 1). Thus, essentially all the estimated 380,000 acre-ft (470 hm³) of precipitation that falls in the area each year is consumed by evapotranspiration within the area, except for the quantity that infiltrates to recharge the ground-water system.

Ground water

The major source of ground water for wells in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is the saturated older alluvium (pl. 1; table 2). Springs in the area yield water mainly from fractured consolidated rocks in the mountain ranges. A few springs in the upper Government Creek area yield water from older alluvium.

Recharge

Ground-water recharge from precipitation in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is estimated to average about 7,000 acre-ft (8.6 hm³) annually (table 3). It is derived mainly from snowmelt and thunderstorms. The principal recharge areas are in the coarse alluvial deposits in the valleys and lower mountain slopes above an altitude of about 6,000 ft (1,830 m). Most rainfall from summer storms at lower altitudes is consumed directly by evapotranspiration.

Some ground water enters the Dugway Valley area as subsurface inflow from the Sevier Desert drainage basin through the Old River Bed. The water moves principally through coarse-grained alluvium deposited by the ancient stream. The amount of inflow is not known precisely, but it is estimated to be less than 5,000 acre-ft (6.2 hm³) per year (Mower and Feltis, 1968, p. 49). Total estimated recharge from precipitation and inflow is thus about 12,000 acre-ft (14.8 hm³) annually.

Storage

Under natural conditions, a ground-water system is in dynamic equilibrium; long-term average annual recharge and discharge are equal, and the amount of ground water in transient storage remains nearly constant. Changes in the volume of ground water in storage result in corresponding changes in discharge from springs and water levels in wells.

Available data are inadequate to detect long-term trends in the discharge of springs in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area. The water-level record for well (C-10-9)4bda-1 for March 1965 through March 1976 shows no discernible trend that might be indicative of a long-term change in storage in the ground-water reservoir. Ground-water development by man is relatively minor, amounting to only about 2.5 percent of the estimated average annual recharge. (See p. 16.) Thus, it is probable that in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area, seasonal and annual changes tend to balance out in the long-term average, and a natural dynamic equilibrium prevails in the ground-water system.

The total volume of ground water in storage in the area is unknown. Recoverable ground water in storage is that part of the stored water that will drain by gravity from the ground-water reservoir as water levels are lowered. It is the product of the specific yield¹ of the reservoir rocks, the saturated thickness, and the area.

An estimated 3.8 million acre-ft $(4,700 \text{ hm}^3)$ might be recovered from the valley fill in the area overlain by dune sand, lacustrine deposits, and older alluvium (Qds, Qlc, and QTu on pl. 1). This estimate is based on (1) an assumed average specific yield of 10 percent; (2) a uniform dewatering of the upper 100 ft (30 m) of saturated material; and (3) an area of about 380,000 acres (154,000 hm²) underlain by the ground-water reservoir.

The volume of water stored in the igneous, consolidated sedimentary, and metasedimentary rocks exposed in and underlying the area cannot be reliably estimated because storage is principally in fractures, joints, or solution openings (table 2) whose presence, size, and degree of interconnection are not readily apparent. Near-surface storage in these rocks, where they are exposed in the mountains, frequently is short term. Recharge from rainfall and snowmelt fills the openings, but

¹Specific yield of a rock or soil is the ratio of the volume of water it will yield by gravity after being saturated, to the volume of the rock or soil.

gravity rapidly drains them to intermittent springs and seeps. In the subsurface beneath the valley fill, the consolidated rocks may be permanently saturated, but the volume of void space filled with ground water is unknown.

Discharge

Most ground-water discharge from the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area is by subsurface outflow northwestward into the Great Salt Lake Desert.

Some ground water is discharged by evapotranspiration, mostly by phreatophytes in the upper part of the Government Creek area. In the remaining area, the depth to ground water generally is too great, or the water too saline, to support phreatophytes. Total annual direct discharge by evapotranspiration in the area is estimated to average less than 1,000 acre-ft (1.2 hm^3).

Withdrawal of water from four wells in the lower part of the Government Creek area averaged about 228 acre-ft (0.28 hm^3) annually from 1967 to 1975 (table 5). Most of the water is used for road construction and maintenance, or similar uses, and nearly all the water ultimately is consumed by evaporation. These four wells are the only ones in the area for which withdrawal data are available. Total annual discharge of ground water by withdrawal from all wells in the area (table 6) is estimated to average about 300 acre-ft (0.4 hm^3) , and essentially all this water is consumed by evapotranspiration.

Springs in the area discharge an estimated 5,000 acre-ft (6.2 hm^3) of ground water annually. At least one-half of this amount probably returns to the ground-water reservoir downgradient by infiltration in the channels of streams fed by large-discharge springs, such as (C-9-7)35b-S and (C-10-8)3aba-S1 (table 7). The remainder is consumed by evapotranspiration near the point of discharge. Thus, net discharge of ground water by springs as evapotranspiration may average about 2,500 acre-ft (3.1 hm^3) annually.

Because the ground-water system in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area apparently is in a state of long-term balance between recharge and discharge, the volume of subsurface outflow to the Great Salt Lake Desert across the northwestern boundary of the area represents the difference between average annual recharge and estimated discharge by other means. Thus, the subsurface outflow is estimated to average about $8,000 \text{ acre-ft} (10 \text{ hm}^3)$ annually--the approximate difference between estimated recharge of 12,000 acre-ft (14.8 hm³) and estimated discharge by direct evapotranspiration (1,000 acre-ft or 1.2 hm³), withdrawals from wells (300 acre-ft or 0.4 hm³), and net discharge of springs (2,500 acre-ft or 3.1 hm³).

	Ground Well 5		Ground Well 28	
Year	Thousands of	<u>Acre-ft</u>	Thousands of gallons	<u>Acre-ft</u>
1967	8,793	27.0	74,347	228.2
1968	12,447	38.2	48,386	148.5
1969	14,591	44.8	41,430	127.1
1970	13,315	40.9	38,453	118.0
1971	18,464	56.7	36,408	111.7
1972	29,940	91.9	27,716	85.1
1973	32,734	100.5	25,091	77.0
1974	35,135	107.8	12,254	37.6
1975	41,127	126.2	0	0
Total	206,546	634.0	304,085	933.2
Average	22,950	70.4	33,787	103.7

Table 5.--Withdrawal of water from selected wells in the Government Creek area, 1967-75

(C-7-10)25cdd-1: Dugway Proving Ground Well 2

(C-7-9)32ccd-1: Dugway Proving

(C-7-10)36bab-1: Dugway Proving Ground Well 3

(C-7-10)25ccd-2: Dugway Proving

	Thousands of		Thousands of	
<u>Year</u>	gallons	<u>Acre-ft</u>	gallons	<u>Acre-ft</u>
1967	1,567	4.8	6,052	18.6
1968	0	0	24,476	75.1
1969	2,197	6.7	27,775	85.2
1970	249	.8	22,789	69.9
1971	7	.02	25,365	77.8
1972	0	0	32,487	99.7
1973	0	0	12,056	37.0
1974	0	0	3,399	10.4
1975	0	0	0	0
Total	4,020	12.3	154,399	473.7
Average	447	1.4	17,155	52.6

Summary of conditions in hydrogeologic units

<u>Valley-fill deposits</u>.--Eolian dune sand, alluvium, colluvium, lacustrine deposits, and possibly some extrusive igneous rocks compose the valley fill in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area (table 2). Of these, the older alluvium (QTu, table 2) is the principal aquifer. The other hydrogeologic units in the valley fill contain water locally but are not significant aquifers. All wells presently in use (table 6) and several springs (table 7) in the area discharge water from the older alluvium.

Drillers' logs of wells (table 8) indicate that layers of sand and gravel, some as much as 150 ft (46 m) in thickness, are present under much of the area. These layers are commonly mixed or interbedded with clay; and they are present, at least locally, to a depth of nearly 1,000 ft (300 m). They supply most of the water withdrawn from wells completed in the older alluvium. Lacustrine deposits that overlie the older alluvium and mantle most of the lowlands may yield water where they are saturated. However, because these deposits consist principally of silt, clay, and marl, they yield water too slowly to be developed by wells; and the water generally is saline.

Water levels in wells finished in the older alluvium range from slightly above land surface in the northwestern part of the area to a depth of more than 290 ft (88 m) below land surface in the upper part of the Government Creek area (pl. 1; table 6). The water table intersected by the channel of Government Creek at (C-9-7)28, at an altitude of about 5,770 ft (1,760 m), probably is the regional water table, as indicated by the water-level contours on plate 1. It is possible, however, that the water table in this area is perched, and that the regional water table is at a lower altitude. More detailed investigation, including the drilling of test holes, would be required to substantiate more fully the interpretation given on plate 1.

Under most of the valley floor, ground water is confined (under artesian conditions) or partially confined below one or more layers of lacustrine silt or clay. Ground water in the older alluvium in and near recharge areas is unconfined (under water-table conditions).

In general, ground water moves from recharge areas in and near the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains and the upper part of the Government Creek area westward or northwestward to the Great Salt Lake Desert (pl. 1). The hydraulic gradient generally is in accordance with the topographic gradient, both averaging about 40 ft/mi (7.6 m/km) between the Sheeprock Mountains and the Great Salt Lake Desert.

Yields of wells in the valley fill are generally greater where the wells penetrate coarse materials. The coarser materials (mainly coarse sand, gravel, and cobbles) are near the mountains and within the Old River Bed. Finer materials (mainly clay, silt, and fine sand) predominate in the lower valleys, principally in the northwestern part of

Quality relative to source

Water samples from streams in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area contained dissolved solids in amounts ranging from 325 to 381 mg/L; the range for reservoir samples was 529 to 1,330 mg/L; for spring samples, 186 to 2,130 mg/L; and for samples from wells, 619 to 10,200 mg/L (table 9).

In general, both surface and ground water in the upper Government Creek area east of about the middle of R. 8 W. contain less than 500 mg/L of dissolved solids (table 9 and pl. 1). Predominant ions in the water in this area are calcium and bicarbonate.

Downgradient in the Government Creek area, concentrations of dissolved solids in the ground water gradually increase, as do the relative proportions of sodium and chloride. Predominant ions in water from wells north and west of Camels Back Ridge are sodium and chloride. Concentrations of dissolved solids in water samples from this area range from about 1,000 mg/L at well (C-7-10)25ccd-1 to 2,790 mg/L at well (C-7-11)26daa-1 (table 9 and pl. 1).

Ground-water inflow from the Sevier Desert drainage basin in the Old River Bed area contains about 800 mg/L of dissolved solids. Additional minerals are dissolved from the containing rocks as the water moves downgradient through the valley fill, and the concentration of dissolved solids gradually increases to about 2,000 mg/L in the area where the Old River Bed channel loses its identity on the desert floor (pl. 1).

With the exception of part of the Old River Bed, ground water in the valley fill in Dugway Valley generally contains more than 1,000 mg/L of dissolved solids. Predominant ions in the water are sodium and chloride. Locally, near the eastern base of the Dugway Range, wells in valley fill yield water containing 5,000 to more than 10,000 mg/L of dissolved solids.

The extent of the area where the concentration of dissolved solids is in excess of 5,000 mg/L is unknown. The most saline water came from well (C-9-11)32dda-1, which is near the trace of an inferred fault. (See pl. 1 and table 9.) Water from well (C-11-11)12aba-1, while not as saline, has a temperature of 49.0° C (120° F). This suggests that the water has circulated to considerable depth before discharging from the well. Thus, the area in which ground water contains in excess of 5,000 mg/L of dissolved solids, probably reflects a major fault zone and is limited to a rather narrow, north-to-northwest-trending band along the eastern base of the Dugway Range where saline water is moving upward from considerable depth.

Springs on the north side of Keg Mountain, in and near the extensive volcanic rock outcrops, yield sodium calcium chloride type water. The water generally contains about 2,000 mg/L of dissolved solids (table 9 and pl. 1). Water samples from four reservoirs on or near the desert floor (table 9) contained dissolved solids in concentrations ranging from 529 to 1,330 mg/L. Although evaporation during prolonged storage rapidly increases the salinity of water in these shallow reservoirs, it is believed that direct runoff in much of Dugway Valley and the lower Government Creek area probably contains 500 mg/L or more of dissolved solids. Windblown salt particles and saline dust from the desert floor, as well as local evaporation products, accumulate on the surface. Rainfall and snowmelt dissolve this material rapidly. Stephens (1974, p. 24) found a similar situation in the Newfoundland Mountains in the northern Great Salt Lake Desert, where water consisting principally of direct snowmelt contained dissolved solids in excess of 500 mg/L.

Quality relative to use

A detailed evaluation of water quality relative to the many possible uses of water is beyond the scope of this report. Therefore, the discussion that follows concerns water quality with respect only to principal present uses of water in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area.

The principal uses of water in the area are for institutional supply at Dugway Proving Ground and for livestock and irrigation supplies. The water supplies of Dugway Proving Ground are treated as necessary to meet the requirements of the intended use. Therefore, the natural chemical characteristics are significant principally as they relate to determining treatment procedures and costs.

Livestock grazing in the area is confined mainly to sheep and cattle, although wild horses range through the foothills and isolated mountains. Most water sources for which analyses are given in table 9 are satisfactory for general livestock and wildlife supplies, although water such as that from well (C-9-11)32dda-1 might be too saline for horses, cattle, and certain wildlife. Hem (1970, p. 324) lists the following upper limits of dissolved-solids concentrations for use in watering stock: Horses, 6,435 mg/L; cattle (beef), 10,100 mg/L; and sheep (adult), 12,900 mg/L. In the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area, numerous water sources of satisfactory quality are available for both domestic animals and wildlife, so that the chemical quality of any individual supply generally is not a limiting factor.

Water-quality characteristics widely used for evaluating water for irrigation are: (1) the concentration of dissolved solids, as indicated by the specific conductance, which determines the salinity hazard; (2) the relative proportion of sodium (Na) to other cations in the water, as indicated by SAR (sodium-adsorption ratio), which determines the sodium hazard; and (3) the concentration of boron and other elements toxic to certain plants. Hem (1970, p. 324-333) and U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954) provide more detailed discussions of the relationship of quality of water to agricultural use. Specific conductance of water samples in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area ranges from 343 to 16,200 micromhos/cm at 25°C (table 9). Sodium-adsorption ratios range from 0.9 to 36. Water with specific conductance greater than about 5,000 micromhos/cm at 25°C or with sodium-adsorption ratio greater than about 30 seldom is suitable for irrigation under any conditions. On this basis, water in most of western and northern Dugway Valley probably is unusable for irrigation.

On the basis of specific conductance values, sodium-adsorption ratios, and the classification system developed by the U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954, p. 79-81), water samples from streams (table 9) all had low sodium hazard and medium salinity hazard. Reservoir samples had low-to-high sodium hazard and medium-to-high salinity hazard. About 85 percent of the ground-water samples in the areas shown on plate 1 as having dissolved-solids concentrations less than 2,000 mg/L have low sodium hazard, and the samples are about equally divided between the medium- and high-salinity hazard classes.

Boron concentrations in water samples ranged from 20 to 1,100 μ g/L (table 9). Samples from three reservoirs and from three wells (table 9) exceeded the permissible limit of 330 μ g/L established by the U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954, p. 67) for crops most sensitive to boron in irrigation water. All other water samples for which boron was analyzed contained the element in amounts below this limit.

Potential for development of additional water supplies

Additional water supplies could be developed from both surfaceand ground-water sources in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area. The potential for development of surface water, however, is relatively slight. Water could be supplied to locations on the desert floor and lower mountain slopes by pipelines constructed to divert flow from headwater reaches of streams, such as Indian and Lee Creeks in the Simpson Mountains, where perennial flow is sustained by ground-water discharge. The quantity of water that could be diverted would be small but adequate for dependable livestock supplies at numerous locations.

Many springs, especially in the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains, could be improved to provide additional water. Installation of underground collector systems in some spring-discharge areas would capture water now being consumed by evapotranspiration and make it available for use locally or for diversion to other locations. Other springs could be improved by simply diverting the flow by pipeline, thus capturing water presently being lost by evapotranspiration.

Additional wells could be constructed to obtain water from the valley fill. Yields of 10 gal/min (0.6 L/s) or more probably could be obtained from properly constructed wells nearly anywhere in the Government Creek area and the Old River Bed, or on the alluvial slopes on the western side of the Simpson Mountains. The most promising areas, however, are near Government Creek in T. 9 S., R. 7 W., and in the Old River Bed in Tps. 9 and 10 S., Rs. 9 and 10 W.

22

Because the chemical quality of ground water in the area is variable, the quality requirements of the intended use should be considered in locating potential well sites. Wells at most locations on the desert floor would yield water of acceptable chemical quality for livestock, but the quality would not be suitable for domestic or irrigation use without treatment.

The principal long-term effect of increased consumptive use of water on the hydrologic system in the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area would be a reduction in the quantity of subsurface outflow to the Great Salt Lake Desert. Diversions from springs and perennial reaches of streams in the mountains would have little net effect on the system-only the place of consumption would be changed.

SUMMARY AND NEEDS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

An estimated 380,000 acre-ft (470 hm³) of precipitation falls annually on the Dugway Valley-Government Creek area. Annual runoff to the Great Salt Lake Desert, to which the area drains, is negligible. Streams in the area are ephemeral, except for a short reach of Government Creek where the channel intersects the water table and short headwater segments of a few streams in the Simpson and Sheeprock Mountains where perennial springs sustain streamflow. Stream diversions for irrigation are minor, and reservoirs only occasionally intercept and store local runoff for use by livestock.

Annual ground-water recharge from local precipitation is estimated to average about 7,000 acre-ft (8.6 hm^3). Principal recharge areas are in the coarse alluvial deposits above 6,000 ft (1,830 m) in altitude. Ground-water inflow to Dugway Valley from the Sevier Desert drainage is estimated to average about 5,000 acre-ft (6.2 hm^3) annually.

The total volume of ground water in storage in the area is unknown. It is estimated, however, that about 3.8 million acre-ft $(4,700 \text{ hm}^3)$ might be recovered by gravity drainage to wells if the upper 100 ft (30 m) of saturated material were dewatered.

Discharge of ground water by wells probably averages no more than $300 \text{ acre-ft} (0.4 \text{ hm}^3)$ annually. Springs discharge an estimated 5,000 acre-ft (6.2 hm³) of water annually, but about one-half of this amount probably returns to the ground-water reservoir by infiltration in streambeds. An estimated 8,000 acre-ft (10 hm³) of ground water is discharged annually from the area by subsurface outflow to the Great Salt Lake Desert.

Most wells in the area are completed in valley fill, and well yields up to 400 gal/min (25 L/s) are reported. Most springs are in the mountains and have yields of less than 100 gal/min (6 L/s), although yields up to 2,000 gal/min (126 L/s) are reported from springs issuing from carbonate rocks in the Simpson Mountains. The chemical quality of water in the area is extremely variable. Streamflow in the upper Government Creek area generally contains less than 500 mg/L of dissolved solids and is calcium bicarbonate type water, whereas wells along the eastern base of the Dugway Range discharge water containing more than 5,000 mg/L of dissolved solids in which sodium and chloride are the predominant ions. Most of the water in the area is satisfactory for livestock and, except for western and northern Dugway Valley, the water is generally satisfactory for irrigation.

Before extensive development of the water resources of the area is undertaken, additional hydrologic data are needed to refine the estimates made in this reconnaissance and to provide a basis for planning. The most significant data deficiencies would be met by a program that included:

- 1. Exploratory drilling and investigations of water quality in the valley fill, especially in western and northern Dugway Valley. Geologic and hydrologic conditions need to be defined in order to understand and evaluate the extent and significance of the saline water found in this area.
- 2. Aquifer tests and drilling in the upper part of the Government Creek area and in the Old River Bed. Detailed knowledge of geohydrologic conditions is needed to better evaluate ground-water quantity, quality, and availability in these two areas that appear to have the greatest potential for additional development of the resource.

REFERENCES CITED

- Crittenden, M. D., Jr., 1963, New data on the isostatic deformation of Lake Bonneville: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 454-E.
- Gilbert, G. K., 1890, Lake Bonneville: U.S. Geol. Survey Mon. 1.
- Hem, J. D., 1970, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water (2d ed.): U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1473.
- Mower, R. W., and Feltis, R. D., 1968, Ground-water hydrology of the Sevier Desert, Utah: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1854.
- Staatz, M. H., and Carr, W. J., 1964, Geology and mineral deposits of the Thomas and Dugway Ranges, Juab and Tooele Counties, Utah: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 415.
- Stephens, J. C., 1974, Hydrologic reconnaissance of the northern Great Salt Lake Desert and summary hydrologic reconnaissance of northwestern Utah: Utah Dept. Nat. Resources Tech. Pub. 42.
- Stiff, H. A., Jr., 1951, The interpretation of chemical water analysis by means of patterns: Jour. Petroleum Technology, v. 3, no. 10, p. 15-17.

Stokes, W. L., ed., 1964, Geologic map of Utah, scale 1:250,000: Utah Univ.

- U.S. Environmental Science Services Administration, Environmental Data Service, 1967-70, Climatologic data, Utah, 1966-69: v. 68-71, no. 13.
- U.S. Geol. Survey, 1974: Surface water supply of the United States, 1966-70, part 10, the Great Basin: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 2127.
- U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Data Service, 1971-76, Climatologic data, Utah, 1970-75: v. 72-77, no. 13.¹
- U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954, Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkalai soils: U.S. Dept. Agriculture Handb. 60.
- U.S. Weather Bureau, 1937, Climatic summary of the United States, climatic data from the establishment of stations to 1930, inclusive; section 20, western Utah.
- _____1957, Climatic summary of the United States Supplement for 1931 through 1952, Utah: Climatography of the United States No. 11-37.

1962-66, Climatologic data, Utah, 1961-65: v. 63-67, no. 13.²

(no date), Normal annual and May-September precipitation (1931-60) for the State of Utah: Map of Utah, scale 1:500,000.

- Waddell, K. M., 1967, Reconnaissance of the chemical quality of water in western Utah, part 1, Sink Valley area, drainage basins of Skull, Rush, and Government Creek Valleys, and the Dugway-Old River Bed area: Utah Geol. and Mineralog. Survey Water-Resources Bull. 9.
- Webster, D. A., and Dunagan, D., 1970, Compilation of basic data for watersupply exploration and development on the public domain under the Soil and Moisture Conservation Program, 1941-67: U.S. Bur. Land Management open-file release.

¹See references to U.S. Weather Bureau for data published prior to 1967.

²See references to U.S. Environmental Science Services Administration and U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for data published after 1966.

Table 6.--Records of wells

Location: See section on mumbering system for hydrologic-data sites. Owner and local name (in parentheses): DPG, Dupyey Proving Ground; US BUM, U.S. Bureau of Land Management; FERA, Federal Emergency Rehabilitation Administration. Alitiva of vater: See table 2 for explanation of symbols and description of lithologic units. Geologic source of water: See table 2 for explanation of symbols and description of lithologic units. Method of lift: N, mome; P, pitton pump; T, turbine pump. Yield: Rate - Reported except an manufed, and e, estimated, by U.S. Geological Survey personnel. Water level. Method of lutte: I, trigation; S, stock; T, institutional; U, unused. Remarks and other data available: C, chemical-quality data in table 9; L, driller's log in table 8; SpC, reported specific capacity in gallons per minute per foot of drawdown at yield rate given.

	1		Altitude	Depth	Geologic		Depth	1			Y	1eld			
. Location	Owner and local name	Year con- structed	of land surface datum (ft)	of well (ft)	source of water	Casing diameter (in.)	of perforates interval (ft)	d Depth (ft)	level Date	Hethod of lift	Rate (gal/ min)	Date	Use of water	Water temperature (°C)	Remarks and other data available
(C-7-9)32ccd-1	US Army (DPG Well 5)	1944	4,359	355	QTu	10	320-355	26	6-19-44	т	300	9-29-64	т	14.0	SpC 22.2 at 200 gal/ min on 6-19-44; C, L.
(C-7-10)25ccd-1 25ccd-2	US Army (DPG Well 1) US Army (DPG Well 28)	1935 1959	4,339 4,339	317 336	QTu QTu	8,6 16,12	290-317 280-333	3.49 6	11- 2-39 459	T T	180 130	10- 6-54 9-29-64	Ť T	13.5 13.5	C, L. Drilled to 343 ft, plugged back to 336 ft; SpC 29.1 at 335 gal/ min, 17.7 at 530 gal/ min during testing; C. L.
25cdd-1	US Army (DPG Well 2)	1942	4,341	328	QTu	10,6	160-328	6.83m	9-30-43	T	170	9-15-60	т	13.5	С, L.
36bab-1	US Army (DPG Well 3)	1945	4,341	330	QTu	12,10	300-3301	12	1045	т	330	9-29-65	т	14.0	с. г.
(C-7-11)25ccb-1	US Armay (DPG Well 15)	1950	4,307	444	QTu	-	-	-	-	N	-	-	U	-	Well abandoned because
26daa-1	US Army (DPG Well 17)	1951	4,310	320	QTu	6	288-320 ²	+1.5	4- 6-51		162	4- 6-51	-		of brackish water; L. Drilled to 1,003 ft, plugged back to 320 ft;
26dad-1	US Army (DPG Well 16)	1951	4,310	292	QTu	12	270-290	+1.5	3-11-51	-	75 '	11- 3-53	-	21.0	SpC 3.8 at 400 gal/min
(C-7-12)5cab-1	US Army (DPG Well 11)	1944	4,282	315	QTu	12	295-315	20	944	N		-	U		Abandoned because of
(C-7-13)34adc-1	US Army (DPG Well 10)	1944	4,350	155	QTu	12	135-155	70.45m	8-15-44	-	172	10- 3-59	т	18.5	saline water. SpC 20 at 240 gal/min
(C-8-7)30dbb-1	US BLM	1947	5,150	490	QTu	8	-	292	853	N	21	1947	U		on 8-15-44; C, L. Abandoned; L.
(C-8-9)5bba-1	US Army (DPG Well 4)	1942	4,357	170	QTu	10,6	150-170	288,85m 20	9-17-56 842	т	25	11- 4-53	т	13.0	с. Г.
(C-8-10)10dda-1	US Army (DPG Well 7)	1942	4,370	120	QTu and	8	100-120	60	10- 8 -42	•	13	9-11-56	-	12.0	с, ь.
17abc-1 17baa-1	US Armmy (DPG Well 9) US Armmy (DPG Well 8)	1943 1943	4,339 4,336	35 230	Pzc Pzc QTu	8 8,6	(*) 210-230	24.69m 20.66m	6- 6-51 6- 6-51	N N	:	:	ບ ບ	:	L. Water reported saline; L.
(C-8-11)17dbc-1	US Army (DPG Well 25)	1955	4,320	193	QTu	10	72-168	19.54m	11- 1-55	N	-		U	-	Water was used for high- way construction; re- portedly not potable; L.
(C-9-7)7aac-1	James Ranch	-	5,350	(5)	-	6		-	-	P	-	-	υ	-	. , ,
(C-9-8)18bac-1 18bac-2	US Army (DPG Well 14) US Army (DPG Well 13)	1943 1943	4,820 4,820	75 75	Qag Qag	8 8	55-75 55-75	50 50	4- 9-43 8- 2-43	N N	:	:	U U	:	Destroyed; L. Do.
(C-9-9)13aba-1	US Army (DPG Well 12)	1945	4,600	520	QTu	10,8, 6,4	480-520	150	7-14-45	•	-	-	-	-	L.
(C-9-11)1ddb-1	US Army (DPG Well 22)	1954	4,395	210	QTu	8	100-200	86,31m	11- 1-55	N	245e	9-24-64	U	15.5	Water was used for high- way construction; C, L.
32dda-1	US BLM (Fandangle Well)	1952	4,338 4,480	200	QTu QTu	10 8,6	169-202	30 170	954 4-18-52	P	- 8m	12-29-65	s	19.5	L. C, L.
(C-9-12)ldac-1 9aaa-1	US Army (DPG Well 23) US BLM (FERA Well 52)	1954 1935	4,316	210	QTu OTu	10	-	14	954	-	-		-		L.
25cba-1	Shell 011 Co.	1969	4,458	307	QTu	8	183-300	160	10-10-69	N	50	10-10-69	-		L. L.
(C-10-9)4bda-1	US BLM (FERA Well 36)	1935	4,525	555	QTu	8,6		189.40m	3- 1-76	N	30	235	υ		Observation well: L
8ccc-1	US BLM (Riverbed Trail Well)	1957	4,407	130	QTu	-	-	80	1957	т	75e	12-24-64	s	15.5	с.
21acc-1	C. A. Snarr	1964	4,427	127	QTu	16	51-98	50	3- 9-64	т	40	3- 9-64	I	-	Drilled to 159 ft, plug- ged back to 127 ft; C. L.
(C-10-10)2dcc-1	fenn Bros.	1973	4,425	235	QTu	16	105-215,	98	10- 6-73	r	300	LO- 6-73	I	18.0	С, L.
2 dd c - 1	do.	1973	4,430	375	QTu	16	220-235 ⁶ 120-265,	109.28m	8-25-75	т	300	7-18-73	I	21.0	ι.
31bbb-1	US BLM (FERA Well 38)	1935	4,524	551	QTu	8,6	271-3757	190	3- 5-35	P	15e 1	2-29-65	s	24.5	C, L.
(C-11-11)12aba-1	US BLM (Dugway Topaz Well)	1949	4,602	306	QTu	6	-	273.68m 273.52m	3-19-65 10- 9-69	-	18 1	1-28-49	s	(8)	Used as observation well 1965-69; C, L,

¹ Open hole 320-330 ft, ² Open hole 300-320 ft, ¹ Yield 12,5 gal/ain by flow reported 3-11-51. ⁴ Open-end casing, nor perforated, ⁴ Unable to reach bottom at 201 ft on 5-6-76. ⁶ Open hole 220-233 ft, ⁷ Open hole 271-375 ft, ⁸ Reported estimated temperature 49.0°C (Stantz and Carr, 1964, p. 5)

,

Table 7.--Records of springs

(All springs supply water for livestock and wildlife.)

Acation: See section on numbering system for hydrologic-data sites. Owner and local name (in parentheses): US BLM, U.S. Bureau of Land Management; USFS, U.S. Forest Service. Altitude of land surface: Feet above mean sea level, interpolated from U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps. Geologic source of water: See table 2 for explanation of symbols and description of lithologic units. Discharge: Estimated, except r, reported and m, measured; <, less than. Remarks and other data available: C, chemical-quality data in table 9; K, specific conductance, in micromhos per centimeter at 25°C.

					Discharge		
Location	Owner and local name	Altitude of land surface (ft)	Geologic source of water	Rate (gal/min)	Water temperature (°C)	Date of observation	Remarks and other data available
(C-5-10)34cba- S1, S2, S3	US Army (Cane Springs)	5,780-5,820	Te	<1	10.5	5-12-76	Improved spring with pipeline to stock troughs; C.
(C-6-10)4ddb-S1	US Army (Bitter Spring)	5,055	Te	<1	-	•	
5 aaa-S1,S ;	2 US Army (Orr Springs)	5,090-5,145	Te	<1	-	-	
(C-8-13)3dad-S1	US Army (Stagecoach Canyon	4,870	pCg	10r		3-28-50	Improved spring; C.
(C-9-6)19000-51	Spring)	6 080	Bre	30	13.5	5-12-76	
(0.9.0)19000-51	0010	0,980	ray	-	-	-	
31bba-S1	USFS (Middle Canyon Spring)	7,240	QTu	÷	-	-	
31cbd-S1	da	7,210	QTu	(1)	-	-	Flow diverted from Sevier Desert drainage to Government Creek drainage; used for irrigation and stock.
31dda-51	do	7,320	pCu pCu	(.)	-	-	Do.
(C-9-7)11cdd-51	USES (Copper Spring)	6 560	Pcu		-	3-30-65	Do,
(0) //1200 01	bito (copper opring)	0,500	124	1		5 50 65	improved spring with pipeline to storage tanks; C.
25666-S1	USFS	6,470	QTu	•	-	-	Improved spring with pipeline to storage
25dda-51	do	7.080	OTu	-	-	-	Lanka.
28bc-S	-	5,700	QTu	1.5	18.0	7-17-64	In channel of Government Creek; C.
28cac-S1	-	5.770	OTu	40	18.0	7-17-64	Formerly demostic oursin for months (
28cac-52	-	5,770	QTu	5	9.5	5- 6-76	Issues from coarse white sand in bottom of headbox; piped to downstream ranch for domestic, stock, and irrigation use;
30cad-S1	-	6,060	Te	-	-	-	In channel of Lee Creek.
30cda-51	-	6.080	Te	_	_		P-
31dbb-S1	US BLM	6,310	Te	.2	26.0	7-15-64	C
33bda-S1	-	5,540	QTu			-	In channel of Government Creek
35b-S	Wright Ranch	6,200	QTu	100	19.0	7-20-64	Used for irrigation and stock: C.
36daa-S1	USFS (Mud Spring)	7,020	QTu	-	-	-	
(C-9-8)15dbc-S1	US BLM (Winter Springs-west)	6,000	pCu	(2)	-	-	Improved spring with pipeline to tank at (C-8-8)35aac C.
15dbd-S1	US BLM (Winter Springs-east)	6,030	Те	(²)	-	-	Do.
I8adb-S1	US BLM (Simpson Springs-north)	5,070	Pzq	4 m	13.0	12-29-65	Improved spring; supplies public camp- ground; formerly supplied Pon; Express station: C.
18adc-51	US BLM (Simpson Springs-south)	5,100	Pzq	-	-	-	с.
35abd-51	OS BLM	6,780	pCu	-		-	
36dbd-S1	State of Utah	6,420	Pzq		-	-	
(C-10-6)6dbb-S1	USFS	7,300	pCu	(1)1	-	-	Flow diverted from Sevier Desert drainage to Government Creek drainage; used for irrigation and stock.
(U-1U-7)5c-5	US BLM		Te	-	-	•	с.
8cad-51	US BLM (Cherry Springs-west)	6,490	Pzc	1		7-17-64	с.
orde or	oo man (cherry springs-east)	0,400	Ie	40	10.0	/-1/-64	с.
17a-S	US BLM	6,400	Te	.5	15.0	8-19-64	Improved entings C
17bab-S1	do	6,555	Te	7.5	•	7-17-64	C.
(C-10-8)1bdb-S1	do	6,670	Pzc	-	-	-	
2dba-S1	State of Utah	6,900	Pzq	100	9.5	7-15-64	с.
2404-01	" (Indian Springs-east)	6,680	Pzc	(3)	16.0	9-15-65	с.
3abb-S1	- (Indian Springs-west)	6 580	Pac	(1)		0.15.75	
4abb-51	US BLM	6,050	Pzc	35	10.5	7-20-64	· · ·
5dba-S1,S2	US BLM (Coyote Springs-north)	5,710-5,740	Pzq	250		1955	·.
5dbd-81	US BLM (Coyote Springs-south)	5,710	Pzq		-		
(G-10-11)27cbd-S1	US BLM (Straight Canyon Spring)	5,620	Pzc	.03m	-	9- 8-56	
(C-11-10)34dcd-S1	US BLM (Flint Spring)	5,250	Те	-	-	-	Improved spring with pipeline to storage
(C-12-9)8bbc-S1	US BLM (Keg Spring)	5,790	Te	-	-	-	Improved spring with pipeline to storage
(C-12-10)23bbc-S1	US BLM	5,600	Te	-	-	-	tank; C.

¹ Combined flow of four springs estimated 75 gal/min in diversion ditch on drainage divide at (C-10-7)ldaa on 5-6-76; K=390.
² Combined flow of two springs reported 3 gal/min on 12-29-65,
³ Combined flow of two springs estimated 2,000 gal/min on 9-15-65,

.

.

Well-numbering system described in text. Altitudes are in feet above mean sea level for the land surface at the well.

Material	Thickness (ft)	Depth (ft)	Material	Thickne (ft)	ess Depth (ft)	Material	Thicknes (ft)	B Depth (ft)
(C-7-9)32ccd-1. Log by T. L. Coates. Alt. 4,359.	<u> </u>	50	(C-7-11)26dam-1 - Continued Sand and gravel	. 5	44	(C-8-10)17bas-1. Log by T. L. Coates Alt. 4,336.	. 35	35
Gravel,	40	90	Sand and gravel	. 15	63	Sand.	. 10	45
Gravel and clay	45	135	Clay and cand	. 7	70	Clay, blue	. 10	122
Clay	5	175	Sand, coarse, and gravel	. 33	121	Clay, blue	. 38	160
Gravel	145	320	Clay, soft, and sand	. 15	136	Sand; saity water	. 70	230
Gravel	25	355	Clay, soft, sandy	. 5	166	(C-8-11)17dbc-1. Log by J. S. Lee and	4	
(C=7-10)25ccd=1. Log by H. M. Robinson.			Clay, blue, hard	. 11	201	Clay, sandy	. 10	10
Alt. 4,339.			Clay, sandy	. 6	207	Clay, gray.	. 11	21
Surface material.	3 15	18	Clay and fine gravel.	. 40	257	Clay, gray	. 15	72
Sand	2	20	Gravel.	. 16	273	Sand and gravel; water	. 17	89
Clay, blue	70	160	Sand, coarse; water	. 26	304	Conglomerate; water	. 5	105
Sand	5	165	Clay, sandy	. 13	317	Clay, red	. 27	132
Clay, brown	32	242	Clay, sandy	. 40	360	Clay, green	. 25	167
Clay, blue	48 27	290	Clay, hardman, and some gravel	. 4	364 382	Sand and gravel; water	. 1	168
	-		Clay and gravel	. 230	612	(C. 9. 8) 18haa-1 Log by T. J. Coston		
<u>(C-7-10)25ccd-2</u> . Log by J. S. Lee and Sons Drilling Co. Alt. 4,339.			Clay and gravel ,	. 30	712	Alt. 4,820.		
Clay	4	4	Clay, hard,	. 6	718	Gravel and boulders; water at 50 ft .	. 75	75
Sand and clay; salty water at 19 ft	12	19	Clay, hard.	. 66	786	(C-9-8)18bac-2. Log by T. L. Coates.		
Clay, brown, sandy; salty water	71 58	90	Clay and gravel	. 106	892 900	Alt. 4,820. Gravel and boulders: water at 50 ft .	. 75	75
Clay, brown	10	158	Clay and gravel	41	941	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sand and some clay; water at 160 ft Sand fine loose	42	200	Clay, very hard	. 3	944 951	<u>(C-9-9)13aba-1</u> . Log by T. L. Coates. Alt. 4.600.		
Clay, sandy	22	232	Clay, hard	. 8	959	Sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders .	. 328	328
Clay, sand, and gravel	23 47	255 302	Clay, soft	. 11	970 990	Clay and coarse gravel	. 152	480 490
Sand and gravel; water	5	307	Clay, soft	. 13	1,003	Sand; water	. 30	520
Sand and gravel: water	32	311 343	Depth of finished well 320 ft			(C-9-11)1dbb-1. Log by J. S. Lee and		
Depth of finished well 336 ft			(C-7-11)26dad-1. Log by Robinson			Sons Drilling Co. Alt. 4,349.	2	2
(C-7-10)25cdd-1. Log by T. L. Coates.			Clay, gray.	. 20	20	Sand	23	25
Alt. 4,341.	5.5	55	Sand and fine gravel	. 12	32	Clay	. 58	83
Clay and sand	11	66	Sand	. 34	74	Gravel; water	. 72	170
Clay, blue,	94	160	Sand and fine gravel	. 26	100	Clay, sandy	. 10	180 190
Clay, blue	12	180	Clay, blue	. 15	135	Gravel; water	. 15	205
Clay and sand	55 93	235 328	Sand and coarse gravel	. 15	150	Clay, blue		210
			Clay, blue	. 20	175	(C-9-11)16add-1. Log by J. S. Lee and	I	
<u>(C-7-10)36bab-1</u> . Log by T. L. Coates. Alt. 4.341.			Sand	. 22	215	Clay, gray-to-brown, silty	. 40	40
Clay, yellow; salty water at 60 ft	70	70	Clay, blue, sand, and gravel	. 40	255	Sand, medium, and fine gravel	. 20	60
Clay, blue	45	160	Clay, red, sand, and gravel	. 10	285	Clay, silty, "sticky"	. 10	110
Sand; water	9	169	Sand, fine, and gravel	. 7	292	Clay, tan, medium sandy	. 10	120
Clay, sandy	58	248	(C-7-13)34adc-1. Log by Robinson			Clay, tan-brown, fine sandy	. 10	140
Gravel, brown; water	82	330	Drilling Co. Alt. 4,350.	-	-	Clay, fine sand, and fine gravel	. 20	160
(C-7-11)25ccb-1. Log by Robinson			Clay, sand, and small boulders	. 75	82	,,,,		200
Drilling Co. Alt. 4,307.	10	10	Clay, sand, and larger boulders; water Clay, sand, and boulders.	. 3	85	(C-9-11)32dda-1. Log by L. E. Hale. Alt. 4.480.		
Sand	55	65	Clay, sand, and boulders, cemented	35	145	Gravel	. 55	55
Clay, blue	10 40	75	Bedrock, granitic	. 10	155	Hardpan	. 3 . 24	58 82
Clay, blue	15	130	(C-8-7)30dbb-1. Log by L. E. Hale			Sand	. 9	91 160
Clay, blue	18	175	Clay	. 36	36	Hardpan	. 4	164
Sand and fine gravel	17 43	192 235	Gravel	· 2 · 262	38 300	Gravel	. 6	170
Clay, red	8	243	Hardpan; water.	. 1	301	Sand and gravel; water	. 30	202
Sand and fine black gravel; fresh water Sand, fine, loose	20	265	Clay, red, and sand; gravel at 490 ft	. 189	490	(C-9-12) Idac-1. Log by J. S. Lee and		
Clay, red	5	270	(C-8-9)5bba-1. Log by T. L. Coates.			Sons Drilling Co. Alt. 4,316.	28	28
Clay, blue and brown, with sand and	20	290	Clay, yellow	. 50	50	Clay, brown, sandy	. 12	40
gravel	13	303	Gravel.	. 15	65	Sand, fine-to-coarse, and fine gravel	. 20	60 70
Sand, fine	10	320	Clay, blue	. 45	135	Clay, blue-gray, silty, "sticky"	. 10	80
Clay, blue	2 29	322 351	Sand, white, and shells	. 20	155	Sand, medium~coarse, and fine gravel. Sand, fine, and very fine gravel	. 10	100
Clay, blue	39	390	Gravel; water	. 10	170	Sand, medium-coarse, and fine gravel.	. 10	110
Clay, blue	35	392 427	(C-8-10)10dda-1. Log by T. L. Coates.			Sand and medium-coarse gravel	. 10	140
Gravel, fine to coarse	6	433	Alt. 4,370.	/ E	2 E	Clay, gray, silty, "sticky"	. 10	150
oray, blue, sandy			Sand; water	. 40	105	Sand and fine gravel	. 20	200
(C-7-11)26daa-1. Log by Robinson Drilling Co. Alt. 4.310.			Rock, black (Upper Cambrian carbonates undifferentiated): water.	, 15	120	Clay, gray, and medium sand	. 10	210
Clay, sandy	8	8				(C-9-12)9aaa-1. Log by Robinson		
Gravel,	5	18	Alt. 4,339.			Clay, yellow, soft	. 8	8
Gravel, small	19	37	Clay; hard rock (Upper Cambrian carbor ates undifferentiated): water at	-		Clay, brown	. 4	12
stay, while, barry is a state of a state of	*	.,	35 ft	. 35	35		,	2.5
			l de la constante de					

.

Table 8.--Selected drillers' logs of wells--Continued

Material	Thickness (ft)	Depth (ft)	Material	Thickness (ft)	Depth (ft)	Material Thickn (ft)	ess	Depth (ft)
(6-9-12)9aaa-z Continued	. 16	51	(C-10-9)21acc-1. Log by E. Comer. Alt. 4,427.			(C-10-10)2ddc-1. ~ Continued Clay		205
Sand unsorged, clean	. 8	59	Clay, tan	. 34	34	Sand and boulder conglomerate;		
Clay, yellow, soft	. 16	75	Clay, tan, and gravel	. 50	84	hardpan 5		210
Send, fine, loose	. 10	85	Clay, tan, sand, and gravel; water	. 14	98	Clay, brown 10		220
Sand, very fine, clean	. 37	122	Clay, tan	. 7	105	Clay, blue		350
Clay, light-gray, soft	. 6	128	Clay, gray	. 22	127	Clay and sand 20		370
Sand, fine, and gravel	. 87	215	Clay, variegated blue, gray, tan, and			Clay, blue 5		375
Clay, green	. 46	261	red	. 32	159			
Sand, very fine, loose	. 51	312	Depth of finished well 127 ft.			(C-10-10)31bbb-1. Log by Coxe and		
Sand and gravel, loose	. 38	350				Clarkson Drilling Co. Alt. 4,524.		
Sand, loose	. 48	398	(C-10-10)2dcc-1. Log by G. Mosely.			Clay, light-brown		85
Clay, gray, soft	. 9	407	Alt. 4,425.			Gravel; dry		120
			Silt	. 80	80	Sand, loose 20		140
(C-9-12)25cba-1. Log by Jensen Drilli	ng		Clay	. 5	85	Clay, brown, soft 55		195
Co. Alt. 4,458.			Sand and boulders	. 5	90	Gravel and boulders; dry 35		230
Gravel, cobbles, and boulders	. 98	98	Clay, conglomertic	. 5	95	Clay, white		243
Clay and gravel	. 17	115	Sand, gravel, and boulders; water	. 10	105	Clay, red sand, and gravel 152		395
Clay, gravel, and boulders	. 68	183	Clay and gravel	. 5	110	Gravel, coarse; water 80		475
Sand and gravel: water	. 45	228	Clav	. 15	125	Sandstone, red 8		483
Clay and gravel	. 12	240	Clay, blue; water	. 2	127	Clay, dark-blue		495
Gravel: water	20	260	Sand and boulders: water	. 41	168	Gravel, coarse; water 56		551
Clay and gravel	. 25	285	Sand, fine, cemented,	20	188			
Gravel water	. 25	310	Hardpan	. 17	205	(C-11-11)12aba-1. Log by J. P. Feighny.		
Depth of finished well 307 ft			Sand and boulders water	10	215	Alt. 4,602.		
Septem of finituded well 507 fer			Clay blue	20	235	Clav		16
(C-10-9)/bdaal log by Cove and Clark	e.o.n		oluj, oluci :	• 10	2.55	Gravel		68
Drilling Co. Alt 4 525	5011		(C-10-10)2ddc-1 Log by C Mosely			Clav		112
Clay yellow loamy	10	10	Alt. 4 430.			Clay and gravel		160
Clay blue and vellow sandy	165	175	Silt.	80	80	Gravel and boulders		187
Gravel coarse dry	25	200	Sand and gravel	. 5	85	Clay and gravel		216
Clay brown sandy and grave!	30	230	Sand and houlders	• ;	90	Boulders		242
Gravel coarse dry	35	265	Clay and sandy clay	. 10	100	Clav		272
Clay sand and gravel; alternating		205	Clay and coarse gravel	. 15	115	Boulders		287
lavers.	190	455	Sand and houlders: water	. 15	135	Sand and gravel water.		290
Sandstone red	10	465	Sand water		150	Boulders: water		294
Clay and gravel conglomorate	. 10 60	525	Clay "sticky"		155	Gravel water 12		106
Gravel uster		555	Clay sand and coarse gravel; water		195			500
		222	,, andunite Brater, water,		* / /			

[Analyses by U.S. Geolog Source: Name listed for surface-water sources; hydrogeologic-unit symbol listed for ground-water sources. See table 2 for explanation of symbols and description of lithologic units. Dissolved sodium and dissolved potassium: Where no value is given for potassium, sodium plus potassium values are reported as sodium.

Table 9.--Chemical-quality data [Analyses by U.S. Geological

<u> </u>			T	L					Millig	rama p	er li	ter						
Location	Date of collection	Source	Temperature (°C)	Dissolved silica (SiO ₂)	Dissolved calcium (Ca)	Dissolved magnesium (Mg)	Dissolved sodium (Na)	Dissolved potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO3)	Carbonate (CO3)	Dissolved sulfate (SO4)	Dissolved chloride (Cl)	Dissolved fluoride (F)	Dissolved nitrate (NO3)	Dissolved phosphate (PO4)	Dissolved boron (B)	Dissolved iron (Fe)	Dissolved manganese (Mm)
																	ST	REAMS
(C-9-7) 7dac-1	7-15-64	Lee Creek ¹	18.5	13	45	21	48	0.9	231	0	30	62	0.1	0.1	0.30	50	370	10
28bc-1	3-30-65 7-17-64	Government Creek	8.5 28.5	12 16	63 42	22 16	44 54	1.1	288 182	0	30 26	58 81	.3 .3	.4	- 25	50 60	120 220	20 10
(C-10-7) ldsa-1 (C-10-8)	5- 6-76	Diversion ditch ²	16.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1bd-1	7-15-64	Lee Creek	21.5	13	54	18	45	1.2	244	0	30	56	.0	•2	-	60	470	240
																	RESER	VOIRS
(C-9-9) 28dac-1	7-28-64	South Simpson Reservoir	19.5	25	29	15	341	25	468	0	50	325	0.5	2.5		560	100	40
21ddb-1 (C-10-10)	9- 1-64	(3)	13.5	13	13	12	448	21	664	0	161	285	2.2	.7	-	1,100	1,400	10
23ca-1	7-28-64	North Table Moun- tain Reservoir	21.5	20	33	10	137	29	365	0	19	99	.3	1.9	-	310	170	0
(C-11-10) Sabb-1	7-2 8-6 4	East Dugway Reser- voir	23.5	33	36	10	121	11	194	0	173	43	.8	.3	.06	460	440	40
																	T.	ELLS
(C-7-9) 32ccd-1"	12- 4-45 12-12-55	QTu	13.0	47 56	49 44	29 29	122 130	8.6	223 224	- 0	50 48	190 198	0.3	3.7 5.5	:	:	10	-
(C-7-10)	9-29-64	0.	14.0	44	48	27	131		226	0	51	194	.4	4.7	•	-	-	-
25ccd-2*	10- 6-54	QTu QTu	13.5	50 45 48	43 38 34	21	288 300 323	11	255 261 261	0	95	360	.3	5.8	:	-	10	-
25cdd-1*	9-29-64 10-15-52	oTu	13.5	40 42 44	34 27	19 15	326	12	262	0	90 87	395	.2	5.5	-	-	-	-
36bab-1"	9-15-60 12- 4-45	QTu	13.5	45 46	27 29	13 16	326 334	11	261 266	0 -	86 90	370 390	.6	6.0	-	-	-	-
	12-12-55 9-29-65		12.0 14.0	54 42	32 32	18 16	314 333	13	267 239	0 16	89 84	378 392	.4 .7	9.5 5.2	-	-	-	:
(C-7-11)	7-27-51	0 .			26	22	074	<i>.</i> .		•								
26dad-1	10-15-52	QTU QTU	-	31	25	16	9/4	41	162	0	52	1,450	.6	.4	-	-	70	0
200ad-1	7-31-51	QTu	-	56	34 33	22 19	694 682	30 31	151	0	83 81	1,050	.5	1.3	-	2	50 60	- 80
	11- 3-53		20.0	52 66	36	22	620	35	168	0	87 91	970 970	.5	.3 .3	-	-	80 50	0
34adc-1	3-28-50	QTu	-	20	72	26	514	13	242	0	142	760	3.2	1.7	-	80	-	
	10- 3-59 4- 3-75		18.5	16 19	147 93	44	739	6.9 7.0	239	0	240	1,180	4.0	7,1	-	-	40	0
(C-8-9) 5bba-1	11- 4-53	QTu	13.0	34	18	16	191	30	204	16	21	242	.7	.2	-	_	70	-
(C-8-10) 10dda-1	9-11-56	QTu and	12.0	49	59	38	178	19	231	0	70	325	.3	5.9	-	-	0	-
(C-9-11)		Pzc																
1ddb-1 32dda-1*6	9-24-64 2-24-64	QTu OTu	15.5	31 36	88 409	58 149	286	258	266	0	97 164	538 5 570	.4	.0	:	1 100	-	-
(C-10-9)	12-29-65		19.5	28	406	145	2,820	271	251	ō	158	5,520	2.0	3.6	.01	1,000	9,600	220
8ccc-1" 21acc-17	12-24-64 8- 8-71	QTu QTu	15.5	38 37	102 82	37 36	155 140	10 8.0	196 185	0 1.6	82 71	363 286	.5 .3	3.3 3.0	- .1	150 200	160 200	10 0
(C-10-10) 2dcc-1	9-18-74	QTu	18.0	38	87	38	250	24	205	0	92	490	.5	(8)	.09	210	160	10
31666-1"	3-31-65	QTu	24.5	19	105	34	1,080	99 109	188	0	62	1,890	2.0	.4	.03	360	-	-
(C-11-11) 12aba-1 ^{4 9}	12-24-64	QTu	-	45 28	176	53	1,680	137	200	0	95	2,960	2.1	8.2 6.3	.10	1,100 560	610 -	50 -
																	SPR	INGS
(C-5-10) 34cba-S ¹⁰ (C-8-13)	11-12-71	Te	-	55	130	33	140	11	314	0	70	360	0.2	(11)	-	-	20	40
3dad-S1 (C-9-7)	3-28-50	pCu	-	20	85	22	202	10	222	0	72	348	2.0	.6	-	80	50	-
11cdd-\$112	8-12-64 3-30-65	Pzq	-	5.5 12	56 59	18 18	43 39	.5	265 266	0 0	25 27	49 39	.1	1.4	-	90 50	-	0
28bc-S	7-17-64 3-30-65	QTu	18.0	23 23	85 86	26 26	68 67	2.0	343 346	0	30 36	113 106	.1	1.8	.00	120 80	90 160	0 30
28cac-S1 31dbb-S1	7-17-64 7-15-64	QTu Te	18.0 26.0	22 5.7	78 14	21 16	59 36	1.9	306 95	0 10	30 18	95 45	.3 .3	2.0 .1	-	100	110 550	30 10

	Mic	rograms p	er liter				r	Milligra	ms per lit	er –	r		<u> </u>	
	1			î			Hardness	as CaCOj	Dissolve	d solids	er		}	
Dissolved copper (Cu)	Dissolved lead (Pb)	Dissolved zinc (Zn)	Dissolved lithium (Li)	Dissolved strantium (S	Dissolved bromide (Br)	Dissolved iodide (I)	Calcium, magnesium	Noncarbonate	Residue on evapo- ration at 180°C	Sum of determined constituents	Specific conductance (micromhos per centime at 25°C)	Hq	Percent sodium	Sodium-adsorption ratio
										••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•			
0 20 10	0 10 0	90 50 120	0 0 0	0 100 0	100 200 200	10 10 20	200 248 171	11 11 22	327 381 325	335 374 330	585 648 572	8.0 8.0 8.0	34 28 40	1.5 1.2 1.8
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	390	-	-	-
0	0	80	0	0	100	20	210	10	338	339	587	7.7	32	1.4
20	40	70	0	1,000	400	140	134	0	1,070	1,050	1,750	7.6	82	13
30	10	160	0	100	600	280	82	0	1,330	1,290	2,120	8.1	90	21
0	10	80	0	0	300	50	124	0	545	530	874	7.8	65	5.4
0	20	130	0	0	200	120	133	0	529	525	749	7.6	64	4.6
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	242	59	664	601	1,070	7.8	52	3.4
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	232	40	619	611	1,020	8.0	55	3.8
:	-	-	-	-	-	-	194 182	0	1,020 997	989 1,000	1,750 1,740	7.5 7.5	76 77	7.5 9.7
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163 161	0	1,040	1,050	1,840	7.8	80 81	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129	0	1,010	1,010	1,730	7.9	83 84	12
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138 154 146	0	1,030	1,040 1,040 1,040	1,790 1,780 1,820	7.5 7.7 8.5	84 80 83	11 11 12
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	184	42	-	2.790	4 880	8.4	90	31
-	-	:	-	-	-	-	128 176	0	-	2,590	4,690	8.2	91 88	36 24
-	-	2	-	-	-	-	160 180	21 43	-	2,040	3,650	8.1 7.9	88 87	23 21
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	191	54	1,930	1,930	3,500	7.9	85	20
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	286 524	88 330	1,670 2,590	1,670 2,510	2,990 4,400	7.2	79 79	13
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	360	354	2,600	2,500	4,440 3,290	7.5	79 76	12
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	111	0	668	669	1,150	8.6	75	9.0
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	304	115	864	858	1,480	7.4	54	4.4
-	-	2 700	- - 2 800	-	-	-	458 1,640	240 1,400	1,240 9,970	1,230 9,680	1,940 15,900	7.7	58 76	5.8 31
40	0	40	0	1,300	1,000	50	406	245	999	890	1,550	7.6	45	3.3
0	0	0	-	-	-	-	352	-	-	788	1,360	8.2	53	3.2
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	370	210	-	1,130	2,050	7.7	57	5.6
20	40 90	770	900	2,500	3,300	- 180	400	246 240	3,480	3,390 3,400	5,980 6,230	7.4	82 81	23
-	-	-	2,200	7,700	6,600	350	658	454	5,470	5,280	9,030	8.2	81	28
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	460	200	1,060	954	1,740	7.5	45	2.8
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	302	120	-	871	1,560	7.5	58	-
0 20	10 ປ	-	0 0	0 0	100 100	30 20	214 220	0 2	338 337	331 331	576 563	7.6 7.8	30 28	1.3 1.1
0 10	0 50	80 60) 0	0 500	300 400	30 0	318 320	37 36	528 541	519 519	898 875	7.7 7.7	32 31	1.7 1.6
10 0	0	120 30	0 0	0	200 100	20 10	282 99	31 5	465 186	461 194	790 343	7.9 9.1	31 44	1.5 1.6

.

Table 9.--Chemical-quality data for

	[ł	iilligra	ams pe	r lit	er						
Location	Date of collection	Source	Temperature (°C)	Dissolved silica (SiO $_2$)	Dissolved calcium (Ca)	Dissolved magnesium (Mg)	Dissolved sodium (Na)	Dissolved potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	Carbonate (CO3)	Dissolved sulfate (SO4)	Dissolved chloride (Cl)	Dissolved fluoride (F)	Dissolved nitrate (NO3)	Dissolved phosphate ($P0_4$)	Dissolved boron (B)	Dissolved iron (Fe)	Dissolved manganese (Mn)
																	SPRIN	G S
(C-9-7) 35b-S (C-9-8)	7-20-64	QTu	19.0	14	50	8.0	28	2.8	189	0	17	38	0.1	0.3	-	60	110	10
15dbc-S1 and	7-20-64 3-31-65	pCu and	-	12 12	51 52	11 9.1	57 55	1.2 1.1	222 2 08	0 0	17 26	69 67	.1 .4	2.0 2.1	0.00	50 70	250 0	10 20
18adb-S1	7-30-64 2-21-73	Te Pzq	17.0	- 15 15	- 86 85	- 16 16	121 120	2.1 2.3	321 309	0 0.	- 34 34	- 182 180	- .2 .4	- .3 (14)	.01 - .03	100	680 320 30	10 10 0
18adc-S1	2-21-73	Pzq	-	13	90	18	140	2.0	334	0	44	200	, 3	(15)	.03	-	30	0
(C-10-7)	0 10 6/	m -	10.5	o /		17		•			• /							
JC-S 8cac-S1	8-12-04	Te Pzc	18.5	8.4	40 60	25	43	4.3	212	0	14 26	54	.2	.1	-	80 80	80	20
8cad-S1	7-17-64	Te	10.0	11	55	22	35	.9	266	õ	22	47	.0	1.0	-	20	80	10
17a-S	8-19-64	Te	15.0	16	61	13	48	.6	256	0	19	58	.2	1.1	-	60	820	90
17bab-Sl	7-17-64	Te	-	16	69	27	53	1.2	330	0	28	75	.4	.1	-	70	70	30
(C-10-8)																		
2dba-S1 3aba-S1	7-15-64 7-15-64	Pzq Pzc	9.5 15.0	16 11	70 51	27 19	46 30	.7 1.1	348 246	0 0	28 18	55 40	.3 .0	.2 2.4	-	70 20	120 230	50 40
3abb-S1 4 abb- S1	9-15-65 7-20-64	Pzc	16.0 10.5	5.6 13	38 77	19 28	33 46	1.2	192 360	8 0	19 39	40 54	3	·2	-	- 60	110	- 20
(C-11-10) 34dcd-S1	9- 1-64	Te	-	30	309	61	293	8.3	124	0	160	982	1.1	1.0	-	250	-	-
(C-12-9) 8bbc-S1 ⁹	7-30-64	Те	-	16	226	112	271	4.3	193	0	152	920	.1	1.1	-	190	-	-

¹Sample collected from irrigation pipeline; source of water from Lee Creek and tributary. See Waddell (1967, table 1) for additional analyses of samples from this pipeline system. ²Ditch carries water diverted from springs in Sheeprock Mountains in Sevier Desert drainage. ³Reservoir constructed in channel in Old River Bed. ⁴See Waddell (1967) for additional analyses. ⁵Dissolved nitrate (NO3) + nitrite (NO2) as N, 0.60 mg/L. ⁶Sample collected 12-24-64 from storage tank; sample collected 12-29-65 directly from pump discharge pipe. ⁷Analyses by Utah Division of Health; also included (in micrograms per liter): arsenic, 0; barium, 0; cadium, 0; selenium, 0; silver, 0. ⁸Dissolved nitrate (NO3) + nitrite (NO2) as N, 3.1 mg/L. ⁹Sample collected from storage tank. ¹⁰Sampling point unknown. Sample may be composite from three springs at location given. ¹¹Dissolved nitrate (NO3) + nitrite (NO2) as N, 0.00 mg/L. ¹²Samples collected from storage tank overflow at (C-8-8)35aac. ¹⁴Dissolved nitrate (NO3) + nitrite (NO2) as N, 0.24 mg/L. ¹⁵Dissolved nitrate (NO3) + nitrite (NO2) as N, 0.52 mg/L.

.

selected water sources--Continued

	Mici	ograms pe	r liter				· ·	Milligram	ns per lite	r			1	
				L)			Hardness	as CaCO3	Dissolve	d solids	ter			•
Dissolved copper (Cu)	Dissolved lead (Pb)	Dissolved zinc (2n)	Dissolved lithium (L1)	Dissolved strontium (S	Dissolved bromide (Br)	Dissolved iodide (I)	Calcium, magnesium	Noncarbonate	Residue on evapo- ration at 180°C	Sum of determined constituents	Specific conductance (micromhos per centime at 25°C)	Н	Percent sodium	Sodium-adsorption rati
Conti	Lnued													
0	0	80	0	0	100	70	158	3	264	252	421	7.6	27	1.0
20	0	2,000	0	0	200	50	172	0	324	333	581	7.3	42	1.9
30	10	1,100	0	0	300	20	168	0	352	328	573	7.4	41	1.8
40	20	1,600	0	200	400	80	280	- 17	620	617	1 090		-	- 1
-	-	70	-	_0	400		280	25	020	606	1,090	7.4	40 //B	2.1
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	25	-	674	1,200	7.4	50	3.5
0	0	10	0	0	100	80	164	0	286	283	492	7.9	36	1.5
0	10	70	0	0	200	20	254	5	379	384	664	7.6	27	1.2
0	0	40	0	0	100	20	228	10	318	326	566	7.8	25	1.0
0	10	-	0	0	200	40	204	11	345	345	288	7.9	34	1.5
U	Ū	80	0	U	200	10	202	11	428	435	746	/.4	29	1.4
0	30	50	0	0	200	20	284	o	409	416	698	7.6	26	1.2
U	U	60	U	U	100	20	207	2	293	295	520	8.0	24	.9
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176	5	246	257	492	8.4	29	1.1
10	U	170	U	U	100	10	308	13	426	437	732	7.7	24	1.1
-	-	-	0	2,200	1,000	160	1,020	921	-	1,910	3,370	7.4	38	4.0
	-	-	0	7.500	2.000	150	1.020	867	_	1 810	3 220	7 4	36	2 7

PUBLICATIONS OF THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS

(*)-Out of Print

TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

- *No. 1. Underground leakage from artesian wells in the Flowell area, near Fillmore, Utah, by Penn Livingston and G. B. Maxey, U.S. Geological Survey, 1944.
- No. 2. The Ogden Valley artesian reservoir, Weber County, Utah, by H. E. Thomas, U.S. Geological Survey, 1945.
- *No. 3. Ground water in Pavant Valley, Millard County, Utah, by P. E. Dennis, G. B. Maxey and H. E. Thomas, U.S. Geological Survey, 1946.
- *No. 4. Ground water in Tooele Valley, Tooele County, Utah, by H. E. Thomas, U.S. Geological Survey, in Utah State Eng. 25th Bienn. Rept., p. 91-238, pls. 1-6, 1946.
- *No. 5. Ground water in the East Shore area, Utah: Part I, Bountiful District, Davis County, Utah, by H. E. Thomas and W. B. Nelson, U.S. Geological Survey, in Utah State Eng. 26th Bienn. Rept., p. 53-206, pls. 1-2, 1948.
- *No. 6. Ground water in the Escalante Valley, Beaver, Iron, and Washington Counties, Utah, by P. F. Fix, W. B. Nelson, B. E. Lofgren, and R. G. Butler, U.S. Geological Survey, in Utah State Eng. 27th Bienn. Rept., p. 107-210, pls. 1-10, 1950.
- No. 7. Status of development of selected ground-water basins in Utah, by H. E. Thomas, W. B. Nelson, B. E. Lofgren, and R. G. Butler, U.S. Geological Survey, 1952.
- *No. 8. Consumptive use of water and irrigation requirements of crops in Utah, by C. O. Roskelly and W. D. Criddle, 1952.
- No. 8. (Revised) Consumptive use and water requirements for Utah, by W. D. Criddle, Karl Harris, and L. S. Willardson, 1962.
- No. 9. Progress report on selected ground water basins in Utah, by H. A. Waite, W. B. Nelson, and others, U.S. Geological Survey, 1954.
- *No. 10. A compilation of chemical quality data for ground and surface waters in Utah, by J. G. Connor, C. G. Mitchell, and others, U.S. Geological Survey, 1958.
- *No. 11. Ground water in northern Utah Valley, Utah: A progress report for the period 1948-63, by R. M. Cordova and Seymour Subitzky, U.S. Geological Survey, 1965.

- *No. 12. Reevaluation of the ground-water resources of Tooele Valley, Utah, by J. S. Gates, U.S. Geological Survey, 1965.
- *No. 13. Ground-water resources of selected basins in southwestern Utah, by G. W. Sandberg, U.S. Geological Survey, 1966.
- *No. 14. Water-resources appraisal of the Snake Valley area, Utah and Nevada, by J. W. Hood and F. E. Rush, U.S. Geological Survey, 1966.
- *No. 15. Water from bedrock in the Colorado Plateau of Utah, by R. D. Feltis, U.S. Geological Survey, 1966.
- *No. 16. Ground-water conditions in Cedar Valley, Utah County, Utah, by R. D. Feltis, U.S. Geological Survey, 1967.
- *No. 17. Ground-water resources of northern Juab Valley, Utah, by L. J. Bjorklund, U.S. Geological Survey, 1968.
- No. 18. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Skull Valley, Tooele County, Utah, by J. W. Hood and K. M. Waddell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1968.
- No. 19. An appraisal of the quality of surface water in the Sevier Lake basin, Utah, by D. C. Hahl and J. C. Mundorff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1968.
- No. 20. Extensions of streamflow records in Utah, by J. K. Reid, L. E. Carroon, and G. E. Pyper, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 21. Summary of maximum discharges in Utah streams, by G. L. Whitaker, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 22. Reconnaissance of the ground-water resources of the upper Fremont River valley, Wayne County, Utah, by L. J. Bjorklund, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 23. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Rush Valley, Tooele County, Utah, by J. W. Hood, Don Price, and K. M. Waddell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 24. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Deep Creek valley, Tooele and Juab Counties, Utah, and Elko and White Pine Counties, Nevada, by J. W. Hood and K. M. Waddell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 25. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Curlew Valley, Utah and Idaho, by E. L. Bolke and Don Price, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 26. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Sink Valley area, Tooele and Box Elder Counties, Utah, by Don Price and E. L. Bolke, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.

.

- No. 27. Water resources of the Heber-Kamas-Park City area, northcentral Utah, by C. H. Baker, Jr., U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 28. Ground-water conditions in southern Utah Valley and Goshen Valley, Utah, by R. M. Cordova, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 29. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Grouse Creek valley, Box Elder County, Utah, by J. W. Hood and Don Price, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 30. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Park Valley area, Box Elder County, Utah, by J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.
- No. 31. Water resources of Salt Lake County, Utah, by A. G. Hely, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Harr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.
- No. 32. Geology and water resources of the Spanish Valley area, Grand and San Juan Counties, Utah, by C. T. Sumsion, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.
- No. 33. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Hansel Valley and northern Rozel Flat, Box Elder County, Utah, by J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.
- No. 34. Summary of water resources of Salt Lake County, Utah, by A. G. Hely, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Harr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.
- No. 35. Ground-water conditions in the East Shore area, Box Elder, Davis, and Weber Counties, Utah, 1960-69, by E. L. Bolke and K. M. Waddell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.
- No. 36. Ground-water resources of Cache Valley, Utah and Idaho, by L. J. Bjorklund and L. J. McGreevy, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.
- No. 37. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Blue Creek Valley area, Box Elder County, Utah, by E. L. Bolke and Don Price, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.
- No. 38. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Promontory Mountains area, Box Elder County, Utah, by J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.
- No. 39. Reconnaissance of chemical quality of surface water and fluvial sediment in the Price River Basin, Utah, by J. C. Mundorff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.
- No. 40. Ground-water conditions in the central Virgin River basin, Utah, by R. M. Cordova, G. W. Sandberg, and Wilson McConkie, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.

.

- No. 41. Hydrologic reconnaissance of Pilot Valley, Utah and Nevada, by J. C. Stephens and J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1973.
- No. 42. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the northern Great Salt Lake Desert and summary hydrologic reconnaissance of northwestern Utah, by J. C. Stephens, U.S. Geological Survey, 1973.
- No. 43. Water resources of the Milford area, Utah, with emphasis on ground water, by R. W. Mower and R. M. Cordova, U.S. Geological Survey, 1974.
- No. 44. Ground-water resources of the lower Bear River drainage basin, Box Elder County, Utah, by L. J. Bjorklund and L. J. McGreevy, U.S. Geological Survey, 1974.
- No. 45. Water resources of the Curlew Valley drainage basin, Utah and Idaho, by C. H. Baker, Jr., U.S. Geological Survey, 1974.
- No. 46. Water-quality reconnaissance of surface inflow to Utah Lake, by J. C. Mundorff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1974.
- No. 47. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Wah Wah Valley drainage basin, Millard and Beaver Counties, Utah, by J. C. Stephens, U.S. Geological Survey, 1974.
- No. 48. Estimating mean streamflow in the Duchesne River Basin, Utah, by R. W. Cruff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1974.
- No. 49. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the southern Uinta Basin, Utah and Colorado, by Don Price and L. L. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, 1975.
- No. 50. Seepage study of the Rocky Point Canal and the Grey Mountain -Pleasant Valley Canal systems, Duchesne County, Utah, by R. W. Cruff and J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1976.
- No. 51. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Pine Valley drainage basin, Millard, Beaver, and Iron Counties, Utah, by J. C. Stephens, U.S. Geological Survey, 1976.
- No. 52. Seepage study of canals in Beaver Valley, Beaver County, Utah, by R. W. Cruff and R. W. Mower, U.S. Geological Survey, 1976.
- No. 53. Characteristics of aquifers in the northern Uinta Basin area, Utah and Colorado, by J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1976.
- No. 54. Hydrologic evaluation of Ashley Valley, northern Uinta Basin area, Utah, by J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1977.

- No. 55. Reconnaissance of water quality in the Duchesne River basin and some adjacent drainage areas, Utah, by J. C. Mundorff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1977.
- No. 56. Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Tule Valley drainage basin, Juab and Millard Counties, Utah, by J. C. Stephens, U.S. Geological Survey, 1977.
- No. 57. Hydrologic evaluation of the upper Duchesne River valley, northern Uinta Basin area, Utah, by J. W. Hood, U.S. Geological Survey, 1977.
- No. 58. Seepage study of the Sevier Valley-Piute Canal, Sevier County, Utah, by R. W. Cruff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1977.

WATER CIRCULARS

- No. 1. Ground water in the Jordan Valley, Salt Lake County, Utah, by Ted Arnow, U.S. Geological Survey, 1965.
- No. 2. Ground water in Tooele Valley, Utah, by J. S. Gates and O. A. Keller, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.

BASIC-DATA REPORTS

- *No. 1. Records and water-level measurements of selected wells and chemical analyses of ground water, East Shore area, Davis, Weber, and Box Elder Counties, Utah, by R. E. Smith, U.S. Geological Survey, 1961.
- No. 2. Records of selected wells and springs, selected drillers' logs of wells, and chemical analyses of ground and surface waters, northern Utah Valley, Utah County, Utah, by Seymour Subitzky, U.S. Geological Survey, 1962.
- No. 3. Ground-water data, central Sevier Valley, parts of Sanpete, Sevier, and Piute Counties, Utah, by C. H. Carpenter and R. A. Young, U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.
- *No. 4. Selected hydrologic data, Jordan Valley, Salt Lake County, Utah, by I. W. Marine and Don Price, U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.
- *No. 5. Selected hydrologic data, Pavant Valley, Millard County, Utah, by R. W. Mower, U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.
- *No. 6. Ground-water data, parts of Washington, Iron, Beaver, and Millard Counties, Utah, by G. W. Sandberg, U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.
- No. 7. Selected hydrologic data, Tooele Valley, Tooele County, Utah, by J. S. Gates, U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.

- No. 8. Selected hydrologic data, upper Sevier River basin, Utah, by C. H. Carpenter, G. B. Robinson, Jr., and L. J. Bjorklund, U.S. Geological Survey, 1964.
- *No. 9. Ground-water data, Sevier Desert, Utah, by R. W. Mower and R. D. Feltis, U.S. Geological Survey, 1964.
- No. 10. Quality of surface water in the Sevier Lake basin, Utah, by D. C. Hahl and R. E. Cabell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1965.
- *No. 11. Hydrologic and climatologic data, collected through 1964, Salt Lake County, Utah, by W. V. Iorns, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Horr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1966.
- No. 12. Hydrologic and climatologic data, 1965, Salt Lake County, Utah, by W. V. Iorns, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Horr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1966.
- No. 13. Hydrologic and climatologic data, 1966, Salt Lake County, Utah, by A. G. Hely, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Horr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1967.
- No. 14. Selected hydrologic data, San Pitch River drainage basin, Utah, by G. B. Robinson, Jr., U.S. Geological Survey, 1968.
- No. 15. Hydrologic and climatologic data, 1967, Salt Lake County, Utah, by A. G. Hely, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Horr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1968.
- No. 16. Selected hydrologic data, southern Utah and Goshen Valleys, Utah, by R. M. Cordova, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 17. Hydrologic and climatologic data, 1968, Salt Lake County, Utah, by A. G. Hely, R. W. Mower, and C. A. Horr, U.S. Geological Survey, 1969.
- No. 18. Quality of surface water in the Bear River basin, Utah, Wyoming, and Idaho, by K. M. Waddell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 19. Daily water-temperature records for Utah streams, 1944-68, by G. L. Whitaker, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 20. Water-quality data for the Flaming Gorge area, Utah and Wyoming, by R. J. Madison, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 21. Selected hydrologic data, Cache Valley, Utah and Idaho, by L. J. McGreevy and L. J. Bjorklund, U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.
- No. 22. Periodic water- and air-temperature records for Utah streams, 1966-70, by G. L. Whitaker, U.S. Geological Survey, 1971.

- No. 23. Selected hydrologic data, lower Bear River drainage basin, Box Elder County, Utah, by L. J. Bjorklund and L. J. McGreevy, U.S. Geological Survey, 1973.
- No. 24. Water-quality data for the Flaming Gorge Reservoir area, Utah and Wyoming, 1969-72, by E. L. Bolke and K. M. Waddell, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.
- No. 25. Streamflow characteristics in northeastern Utah and adjacent areas, by F. K. Fields, U.S. Geological Survey, 1975.
- No. 26. Selected hydrologic data, Uinta Basin area, Utah and Colorado, by J. W. Hood, J. C. Mundorff, and Don Price, U.S. Geological Survey, 1976.
- No. 27. Chemical and physical data for the Flaming Gorge Reservoir area, Utah and Wyoming, by E. L. Bolke, U.S. Geological Survey, 1976.

INFORMATION BULLETINS

- *No. 1. Plan of work for the Sevier River Basin (Sec. 6, P. L. 566), U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1960.
- *No. 2. Water production from oil wells in Utah, by Jerry Tuttle, Utah State Engineer's Office, 1960.
- *No. 3. Ground-water areas and well logs, central Sevier Valley, Utah, by R. A. Young, U.S. Geological Survey, 1960.
- *No. 4. Ground-water investigations in Utah in 1960 and reports published by the U.S. Geological Survey or the Utah State Engineer prior to 1960, by H. D. Goode, U.S. Geological Survey, 1960.
- *No. 5. Developing ground water in the central Sevier Valley, Utah, by R. A. Young and C. H. Carpenter, U.S. Geological Survey, 1961.
- *No. 6. Work outline and report outline for Sevier River basin survey, (Sec. 6, P. L. 566), U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1961.
- *No. 7. Relation of the deep and shallow artesian aquifers near Lynndyl, Utah, by R. W. Mower, U.S. Geological Survey, 1961.
- *No. 8. Projected 1975 municipal water-use requirements, Davis County, Utah, by Utah State Engineer's Office, 1962.
- No. 9. Projected 1975 municipal water-use requirements, Weber County, Utah, by Utah State Engineer's Office, 1962.

- *No. 10. Effects on the shallow artesian aquifer of withdrawing water from the deep artesian aquifer near Sugarville, Millard County, Utah, by R. W. Mower, U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.
- *No. 11. Amendments to plan of work and work outline for the Sevier River basin (Sec. 6, P. L. 566), U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1964.
- *No. 12. Test drilling in the upper Sevier River drainage basin, Garfield and Piute Counties, Utah, by R. D. Feltis and G. B. Robinson, Jr., U.S. Geological Survey, 1963.
- *No. 13. Water requirements of lower Jordan River, Utah, by Karl Harris, Irrigation Engineer, Agricultural Research Service, Phoenix, Arizona, prepared under informal cooperation approved by Mr. W. W. Donnan, Chief, Southwest Branch (Riverside, California) Soil and Water Conservation Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S.D.A., and by W. D. Criddle, State Engineer, State of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 1964.
- *No. 14. Consumptive use of water by native vegetation and irrigated crops in the Virgin River area of Utah, by W. D. Criddle, J. M. Bagley, R. K. Higginson, and D. W. Hendricks, through cooperation of Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Agricultural Research Service, Soil and Water Conservation Branch, Western Soil and Water Management Section, Utah Water and Power Board, and Utah State Engineer, Salt Lake City, Utah, 1964.
- *No. 15. Ground-water conditions and related water-administration problems in Cedar City Valley, Iron County, Utah, February, 1966, by J. A. Barnett and F. T. Mayo, Utah State Engineer's Office.
- *No. 16. Summary of water well drilling activities in Utah, 1960 through 1965, compiled by Utah State Engineer's Office, 1966.
- *No. 17. Bibliography of U.S. Geological Survey water-resources reports for Utah, compiled by O.A. Keller, U.S. Geological Survey, 1966.
- *No. 18. The effect of pumping large-discharge wells on the groundwater reservoir in southern Utah Valley, Utah County, Utah, by R. M. Cordova and R. W. Mower, U.S. Geological Survey, 1967.
- No. 19. Ground-water hydrology of southern Cache Valley, Utah, by L. P. Beer, 1967.

- *No. 20. Fluvial sediment in Utah, 1905-65, A data compilation by J. C. Mundorff, U.S. Geological Survey, 1968.
- *No. 21. Hydrogeology of the eastern portion of the south slopes of the Uinta Mountains, Utah, by L. G. Moore and D. A. Barker, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and J. D. Maxwell and R. L. Bridges, Soil Conservation Service, 1971.
- *No. 22. Bibliography of U.S. Geological Survey water-resources reports for Utah, compiled by B. A. LaPray, U.S. Geological Survey, 1972.
- No. 23. Bibliography of U.S. Geological Survey water-resources reports for Utah, compiled by B. A. LaPray, U.S. Geological Survey, 1975.

.

.