

Water Supply and Surplus Water Committee Agenda - August 6, 2018

Note: I expect the focus in this committee meeting to shift significantly from information gathering to decision making as we start to move toward recommendations our committee will return to the task force and the Legislative Interim Committee. We will still welcome discussion and input from all but will likely resort to votes from committee members as we choose a direction on some of the more divisive issues. It would be very helpful if all committee members could attend either in person or by phone. If you will need to phone conference please let Boyd Clayton (801 538-7390) know ASAP so arrangements can be made.

1. Legislative analyst differing legal opinion on any of the observations discussed last meeting. (Rep Coleman)
2. Decision Point – Does the committee support the proposed constitution amendment?
3. Decision Point- Does the committee wish to make recommendations which augment the proposed constitution amendment, act independent of the amendment, or both?
4. Nationwide best practice on city rate setting (Rachel)
5. Which of the following issues does the committee believe we should develop recommendations for:
 - a. Prospectively whether Cities and Districts should provide services outside their jurisdiction.
 - b. Whether cities who choose to provide water service outside their jurisdiction should be bound to continue the service offering perpetually or to a date certain once they start service.
 - c. Whether a city should be bound to convey infrastructure at its cost to the city which serves the single purpose of providing water utility service outside the city's boundary should those utilizing the service choose to seek service from a different water utility.
 - d. The quality of service provided to customers outside a city's boundary when it chooses to provide utility service (fire flow standards, water planning, replacement schedule).
 - e. Water rate setting process for customers outside a city's boundary.
 - f. Required duration conditions should a city choose to contract to lease water rights outside its boundary which are used for domestic use.
 - g. Should cities be required to annually report separately the areas served outside their boundary, number of customers served, and water used by these customers?
 - h. Whether cities serving outside their boundary should be subject to public service commission oversight for the extraterritorial service.
 - i. Should cities be allowed to perfect water rights in excess of that required to serve those in their boundary, or include as protected from forfeiture water held solely to serve those outside their boundary.
 - j. Other issues?
6. Discuss Fred Finlinson Proposal and consider pertinent legislation or recommendations.